Volume 4

Pages 670 - 990

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## BEFORE THE HONORABLE VAUGHN R. WALKER

KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO,	) ) )
Plaintiffs,	)
VS.	) ) NO. C 09-2292-VRW )
ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his official capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles, Defendants.	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
TRANSCRIPT OF PRO	OCEEDINGS

Reported By: Katherine Powell Sullivan, CRR, CSR 5812 Debra L. Pas, CRR, CSR 11916 Official Reporters - U.S. District Court

**APPEARANCES**: For Plaintiffs: GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5306 BY: THEODORE B. OLSON, ESQUIRE MATTHEW D. MCGILL, ESQUIRE GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-3197 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQUIRE BY: CHRISTOPHER D. DUSSEAULT, ESQUIRE GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94105-2933 BY: ETHAN D. DETTMER, JR., ESQUIRE ENRIQUE A. MONAGAS, ESQUIRE SARAH E. PIEPMEIER, ESQUIRE BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504 BY: DAVID BOIES, ESQUIRE BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 575 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor New York, New York 10022 BY: JOSHUA I. SCHILLER, ESQUIRE BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, California 94612 BY: JEREMY MICHAEL GOLDMAN, ESQUIRE STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN, ESQUIRE For Plaintiff-CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY Intervenor: One Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682 BY: THERESE STEWART, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY DANNY CHOU CHRISTINE VAN AKEN RONALD P. FLYNN MOLLIE M. LEE DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEYS (APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

	MENNEMEIER, GLASSMAN & STROUD 980 9th Street, Suite 1700 Sacramento, California 95814-2736 ANDREW WALTER STROUD, ESQUIRE
For Defendant Edmund G. Brown Jr.: BY:	STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102-7004 <b>TAMAR PACHTER, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL</b>
BY:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General 1300 I Street, 17th Floor Sacramento, California 95814 GORDON BURNS, DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL
For Defendant- Intervenors: BY:	COOPER & KIRK 1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 CHARLES J. COOPER, ESQUIRE DAVID H. THOMPSON, ESQUIRE HOWARD C. NIELSON, JR., ESQUIRE NICOLE MOSS, ESQUIRE PETER A. PATTERSON, ESQUIRE
BY:	ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND 15100 North 90th Street Scottsdale, Arizona 85260 BRIAN W. RAUM, SENIOR COUNSEL
BY:	ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND 801 G Street NW, Suite 509 Washington, D.C. 20001 JORDAN W. LORENCE, ESQUIRE
For Defendant Dean C. Logan: BY:	OFFICE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNSEL 500 West Temple Street, Room 652 Los Angeles, California 90012 JUDY WHITEHURST, DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL
(APPEARANCES	CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): For Defendant Patrick O'Connell: OFFICE OF ALAMEDA COUNTY COUNSEL 1221 Oak Street, Suite 450 Oakland, California 94612 BY: CLAUDE F. KOLM, DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL MANUEL MARTINEZ, DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL For Mr. Garlow, AMERICAN CENTER FOR LAW & JUSTICE 11 West Chestnut Hill Road Litchfield, Connecticut 06759 BY: VINCENT P. MCCARTHY, ESQUIRE

\_ \_ \_ \_

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JANUARY 14, 2010 8:42 A.M.
3	
4	THE COURT: Very well. Good morning, Counsel.
5	(Counsel greet the Court.)
6	THE COURT: Let's see. First order of business, I
7	have communicated to judge Chief Judge Kozinski, in light of
8	the Supreme Court's decision yesterday, that I'm requesting
9	that this case be withdrawn from the Ninth Circuit pilot
10	project. And he indicated that he would approve that request.
11	And so that should take care of the broadcasting matter.
12	And we have motions that have been filed on behalf of
13	Mr. Garlow and Mr. McPherson. And the clerk informs me counsel
14	for those parties are here present.
15	MR. MCCARTHY: Correct, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: All right. Fine.
17	MR. MCCARTHY: Vincent McCarthy, Your Honor. I was
18	admitted pro hac vice into this court very recently.
19	THE COURT: Yes. I believe I signed that yesterday,
20	or the day before.
21	MR. MCCARTHY: I understand.
22	THE COURT: Well, welcome.
23	MR. MCCARTHY: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: You've got quite a lineup of lawyers
25	here.

674

1	MR. MCCARTHY: I understand, yes.
2	THE CLERK: Can you use the microphone, please.
3	MR. MCCARTHY: Sorry.
4	I wanted to ask for Your Honor's guidance as to I
5	spoke to counsel for the plaintiffs, and they said they
6	wouldn't be calling my clients until at least next week. And I
7	wanted to know if Your Honor knew when he was going to make the
8	decision on our motion to quash, because I wanted to remain for
9	that if it's going to be made now.
10	If Your Honor is going to wait until the witnesses
11	are called, I'll just go back to my office and then return at
12	that time.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Boutrous, are you handling this for
14	the plaintiffs?
15	MR. BOUTROUS: Yes, Your Honor.
16	Your Honor, in discussions with counsel I suggested
17	that once we have had a chance to review the documents being
18	produced in this rolling production by the proponents, we would
19	have the universe of documents relating to his clients.
20	We would try to work out with the proponents an
21	agreement that would allow us not to have to call Counsel's
22	clients to testify and authenticate the documents. That's the
23	main reason that we would need them.
24	And we have several documents that we have now found
25	in this new production that relate to those individuals who

## PROCEEDINGS

filed motions to quash. And what I propose is, we look at the
documents, we'd figure it out, talk to the proponents' counsel,
see if we could work out an arrangement that would allow us
simply to move them into evidence without the these
individuals having to come and testify.
THE COURT: As a consequence, you may be able to
handle this matter between yourselves.
MR. BOUTROUS: In short, yes.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
So we will be in touch, and then if we need to come
back, we'll do it Tuesday.
THE COURT: If you need to come back, alert Counsel.
And let the clerk know when you need to see us, and we will
take care of the matter.
MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I appreciate it.
MR. MCCARTHY: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Let's see. We are ready, I
believe, with the next witness. And you indicated who that
witness is.
MS. VAN AKEN: Yes.
THE COURT: And who is going to be presenting him?
MS. VAN AKEN: Dr. Edmund Egan, Your Honor.
And my name is Christine Van Aken.

PROCEEDINGS

T	
1	THE COURT: Ms. Rediken?
2	MS. VAN AKEN: Van Aken. V-a-n A-k-e-n.
3	THE COURT: All right. Please, call your witness.
4	MS. VAN AKEN: Plaintiffs and plaintiff-intervenors
5	call plaintiff-intervenor calls Dr. Edmund A. Egan to
6	testify.
7	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.
8	EDMUND EGAN,
9	called as a witness for the Plaintiffs herein, having been
10	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
11	THE WITNESS: I do.
12	THE CLERK: Thank you. State your name, please.
13	THE WITNESS: My name is Edmund A. Egan.
14	THE CLERK: And spell your last name.
15	THE WITNESS: E-g-a-n.
16	THE CLERK: Thank you.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. VAN AKEN:
19	Q. Good morning, Dr. Egan.
20	A. Good morning.
21	Q. I'm going to publish a slide that summarizes it's a
22	simple demonstrative slide of some of your credentials. And
23	then we'll talk about those.
24	(Document displayed)
25	Dr. Egan, what is your current position?

T	
1	A. I'm the chief economist in the Controller's Office in
2	San Francisco.
3	(Document displayed.)
4	<b>Q.</b> And what is your role, briefly, in that position?
5	A. I direct the Office of Economic Analysis, which is a
6	division within the Controller's Office, and is responsible for
7	preparing economic impact analysis of pending legislation.
8	${f Q}$ . Dr. Egan, we'll talk more about that experience and that
9	role in a little while, but tell me a little bit more about
10	your prior professional experience in the area of urban and
11	regional economic policy.
12	A. Immediately before joining San Francisco, the City and
13	County, in 2007, I worked for a consulting form known as ICF
14	International, where I did a number of consulting projects
15	related to economic development strategy and analysis in North
16	America and globally.
17	Q. Can you give me a couple of examples, Dr. Egan.
18	A. In the late 1990s, for example, I worked on the economic
19	development strategy for the City of Toronto.
20	And just before joining San Francisco, I worked as a
21	consultant on the City of San Francisco's economic development
22	plan.
23	Q. Have you ever taught at the university level, Dr. Egan?
24	A. Yes, I have.
25	<b>Q.</b> And can you tell me about that experience, please.
T	

A. I'm currently an adjunct faculty member at the University
of California at Berkeley. I teach city and regional planning.
I teach in the city and regional planning department at that
university.
Q. Do you teach undergraduates, Dr. Egan?
A. I teach graduates students.
Q. And speaking generally, what kinds of courses do you
teach graduate students at UC Berkeley?
A. I teach in the field of regional economic development and
in urban and city regional planning departments. Since fall of
2004, each fall I've taught a course called the "Urban and
Regional Economy," which is a review of a theoretical review
of key things in regional and urban economics, to masters and
Ph.D. students.
Q. And do you have any academic articles that you've
published?
A. I published three peer-reviewed academic articles when I
was in graduate school and also, subsequently, as a consultant.
${f Q}$ . Do those articles deal with the field of urban and
regional economic analysis, economic policy?
A. Yes. All of them did.
Q. And, can you tell me, what's the highest level of
education that you've received?
A. I have a Ph.D. degree.
Q. Where did you receive your Ph.D. degree?

A. From the University of California at Berkeley.
Q. Okay. Dr. Egan, there is no binder in front of you, but
there should be. So let me correct that.
MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, may I approach the
witness?
THE COURT: Yes, you may.
BY MS. VAN AKEN:
<b>Q.</b> Mr. Egan, would you take a look at the exhibit marked
"PX2324" behind the tab so marked.
Do you recognize that document, Dr. Egan?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What is that document?
A. That's my CV.
MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I would move that Exhibit
2324, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2324, be moved into evidence.
MR. PATTERSON: No objection.
THE COURT: Very well. 2324 is admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2324 received in evidence.)
BY MS. VAN AKEN:
Q. And, Dr. Egan, that CV represents accurately your
professional and academic experience?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Let's talk a little bit more about your role as chief
economist in San Francisco.
You told me that you direct the Office of Economic

Ī	
1	Analysis. What does that office do?
2	A. Our local legislative body, the board of supervisors,
3	receives all legislation that's introduced by the mayor or by
4	members of that board.
5	And my office reviews that legislation after each
6	meeting, to determine if any of it would have a material
7	economic impact on San Francisco.
8	And if we make the determination that it would, we
9	report on that impact, detailing this extent of the economic
10	impact, before the board acts on that legislation in committee.
11	Q. So what is the intent of those reports with respect to the
12	board's action in committee?
13	<b>A.</b> It's to ensure that the board of supervisors has a full
14	understanding of the economic impact of the decisions they
15	make.
16	Q. How is it that you and your office decide whether a piece
17	of pending legislation could have a material economic impact?
18	A. Well, there are a number of things that we look for.
19	Among them are, in the legislation, that it has a real
20	regulatory power, that it actually affects the behavior of
21	individuals in the city and economic agents such as businesses.
22	And we trace through how the legislation would
23	constrain their behavior and how that would change their
24	economic activity, and then try and quantify that.
25	As a general rule of thumb, if we believe that
-	

1	legislation would have greater than a \$10 million impact on the
2	city's economy, we would report on that.
3	Q. And when you say you would report on that, is there a
4	product or a written report that you produce?
5	A. Yes. We prepare written reports, as well as do verbal
6	oral presentations of our findings.
7	Q. And what kinds of sources of information do you rely on in
8	preparing those economic what are they called, those
9	reports?
10	A. Economic impact reports.
11	Q. And what sorts of sources do you rely on in preparing
12	economic impact reports for the board of supervisors?
13	A. We're greatly reliant on government statistical data from
14	the state and from the federal governments.
15	We also, I believe in almost every report, rely upon
16	data generated by city departments, to make quantitative
17	estimates of the impact.
18	We also rely on information that's provided from us
19	from people who work in the city, sometimes people who work in
20	the private sector in San Francisco, and others.
21	Q. And do you rely on research, generally, beyond the data
22	sets that you described?
23	A. Yes, we to rely on research other than the data.
24	Particularly when it pertains to similar legislation or similar
25	issues occurring in other places.
-	

1	Q. And, Dr. Egan, these economic impact reports, are they
2	documents concerning urban economic policy, speaking generally?
3	A. Yes, they are.
4	Q. Relied on by the San Francisco Board of Supervisors?
5	A. That's correct.
6	MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I would tender Dr. Egan as
7	an expert in urban and regional economic policy.
8	THE COURT: Very well. Voir dire?
9	MR. PATTERSON: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: I beg your pardon?
11	MR. PATTERSON: No.
12	THE COURT: No voir dire. Very well.
13	And you accept Dr. Egan as an opinion witness in the
14	field for which he has been designated, correct?
15	MR. PATTERSON: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Very well.
17	MS. VAN AKEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	BY MS. VAN AKEN:
19	Q. Dr. Egan, let's turn, now, to your work in the context of
20	this case. And I want to ask if you have undertaken an
21	analysis of the effects of the prohibition on the marriage of
22	same-sex couples on San Francisco's economy and its
23	governmental costs and revenues?
24	A. Yes, I have.
25	Q. And, Dr. Egan, tell me, is that analysis that you

1	undertook, similar to or different from the kinds of analysis
2	that you do as chief economist for San Francisco?
3	A. It's quite similar to the kinds of analysis we do in our
4	daily work. The only difference being was that we don't
5	normally review state legislation. We only review city
6	legislation.
7	Q. And when you considered that analysis, did you look for
8	positive as well as negative economic impacts that the
9	prohibition might have?
10	A. Well, I think you look for impacts. You look for ways in
11	which the regulation affects people's behavior. Whether that
12	winds up being positive or negative is kind of an analytical
13	conclusion.
14	Q. Speaking generally, did you reach any conclusions after
15	undertaking that analysis?
16	A. Yes, I did. I've identified several ways in which the
17	prohibition of marriages of same-sex couples would have a
18	negative impact on San Francisco, and also negatively affect
19	the city's revenues and overall budget.
20	Q. And can some of those conclusions about the negative
21	economic impact on San Francisco and its budget and revenues be
22	generalized to other jurisdictions?
23	<b>A.</b> I believe that they could; although, I haven't
24	specifically studied other jurisdictions.
25	Q. Okay. Let's turn now to some specific areas where you

1	I believe you have opinions.
2	And I would like to pull up a demonstrative slide.
3	(Document displayed.)
4	Great.
5	Dr. Egan, do you have any opinions concerning the
6	relationship between the prohibition on same-sex marriage,
7	wealth generation and city revenue?
8	A. Yes, I do.
9	Q. Speaking generally, what is that conclusion?
10	A. Uhm, in general, because of the ways in which marriage
11	affects people's patterns of wealth generation over their life,
12	if same-sex marriage were legalized, San Francisco would see an
13	increase in sales tax revenue and an increase in property tax
14	revenue in the future.
15	Q. Using this demonstrative, can you explain to me the
16	relationship between legalizing marriage and the increase in
17	married couples?
18	A. Yes. If marriage among same-sex couples were legalized, I
19	predict we would see an increase in the number of married
20	couples in San Francisco.
21	There is a significant amount of research in
22	economics that looks at the impact of marital status on wealth
23	accumulation over the life of an individual.
24	And to put it simply, what it finds in as I
25	understand it, is that married couples are married

т	
1	individuals tend to accumulate more wealth than single
2	individuals.
3	So to the extent that there are more married people
4	and fewer single people in San Francisco, we would see greater
5	wealth accumulated within the city.
б	${f Q}$ . Dr. Egan, what are the impacts of that greater wealth
7	accumulation within the city, on San Francisco?
8	A. They have two main impacts. People with higher wealth
9	tend to have higher income. As that wealth generates
10	dividends, that leads to higher spending on consumer goods in
11	San Francisco.
12	It also would tend to increase the value of real
13	estate within San Francisco, as we would have more wealth,
14	essentially, bidding for the same amount of land.
15	Q. And what are the impacts on San Francisco's budgets or
16	revenues?
17	A. Well, higher consumer spending in San Francisco, from a
18	wealthier population, leads to an increase in sales tax
19	revenue, since the city gets a percentage of all consumer
20	spending in the city.
21	And greater value of real estate in San Francisco
22	leads to an increase in property tax revenues because we also
23	get a percentage of the assessed value of each property.
24	${f Q}$ . Dr. Egan, is there any way you can tell us about the
25	magnitude of these potential impacts?

1	A. Not in any strong quantitative sense. We would need to
2	project over time, first, what the increase in married couples
3	would be, which might not be that challenging. But projecting
4	the increase in wealth accumulation and how much that would
5	translate into spending is a challenging exercise. I wouldn't
6	say it's impossible, but it's challenging.
7	Q. And you have not attempted to do so here?
8	A. I have not attempted to do so here.
9	Q. To the extent that San Francisco sees an increase in sales
10	tax revenue and property tax revenue, is that also an impact
11	that you would expect other jurisdictions within California to
12	also see?
13	A. Other jurisdictions within California would actually
14	benefit simply from the San Francisco affect.
15	For example, the State of California gets a
16	percentage of the sales tax that is generated within
17	San Francisco. And other local government entities get a
18	percentage of the property tax revenue.
19	Q. Dr. Egan, let's turn now to the next slide.
20	(Document displayed.)
21	And this is a demonstrative concerning it's
22	entitled, "Healthy Behaviors: Impact on City Revenue."
23	Do you have an opinion about the relationship between
24	marriage, healthy behavior, and San Francisco's revenue?
25	A. Yes, I do. My opinion is that legalizing same-sex

т	
1	marriage would encourage healthier behavior. And that would
2	ultimately lead to higher payroll tax revenue and a reduction
3	in public health costs in San Francisco.
4	Q. You've already explained to us the increase in married
5	couples that you project. What relationship do you see between
6	an increase in married couples and increased healthy behavior
7	from individuals?
8	<b>A.</b> There is also a number of articles in the economics
9	literature that look at the connection between marital status
10	and healthy behavior, and, essentially, finding that married
11	individuals are healthier, on average, and, in particular,
12	behave themselves in healthier ways than single individuals.
13	That has economic consequences of two kinds.
14	Q. State those consequences, please.
15	A. Certainly. There is also a well-known connection in
16	economics between health of the work force and work force
17	productivity, which takes many forms in practice. The simple
18	of which is lower rates of absenteeism due to illness.
19	Higher work force productivity affects workers' wages
20	through the marketplace. And that directly ties to a local
21	revenue we have in San Francisco, our payroll tax.
22	So the more wages that are earned in San Francisco,
23	the more payroll tax that's earned by the City and County.
24	${f Q}$ . So there's a general link between worker productivity and
25	an increase in payroll taxes?

1	A. Yes, there is via higher wages. Higher productivity leads
2	to higher wages. And higher wages leads to higher payroll tax
3	revenue for the City.
4	Healthier behavior is also associated with less
5	reliance on the healthcare system, including the public
6	healthcare system. And, therefore, to the extent that the
7	population of San Francisco adopts healthier behaviors over
8	time, due to marriage, the City's public healthcare costs would
9	decline. And that would result in a cost savings for the City
10	and County.
11	Q. What is the magnitude of the City's spending on public
12	health, in your understanding?
13	A. The City's general fund contribution to public health is
14	in the neighborhood of 360 million, 364 million, I think,
15	dollars per year.
16	Q. Dr. Egan, can you tell us how great a savings we would see
17	if we lifted the prohibition on same-sex marriage, with respect
18	to public health?
19	A. I've not attempted to quantify this, either, because some
20	of the same challenges, taking an estimate of the number of
21	married couples and translating that into healthier behavior,
22	and the specific connections between productivity and less
23	demand for healthcare are challenging to quantify. I think
24	that they could be quantified, but I have not attempted to do
25	so.

Q. Dr. Egan, you also explained the relationship between
 payroll tax and productivity, along with the reduction in
 public health costs.

4 Would either of those impacts be seen by other 5 jurisdictions in California, in your view, if the prohibition 6 on the marriage of same-sex couples were lifted? 7 Relatively few jurisdictions in California have a payroll Α. tax. However, many jurisdictions do have a business tax. 8 And to the extent that higher worker productivity results in 9 stronger business performance -- which I think is a very 10 reasonable assumption to make -- that would lead to higher 11 business tax revenue for those jurisdictions. 12 13 Okay. I want to ask you whether you are aware of any Q. relationship between increased healthy behaviors and domestic 14 15 partnership. 16 I have not seen any research on that subject. Α. 17 Well, would you assume with me, for a moment, that Q. domestic partnership has the same effect on healthy behavior as 18 marriage does, and then assume, further, that domestic 19 20 partnership is an option for same-sex couples, but marriage is 21 not. 22 Would we still expect to see this impact on city 23 revenue, simply from having domestic partnership?

A. I think that you would see an impact on city revenue, butit would not be as great as it would with if same-sex marriage

1were legalized.2And the basis for that opinion is that I believe,3based on what I have reviewed in the way of the research, is4that more individuals would select marriage, more same-sex5couples would elect to be married than would elect to register6as domestic partners.7And so those benefits would essentially affect a8greater number of people, and you would have a larger number of9people in San Francisco who would be benefiting from healthy10behaviors, or in an institution that promotes healthy11behaviors.12Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's13budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than14domestic partnership?15A. That's correct.16Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions17about health.18Have you looked at the relationship between the19uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the20City's expenditures?21A. Yes, I have.22Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your23view.24A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would25ultimately increase the number of people who had health	1	
<ul> <li>3 based on what I have reviewed in the way of the research, is</li> <li>4 that more individuals would select marriage, more same-sex</li> <li>5 couples would elect to be married than would elect to register</li> <li>6 as domestic partners.</li> <li>7 And so those benefits would essentially affect a</li> <li>8 greater number of people, and you would have a larger number of</li> <li>9 people in San Francisco who would be benefiting from healthy</li> <li>10 behaviors, or in an institution that promotes healthy</li> <li>11 behaviors.</li> <li>12 Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's</li> <li>13 budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than</li> <li>14 domestic partnership?</li> <li>15 A. That's correct.</li> <li>16 Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions</li> <li>17 about health.</li> <li>18 Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>19 uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>10 City's expenditures?</li> <li>11 A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>12 Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>13 view.</li> <li>14 Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	1	were legalized.
4 that more individuals would select marriage, more same-sex 5 couples would elect to be married than would elect to register 6 as domestic partners. 7 And so those benefits would essentially affect a 8 greater number of people, and you would have a larger number of 9 people in San Francisco who would be benefiting from healthy 10 behaviors, or in an institution that promotes healthy 11 behaviors. 2 Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's 13 budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than 14 domestic partnership? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions 17 about health. 18 Have you looked at the relationship between the 19 uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the 20 City's expenditures? 21 A. Yes, I have. 22 Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your 23 view. 24 A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would	2	And the basis for that opinion is that I believe,
<ul> <li>couples would elect to be married than would elect to register</li> <li>as domestic partners.</li> <li>And so those benefits would essentially affect a</li> <li>greater number of people, and you would have a larger number of</li> <li>people in San Francisco who would be benefiting from healthy</li> <li>behaviors, or in an institution that promotes healthy</li> <li>behaviors.</li> <li>Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's</li> <li>budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than</li> <li>domestic partnership?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions</li> <li>about health.</li> <li>Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>City's expenditures?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>view.</li> <li>Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	3	based on what I have reviewed in the way of the research, is
<ul> <li>as domestic partners.</li> <li>And so those benefits would essentially affect a</li> <li>greater number of people, and you would have a larger number of</li> <li>people in San Francisco who would be benefiting from healthy</li> <li>behaviors, or in an institution that promotes healthy</li> <li>behaviors.</li> <li>Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's</li> <li>budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than</li> <li>domestic partnership?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions</li> <li>about health.</li> <li>Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>City's expenditures?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>view.</li> <li>A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	4	that more individuals would select marriage, more same-sex
<ul> <li>And so those benefits would essentially affect a</li> <li>greater number of people, and you would have a larger number of</li> <li>people in San Francisco who would be benefiting from healthy</li> <li>behaviors, or in an institution that promotes healthy</li> <li>behaviors.</li> <li>Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's</li> <li>budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than</li> <li>domestic partnership?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions</li> <li>about health.</li> <li>Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>City's expenditures?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>view.</li> <li>A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	5	couples would elect to be married than would elect to register
<ul> <li>8 greater number of people, and you would have a larger number of</li> <li>9 people in San Francisco who would be benefiting from healthy</li> <li>10 behaviors, or in an institution that promotes healthy</li> <li>11 behaviors.</li> <li>12 Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's</li> <li>13 budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than</li> <li>14 domestic partnership?</li> <li>15 A. That's correct.</li> <li>16 Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions</li> <li>17 about health.</li> <li>18 Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>19 uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>10 City's expenditures?</li> <li>11 A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>12 Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>13 view.</li> <li>14 A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	6	as domestic partners.
<ul> <li>9 people in San Francisco who would be benefiting from healthy</li> <li>10 behaviors, or in an institution that promotes healthy</li> <li>11 behaviors.</li> <li>12 Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's</li> <li>13 budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than</li> <li>14 domestic partnership?</li> <li>15 A. That's correct.</li> <li>16 Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions</li> <li>17 about health.</li> <li>18 Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>19 uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>20 City's expenditures?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>22 Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>23 view.</li> <li>24 A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	7	And so those benefits would essentially affect a
<ul> <li>behaviors, or in an institution that promotes healthy</li> <li>behaviors.</li> <li>Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's</li> <li>budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than</li> <li>domestic partnership?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions</li> <li>about health.</li> <li>Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>City's expenditures?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>view.</li> <li>Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	8	greater number of people, and you would have a larger number of
<ul> <li>behaviors.</li> <li>Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's</li> <li>budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than</li> <li>domestic partnership?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions</li> <li>about health.</li> <li>Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>City's expenditures?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>view.</li> <li>A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	9	people in San Francisco who would be benefiting from healthy
9. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than domestic partnership? A. That's correct. 9. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions about health. 18 Have you looked at the relationship between the uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the City's expenditures? A. Yes, I have. 9. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your view. A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would	10	behaviors, or in an institution that promotes healthy
13 budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than 14 domestic partnership? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions about health. 18 Have you looked at the relationship between the 19 uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the 20 City's expenditures? 21 A. Yes, I have. 22 Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your 23 view. 24 A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would	11	behaviors.
<pre>14 domestic partnership? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions 17 about health. 18 Have you looked at the relationship between the 19 uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the 20 City's expenditures? 21 A. Yes, I have. 22 Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your 23 view. 24 A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</pre>	12	Q. So you would expect a greater impact on San Francisco's
<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions</li> <li>about health.</li> <li>Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>City's expenditures?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>view.</li> <li>A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	13	budget or revenue or spending from marriage, rather than
<ul> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions</li> <li>about health.</li> <li>Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>City's expenditures?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>view.</li> <li>A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	14	domestic partnership?
<pre>17 about health. 18 Have you looked at the relationship between the 19 uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the 20 City's expenditures? 21 A. Yes, I have. 22 Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your 23 view. 24 A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</pre>	15	A. That's correct.
<ul> <li>Have you looked at the relationship between the</li> <li>uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the</li> <li>City's expenditures?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>view.</li> <li>A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	16	Q. Dr. Egan, let's look at another aspect of your opinions
<pre>19 uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the 20 City's expenditures? 21 A. Yes, I have. 22 Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your 23 view. 24 A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</pre>	17	about health.
20 City's expenditures? 21 A. Yes, I have. 22 Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your 23 view. 24 A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would	18	Have you looked at the relationship between the
<ul> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>view.</li> <li>A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	19	uninsured population, the prohibition on marriage, and the
<ul> <li>Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your</li> <li>view.</li> <li>A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	20	City's expenditures?
<ul> <li>23 view.</li> <li>24 A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would</li> </ul>	21	A. Yes, I have.
24 A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would	22	Q. And can you tell me what that relationship is, in your
	23	view.
25 ultimately increase the number of people who had health	24	A. Yes. Essentially, legalizing same-sex marriage would
	25	ultimately increase the number of people who had health

T	
1	insurance in San Francisco. And that would reduce the cost of
2	the City and County in serving the uninsured, which would
3	result in cost savings for the City and County.
4	Q. And what is your basis for believing that legalizing
5	marriage would cause more companies would reduce the number
б	of uninsured people, and cause a greater health insurance
7	coverage in San Francisco?
8	A. In my opinion, if same-sex marriage were legalized,
9	same-sex couples would elect that option. And companies
10	more companies would extend benefits to those couples as
11	married couples than do currently.
12	That would reduce the number of uninsured people in
13	San Francisco, as at the moment there are individuals in
14	San Francisco who are in same-sex partnerships, where their
15	partner is covered and they are not covered. Their partner is
16	covered by employer healthcare, and they are not.
17	If that number of people was reduced, that would be
18	less uninsured people in San Francisco, and that would reduce
19	the local burden on covering the uninsured.
20	Q. Dr. Egan, can you take a look at the tab marked "PX2260"
21	in your binder, please?
22	THE COURT: I'm sorry, the number again is?
23	MS. VAN AKEN: 2660, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	
-	

BY MS. VAN AKEN:
Q. Would you review that and let me know if you've ever seen
that document before?
A. Yes, I have seen it before.
Q. And in what context did you see this document, Dr. Egan?
A. This document was provided to me a few days ago, by Greg
Sass, who is an official in our Department of Public Health in
San Francisco.
Q. Is Mr. Sass someone with whom you regularly communicate,
as chief economist, about analysis that the Office of Economic
Analysis is performing?
A. I've spoken to Mr. Sass before, and he's the type of
official with whom I would regularly talk about issues
concerning his field.
MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX2260 into
evidence.
MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we object. This was not
a document that was considered by Dr. Egan in his expert
report.
MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, this is a document that
did not exist at the time Mr. Egan was preparing his expert
report. It was something that we received a few days ago, and
it's merely illustrative of his opinion.
THE COURT: When did you produce this to the
proponents?

1	
1	MS. VAN AKEN: It was produced shortly after we
2	received it. I believe a couple of weeks ago. And it was also
3	disclosed, I believe, on Sunday night, as a document that we
4	were going to use in examining Dr. Egan.
5	THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Patterson?
6	MR. PATTERSON: Dr. Egan they never submitted a
7	supplemental declaration from Dr. Egan, saying that he was
8	going to consider this document as part of his opinions.
9	And we have no way of determining whether or not it's
10	authentic. They have not laid a foundation for it. We don't
11	know where they where Greg Sass obtained the document.
12	THE COURT: Well, let me reserve on that. Let's have
13	the witness lay some additional foundation.
14	I gather you were provided a copy of this document
15	Sunday evening?
16	MR. PATTERSON: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Since the document appears to have been
18	created on December 30, 2009, it would have been hard to
19	produce much before then.
20	In any event, let's see where this goes, and we'll
21	we'll make a ruling after some additional foundation is laid.
22	MS. VAN AKEN: Yes, Your Honor.
23	BY MS. VAN AKEN:
24	${f Q}$ . Dr. Egan, let's talk a little bit about this document, and
25	see if we can satisfy the concerns.

1	In your understanding, what is the effect of this
2	letter that purports to be sent by the National Elevator
3	Industry?
4	THE COURT: Where did it come from? Foundation.
5	Foundation. Foundation.
6	MS. VAN AKEN: Okay.
7	BY MS. VAN AKEN:
8	Q. Well, you shared with us that you received it from Greg
9	Sass; is that correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Did Dr. Sass give you or Mr. Sass I'm not sure of
12	his degree give you any information about the document when
13	he provided it to you?
14	A. Uhm, he did not. He e-mailed it to me, and did not
15	provide any additional information beyond the fact that it
16	might be important for me to consider in my testimony.
17	Q. And did he give you any reasons why it might be important
18	for you to consider?
19	A. No, he did not.
20	Q. Had he previously given you information in the course of
21	helping you prepare for your testimony?
22	A. Yes, he did.
23	Q. What information did he previously provide you?
24	A. He answered a number of questions I had about the extent
25	of San Francisco's investment in public health or expenditures

on public health, and, in particular, its expenditures on the 1 uninsured, if I recall. 2 3 0. So assuming that this letter illustrates something about 4 testimony that you're giving today, is that the kind of 5 information that you would regularly rely on, information 6 provided to you from department officials, in preparing 7 economic impact reports for the San Francisco board of supervisors? 8 9 Yes, it is. Α. 10 MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I would offer this under 11 Rule of Evidence 703. It simply is helpful to the expert's 12 testimony. It's helpful to his opinion. And it's certainly 13 not prejudicial, in any way, to proponents. THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Patterson? 14 15 MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we maintain our objections based on we still don't have assurance that this is 16 17 an authentic document, or where it came from beyond him receiving it from Greg Sass. 18 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll admit it for what 19 It appears to be a National Elevator Industry 20 value it has. 21 benefit plan description. The connection to these proceedings is a little 22 23 uncertain in my mind, at the moment, but let's see if you can 24 tie it up. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2260 received in evidence.) 25

MS. VAN AKEN: I think I can do that in one question,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
BY MS. VAN AKEN:
${f Q}$ . Dr. Egan, what, in your view, is the import of this letter
with respect to the issues we've been discussing concerning
uninsured same-sex partners in San Francisco?
A. It's my understanding that this document details a change
in policy by the National Elevator Industry, insofar as it
treats same-sex spouses, as far as benefits are concerned.
And, specifically, they are they are detailing
that they have changed their policy which used to be that
same-sex spouses were not covered because a spouse referred
only to a person of the opposite sex who is husband or wife.
And they have used that reference to a person of the opposite
sex, and now offer benefits to any spouse.
${f Q}$ . Do they offer benefits to domestic partners, assuming the
information in this letter is correct?
<b>A.</b> There is nothing in this letter in reference to domestic
partnership.
${f Q}$ . Does this illustrate the phenomenon we were just
discussing, that companies typically will offer some benefits
to married partners but will not necessarily offer those
benefits to domestic partners?
A. Yes, I believe it does.

1	Q. Okay. Let's go back to this analysis then. In your
2	opinion, we have more companies extending benefits to same-sex
3	partners under a marriage regime. And what is the import of
4	that for San Francisco?
5	A. Well, if more individuals are covered by their spouse's
6	employer healthcare plan, that would reduce the number of
7	people who are uninsured in San Francisco. And that would,
8	essentially, reduce the burden of the City and County of
9	San Francisco to provide healthcare to the uninsured.
10	<b>Q.</b> Dr. Egan, can you tell us how much that burden will be
11	reduced if marriage were legal for same-sex couples?
12	A. That's a difficult thing to quantify because we don't
13	precisely know how many individuals right now are in that
14	category where they are in a same-sex relationship, they're
15	unmarried, and one partner is covered and the other is not.
16	So we don't kind of know what that universe looks
17	like, so we don't know how many people would be out of that
18	situation if they were able to be married.
19	${f Q}$ . Do we know anything about the denominator, the size of the
20	potential pool of dollars that are affected?
21	A. We do know that the City and County spends about
22	175 million, 177 million a year, on providing healthcare for
23	the uninsured.
24	Q. And it's your opinion that that would be reduced if more
25	people had health insurance?

т	
1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Okay. Dr. Egan, can you tell me anything about whether
3	other local governments in San Francisco could see the same
4	effect?
5	A. You mean other local governments outside of San Francisco.
6	Q. Thank you. I sometime take the San Francisco centric
7	view.
8	But, please, tell me about that.
9	A. I think this principle would work more broadly than in
10	San Francisco. For example, I just noticed that National
11	Elevator Industry, from this document, is based in
12	Pennsylvania. This is not simply a San Francisco-centric
13	thing. That companies would provide benefits to all married
14	couples. And, therefore, you would see this reduction of the
15	uninsured throughout the country.
16	Q. And what is the role within California, at least of local
17	governments, in providing health services to the uninsured?
18	A. Uhm, I'm not sure I can speak to their precise statutory
19	role, but I know that every county in California provides
20	extensive services to the uninsured, and the State funds a
21	great deal of that.
22	Q. Let's look at some other health and health spending
23	impacts. I've put up another demonstrative concerning behavior
24	and mental health services. And I'd like you to tell me if you
25	have an opinion about a relationship between spending on

7	
1	behavioral health services and the prohibition on same-sex
2	marriage.
3	(Document displayed.)
4	A. Yes, I do.
5	Q. What is that opinion, Dr. Egan?
6	A. I believe that if marriage among same-sex couples were
7	legalized, the City, over the long-term, would see a reduction
8	in its costs for providing behavioral health services, and the
9	physical health services that can be allied to that.
10	Q. Okay. Let's talk about the basis for that opinion.
11	I see here a connection between legalizing marriage
12	and reduced discrimination against I assume the "LGBT" is
13	lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender; is that correct?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. What's your basis for believing there is such a
16	relationship?
17	A. I believe that prohibition of marriage for same-sex
18	couples is a form of discrimination. And I believe that it's
19	reasonable to assume that if that prohibition were released
20	were removed, there would be, over time, a lessening of the
21	discrimination that those individuals experience in society in
22	their daily lives.
23	Q. Assuming that to be true, what is the relationship between
24	reduced discrimination and public health spending on behavioral
25	health services?

1	A. Uhm, when I was preparing my report, I spoke with an
2	individual in the public health department, who talked to me
3 a	about the uptake of behavioral health services by gay and
4	lesbian people in San Francisco.
5	And I was told that their use of these services is
6	disproportionately high; and one of the reasons for that is
7	discrimination.
8	Consequently, I believe if the discrimination they
9	experienced was lessened, their disproportionate use of these
10	services would be lessened. And that would, ultimately, result
11	in a cost savings for San Francisco.
12	Q. Can you tell us how big that cost savings would be?
13	A. It's quite challenging, because how much of the additional
14	demand is due to discrimination is hard to quantify.
15	We also don't know exact the exact amount that gay
16 a	and lesbian individuals require of the City's behavioral health
17	services.
18	One thing we do know is that the City spends
19	two-and-a-half-million dollars a year for specialized services
20	for LGBT populations. But that doesn't consider the use by gay
21	and lesbian people of all of the general, non-specialized
22	services within behavioral health in San Francisco.
23	${\tt Q}$ . And what is the size of the potential public health
24	expenditure we are talking about in San Francisco?
25	A. Again, the City spends around \$360 million a year on

1	public health.
2	${f Q}$ . Would other jurisdictions see a similar effect, if you
3	were correct about the effect that San Francisco would see?
4	<b>A.</b> In proportion to their gay and lesbian population and to
5	the extent that they also see disproportionate use of those
6	services because of discrimination, I would expect to see that
7	in other jurisdictions, yes.
8	Q. Okay. Let's talk about school funding for a moment.
9	Do you have any opinion about the relationship
10	between the prohibition on marriage and the impact of that on
11	local school district funding?
12	A. Yes, I do.
13	Q. What is that opinion, Dr. Egan?
14	A. In my opinion, if the marriage of same-sex couples were
15	legalized, we would see an increase in school district revenue
16	in San Francisco, and potentially in other jurisdictions in
17	California.
18	Q. Let's talk, first, about how that relationship occurs,
19	using this slide.
20	(Document displayed)
21	You've got, again, this relationship between
22	legalizing marriage and a reduction in discrimination against
23	LGBT populations. Is that the same relationship we spoke about
24	a moment ago?
25	A. That's the same assumption, yes.

-	
1	Q. And how do you connect that to reduced violence and
2	intimidation of children based on sexual orientation?
3	A. I believe that one aspect of that discrimination is the
4	violence and intimidation that children experience at school.
5	Q. Can you take a look at the exhibit in your binder marked
6	"PX810," please.
7	Do you recognize this document?
8	A. Yes, I do.
9	Q. Is this a research brief you relied on in preparing your
10	expert report in this case?
11	A. Yes, it is.
12	MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX810 into
13	evidence.
14	MR. PATTERSON: No objection.
15	THE COURT: Very well. 810 is admitted.
16	(Plaintiffs Exhibit 810 received in evidence.)
17	BY MS. VAN AKEN:
18	$\mathbf{Q}$ . Dr. Egan, what does this brief tell us about the number of
19	students in California schools who are bullied based on their
20	sexual orientation?
21	A. It states that over 200,000 students in California each
22	year are bullied based on their actual or perceived sexual
23	orientation.
24	Q. Is there a relationship between that bullying and
25	absenteeism in schools?

-	
1	A. The report says that there is. It states that nearly
2	109,000 school absences at the middle and high school levels in
3	California are due to harassment based on actual or perceived
4	sexual orientation.
5	Q. Is there a link between that and school district revenue?
6	A. Yes. One of the basis for school district funding in
7	California is attendance. And to the extent that attendance is
8	less than it would be, due to excessive absences, school
9	district funding is less than it otherwise would be.
10	${\tt Q}$ . What is the total impact in California, if you know, of
11	that absenteeism?
12	A. The report states that it costs California school
13	districts at least 39.9 million per year.
14	Q. Would any of that impact be felt in San Francisco?
15	A. I would expect that some of that would be felt in
16	San Francisco.
17	Q. Do you know how much?
18	A. I don't have an estimate of how much, and this report does
19	not break out San Francisco.
20	Q. And are there any other economic impacts that you could
21	envision from pupil absenteeism due to bullying based on sexual
22	orientation?
23	<b>A.</b> Well, the ultimate economic value of education is the
24	progression of education. And that's compromised whenever
25	there is undue absenteeism. So to the extent that excessive

1	absences reduce the quality of education that children receive,
2	that would have long-term economic consequences.
3	Q. Let's talk about the response to bullying. To the extent
4	that school districts respond to bullying, does that also
5	expend resources?
6	A. If that if that requires staff time, and so forth, yes,
7	that's additional resources spent in policing that behavior.
8	Yes, that would result in a cost.
9	${f Q}$ . And I want to talk about responding to other kinds of
10	sexual orientation discrimination as well.
11	Could you just take a look, for me, at exhibit
12	numbers 672, PX672, 673, 674, 675 and 676, in your binder,
13	please?
14	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	MR. PATTERSON: These documents to which they refer
17	appear to be hate crimes reports from California. And Dr. Egan
18	did not refer to hate crimes in his expert report. We did not
19	have an opportunity to depose him on that matter. These were
20	not documents that were relied upon by him.
21	So we would object to testimony on hate crimes as
22	beyond the scope of his expert report.
23	MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, Dr. Egan's report dealt,
24	generally, with the fact of local governments and state
25	governments responding to claims of discrimination. These are

Ī	
1	simply examples. And I think the limited scope of questioning
2	that I'll conduct on this is going to alleviate any concerns
3	that Mr. Patterson has.
4	THE COURT: Well, if the topic was covered in his
5	report and in his deposition, I think it's appropriate for him
6	to cover that topic, generally, in his testimony. But I don't
7	know that that opens the door to the introduction of these
8	particular documents into evidence.
9	MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, these documents were
10	actually authenticated they were
11	THE COURT: Were they authenticated at his
12	deposition?
13	MS. VAN AKEN: Not at his deposition. They were
14	authenticated by the state, by the attorney general, in
15	discovery subsequent to his deposition.
16	Moreover, the 2008 hate crimes Report was not
17	released until late in 2008, after Dr. Egan's deposition had
18	occurred.
19	THE COURT: Well, that, obviously, would not apply to
20	673, 674, 675. I suppose are these the kinds of documents
21	of which the Court can take judicial notice? These appear to
22	be produced by the California Department of Justice.
23	MS. VAN AKEN: Yes, Your Honor, they were. And I
24	believe that they are the kinds of documents of which the Court
25	can take judicial notice.

T	
1	THE COURT: Any reason the Court cannot take judicial
2	notice of these government documents, Mr. Patterson?
3	MR. PATTERSON: No, Your Honor. But to the extent
4	Dr. Egan is going to testify about them, the term "hate crimes"
5	did not appear in his expert report. We did not depose him
6	about hate crimes. So we have not had an opportunity to
7	prepare to discuss that with Dr. Egan.
8	To the extent Your Honor wants to take judicial
9	notice of the documents, we would not have an objection to
10	that.
11	THE COURT: Did I misunderstand you, Ms. Van Aken?
12	You say
13	MS. VAN AKEN: Responding no, responding to
14	discrimination, Your Honor. Responding to discrimination was
15	the topic covered in the expert report, the costs of that.
16	We did not specifically talk about hate crimes or
17	hate crime reports.
18	THE COURT: All right. Well, I think perhaps you
19	should move on then.
20	MS. VAN AKEN: Okay. Your Honor, may I talk about
21	the 2008 report, which was not in had not been released by
22	the Department of Justice?
23	THE COURT: Well, it deals with the same subject,
24	doesn't it?
25	MS. VAN AKEN: It does deal with the same subject,

ī	
1	Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: I think Mr. Patterson is right. If you
3	didn't cover this subject in either his report or in the
4	deposition, I don't think it's appropriate to open up a whole
5	new subject.
б	MS. VAN AKEN: I would move on, Your Honor.
7	BY MS. VAN AKEN:
8	Q. Dr. Egan, let's talk about whether you saw any
9	relationship in your report on sorry.
10	When you were preparing your report and in forming
11	your opinions in this case, did you reach any opinions about
12	the impacts of wedding-related activity on San Francisco's
13	budget?
14	A. Yes, I did.
15	Q. What is that opinion, Dr. Egan?
16	A. In my opinion, if if same-sex marriages were legalized,
17	there would be more same-sex weddings in San Francisco. And,
18	consequently, those weddings would generate economic activity
19	that would lead to more sales tax revenue and hotel tax revenue
20	for San Francisco.
21	Q. Dr. Egan, how many marriage licenses were issued in
22	San Francisco, in 2008?
23	A. You mean for same-sex marriages?
24	Q. Yes.
25	A. That number I do vaguely remember. I believe it's around

1	
1	5,100.
2	${f Q}$ . Okay. And were some of those marriage licenses issued to
3	couples from out of state?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Were some of them issued to couples from other countries?
6	A. I believe so, yes.
7	Q. And at the time that those marriage licenses were being
8	issued, were weddings also taking place?
9	A. Yes, they were.
10	Q. What was the effect of that activity on San Francisco's
11	revenues?
12	A. Uhm, that has weddings as an as a source of
13	expenditure have two kinds of basic effects on a local economy.
14	There is the spending on the event, and associated
15	consumer spending that leads to sales tax revenue. Weddings
16	can also draw in guests from out of town, who stay in hotels
17	and generate business for the hotel industry.
18	Q. Is that activity that's been lost since same-sex marriage
19	has been prohibited in San Francisco?
20	A. Yes, it is.
21	${f Q}$ . Let's talk about how that loss has come about, and what
22	you project if the prohibition were lifted.
23	Can you just briefly describe for me the relationship
24	between lifting that prohibition and then seeing additional
25	sales tax or hotel tax revenue?

1	A. Yes. If we if the prohibition were lifted, we would
2	see, first, more resident weddings, weddings by same-sex
3	couples who currently reside in San Francisco. And we have
4	projected that additional spending to be about \$21 million a
5	year annually.
6	Particularly when we include there will also be
7	nonresidents who come to San Francisco to marry. They will
8	also have event-related spending for their weddings. Although,
9	greatly reduced compared to residents.
10	They will also generate per-diem spending as visitors
11	to the city. And they will generate hotel business because
12	they will be staying at hotels.
13	The third set of new economic activity associated
14	would be out-of-town guests, which we have assumed would
15	largely come for resident weddings. They will generate
16	per-diem spending, and they will also help fill hotel rooms.
17	So it's a combination of the event spending on the
18	wedding itself, and the per-diem spending of visitors generates
19	sales tax revenue. The additional hotel rooms generates hotel
20	tax revenue.
21	${\tt Q}$ . What's the magnitude of the effect of all of this, in your
22	estimate?
23	A. The spending effect is on the order of 35 million. The
24	hotel room revenue is on the order of 2-and-a-half-million
25	dollars. And the tax revenue we project at \$1.7 million a year

,	
1	for sales tax, and about .9 million a year for hotel tax.
2	Q. Speaking generally, what did you base these calculations
3	on?
4	A. We based it on the experience that San Francisco saw with
5	same-sex weddings in 2008.
6	Q. Is this a short-term or a long-term projection for annual
7	increased revenue from sales and hotel taxes?
8	A. I guess I would characterize it as a short-term
9	projection. It's reasonable for me to think that if same-sex
10	marriage is legalized, again, we will see a similar level of
11	activity that we saw the last time. I wouldn't expect that
12	rate to continue forever, however.
13	<b>Q.</b> But continuing into the future, into the long-term, do you
14	expect some level of activity?
15	A. Oh, certainly, I would. Even if every same-sex couple who
16	resides in San Francisco, for example, were able to get
17	married, and was married, there are still new relationships
18	developing, couples forming, people moving to San Francisco who
19	don't live here now.
20	And so there will always be marriages going on into
21	the future, at some level. And, therefore, you would see some
22	economic benefit.
23	Q. Okay. Let's turn, now, to federal income tax benefits
24	with respect to city revenue that might result from the lifting
25	of the prohibition on the marriage of same-sex couples.

1	
1	(Document displayed.)
2	Do you see a relationship there?
3	A. Yes, I do.
4	Q. And what is that relationship?
5	A. If marriage for same-sex couples were permitted, that
6	would affect their federal income tax burden in a way that
7	would put more revenue would result in income tax savings
8	for them.
9	They would have, as a result, more money, some of
10	which they would spend in San Francisco. And that higher
11	spending in San Francisco would generate more sales tax for the
12	City and County.
13	Q. Are you assuming any changes to federal law?
14	A. It's
15	THE COURT: The question was?
16	BY MS. VAN AKEN:
17	Q. Are you assuming any changes to federal law?
18	<b>A.</b> It's my understanding that the Defense of Marriage Act
19	would have to be changed in order to allow this.
20	Q. I see. For these couples to see this income tax benefit?
21	<b>A.</b> Yes. That would be an additional requirement before this
22	benefit could be realized.
23	${f Q}$ . What is the potential magnitude of this benefit to
24	San Francisco?
25	A. Uhm, to to the best of my recollection, the average

-	
1	savings some same-sex couples would experience an income tax
2	savings, and some would actually have a higher income tax
3	burden, if they were married. But the average works out to, I
4	believe, a \$440 a year or so savings.
5	And if that were multiplied by a reasonable estimate
6	of the number of same-sex married couples we might see in
7	San Francisco, and they spent all of that in San Francisco, on
8	taxable goods, we would see as much as \$74,000 a year in
9	additional revenue.
10	Q. Would the state see any additional revenue?
11	A. Yes. Again, the state gets a larger percentage of sales
12	tax than the City does, so they would see an increase in sales
13	tax revenue as well.
14	Q. And assuming an effect like this, would this be true for
15	other federal benefits that same-sex couples could receive,
16	such as Social Security, survivor disability benefits?
17	A. To the extent that their benefits would increase if they
18	were married, then, yes, they would have more revenue to spend
19	in San Francisco, and the City would would receive
20	additional tax revenue.
21	Q. Dr. Egan, I want to turn, now, to talk about
22	San Francisco's Equal Benefits Ordinance. Can you take a look
23	at the exhibit marked PX811, please. 811.
24	Do you recognize this document?
25	A. Yes, I do.

<ol> <li>Q. What is this?</li> <li>A. It is municipal code from San Francisco that details the Human Rights Commission in the City, and its policies</li> <li>concerning discrimination. And Chapter 12(b) details the Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance.</li> <li>Q. Is this something that you reviewed in preparing your</li> <li>in reaching opinions in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX0811 into</li> <li>evidence.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: No objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: 811 is in.</li> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> <li>actively discourage discrimination.</li> </ol>	-	
<ul> <li>Human Rights Commission in the City, and its policies</li> <li>concerning discrimination. And Chapter 12(b) details the Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance.</li> <li>Q. Is this something that you reviewed in preparing your in reaching opinions in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX0811 into</li> <li>evidence.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: No objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: 811 is in. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	1	Q. What is this?
<pre>4 concerning discrimination. And Chapter 12(b) details the Equal 5 Benefits Ordinance. 6 Q. Is this something that you reviewed in preparing your 7 in reaching opinions in this case? 8 A. Yes, it is. 9 MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX0811 into 10 evidence. 11 MR. PATTERSON: No objection. 12 THE COURT: 811 is in. 13 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.) 14 BY MS. VAN AKEN: 15 Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the 16 Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination 17 complaints? 18 A. Yes, it does. 19 Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have 20 responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting? 21 A. Yes, it does. 22 Q. What are those responsibilities? 23 A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate 24 contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and </pre>	2	A. It is municipal code from San Francisco that details the
<ul> <li>Benefits Ordinance.</li> <li>Q. Is this something that you reviewed in preparing your in reaching opinions in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX0811 into</li> <li>evidence.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: No objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: 811 is in. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	3	Human Rights Commission in the City, and its policies
<ul> <li>6 Q. Is this something that you reviewed in preparing your </li> <li>in reaching opinions in this case? <ul> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX0811 into</li> </ul> </li> <li>evidence. <ul> <li>MR. PATTERSON: No objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: 811 is in.</li> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MS. VAN AKEN: <ul> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Yes, it does. <ul> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities? <ul> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	4	concerning discrimination. And Chapter 12(b) details the Equal
<ul> <li>7 in reaching opinions in this case?</li> <li>8 A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>9 MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX0811 into</li> <li>10 evidence.</li> <li>11 MR. PATTERSON: No objection.</li> <li>12 THE COURT: 811 is in. <ul> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>14 BY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>15 Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>16 Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>17 complaints?</li> <li>18 A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>19 Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>20 responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>22 Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>23 A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>24 contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	5	Benefits Ordinance.
<ul> <li>8 A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX0811 into</li> <li>evidence.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: No objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: 811 is in.</li> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	6	Q. Is this something that you reviewed in preparing your
<ul> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX0811 into</li> <li>evidence.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: No objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: 811 is in.</li> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> <li>EY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	7	in reaching opinions in this case?
<ul> <li>evidence.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: No objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: 811 is in.</li> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	8	A. Yes, it is.
<ul> <li>MR. PATTERSON: No objection.</li> <li>THE COURT: 811 is in.</li> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	9	MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I move PX0811 into
<ul> <li>12 THE COURT: 811 is in.</li> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> <li>14 BY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>15 Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>18 A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>19 Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>22 Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>23 A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>24 contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	10	evidence.
<ul> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	11	MR. PATTERSON: No objection.
<ul> <li>BY MS. VAN AKEN:</li> <li>Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	12	THE COURT: 811 is in.
<ul> <li>15 Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the</li> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>17 complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	13	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 811 received in evidence.)
<ul> <li>Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination</li> <li>complaints?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	14	BY MS. VAN AKEN:
<pre>17 complaints? 18 A. Yes, it does. 19 Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have 20 responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting? 21 A. Yes, it does. 22 Q. What are those responsibilities? 23 A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate 24 contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</pre>	15	Q. Dr. Egan, does the Equal Benefits Ordinance require the
<ul> <li>18 A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>19 Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>20 responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>22 Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>23 A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>24 contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	16	Human Rights Commission to investigate discrimination
<ul> <li>19 Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have</li> <li>20 responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>22 Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>23 A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>24 contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	17	complaints?
<ul> <li>20 responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>22 Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>23 A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>24 contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	18	A. Yes, it does.
<ul> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	19	Q. And does the Human Rights Commission also have
<ul> <li>Q. What are those responsibilities?</li> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	20	responsibilities with respect to San Francisco's contracting?
<ul> <li>A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate</li> <li>contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and</li> </ul>	21	A. Yes, it does.
24 contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and	22	Q. What are those responsibilities?
	23	A. In general, it is the City's policy is to regulate
25 actively discourage discrimination.	24	contracting in ways that do not promote discrimination, and
	25	actively discourage discrimination.

<pre>1 Q. So what is your understanding of the goal or the inten 2 the Equal Benefits Ordinance?</pre>	t of
2 the Equal Benefits Ordinance?	
3 <b>A.</b> The Equal Benefits Ordinance is intended intended t	0
4 redress discrimination and discourage discrimination by	
5 requiring contractors for the City to provide the same bene	fits
6 to domestic partners that they provide to married couples.	
7 Q. Dr. Egan, is it costly to the City to administer the E	qual
8 Benefits Ordinance in some way?	
9 <b>A.</b> I believe the the annual administrative cost is in	the
10 order of a million dollars a year for the City.	
11 Q. To the extent that other governments investigate claim	s of
12 discrimination, would they also incur costs?	
13 <b>A.</b> From our Equal Benefits Ordinance, or in general?	
14 Q. No, in general.	
15 <b>A.</b> Yes.	
16 <b>Q.</b> And did the City incur costs in defending the Equal	
17 Benefits Ordinance from legal challenges?	
18 A. Yes, the City did.	
19 Q. Dr. Egan, I want to turn to the issue of the City's	
20 contracting costs.	
21 Under the Equal Benefits Ordinance, do you see an	У
22 relationship between combating discrimination, as you told	me,
23 as the purpose of the Equal Benefits Ordinance, and	
24 San Francisco's contracting costs?	
25 <b>A.</b> Yes, I do.	

What is that relationship, Dr. Egan? 1 Q. Uhm, I believe that if same-sex marriage were legalized, 2 Α. 3 the City would see reduced contracting costs and lower bids on 4 many of its RFPs and proposals. 5 ο. Can you tell me how that would work? 6 Yes. I believe that if same-sex marriage were legalized, Α. 7 more companies would extend benefits to same-sex couples who were married. 8 9 This would lead companies to perceive or experience an actual -- a lower compliance cost to San Francisco's EBO. 10 11 Since they are already providing the benefits to married couples, it would be easier for them to comply with the Equal 12 13 Benefits Ordinance. If that were the case, I would expect that some 14 15 companies who are either not eligible to contract with the City or who are deterred from bidding with the City, because they 16 perceive the EBO as a deterrent, would no longer experience 17 that deterrent. And, consequently, we would see an expanded 18 competition among contractors for doing business with the City. 19 Do you currently believe that there is a reduced pool of 20 ο. 21 contractors competing for the City's business because of the EBO? 22 23 Α. Well, to the extent that it's a deterrent, yes. 24 Q. And what would be the result of this increased response in 25 competition, that you've described?

1	A. Some of the companies that are either not eligible or are
2	deterred may very well be the lowest bidder or the preferred
3	bidder. And, consequently, that tends to inflate the City's
4	contracting costs.
5	<b>Q.</b> I see. Can you quantify what the magnitude of this
6	inflation is, presently?
7	A. Well, it's very difficult to know what the bids of the
8	company who are deterred from building would be, so I can't
9	provide a quantitative estimate of that. But it's sort of
10	basic economics that the more competitors you have, the more
11	price pressure you have.
12	Q. What's the potential impact of lowered contracting costs
13	for the City, if the EBO is perceived to be easier to comply
14	with?
15	A. Uhm, are you asking for a quantitative estimate of
16	savings?
17	Q. Yeah, at least potentially.
18	A. Well, contracting costs are a significant expense for the
19	City, over \$2 billion a year. So even a very small reduction
20	in costs due to a regulatory change regarding how easy it is to
21	contract with the City could result in a significant savings.
22	A 1 percent savings, for example, would result in a
23	21 1 percent reduction in costs would result in a
24	\$21 million savings for the City.
25	Q. Is that an annual figure?

1	A. Yes, it is.
2	Q. And assume with me that there is no further discrimination
3	based on sexual orientation in marriage, and assume with me
4	that the San Francisco board of supervisors thereafter repeals
5	the Equal Benefits Ordinance. What would then be the
6	contracting costs to San Francisco, from the Equal Benefits
7	Ordinance?
8	<b>A.</b> Well, in that case, it would be none.
9	Q. I thought so.
10	Dr. Egan, I want to show you a last slide that a
11	last demonstrative that's entitled, "Summary of Impacts:
12	Quantifiable and Nonquantifiable," and ask you if that reflects
13	an accurate summary of the opinions that you've rendered in
14	this case.
15	(Document displayed.)
16	A. Yes, it is.
17	${\tt Q}$ . And what is the import of this distinction between
18	quantitative and nonquantitative, or quantifiable and
19	nonquantifiable?
20	<b>A.</b> I think the importance of the quantifiable impacts, to
21	discuss them first, is that by the usual methods that we would
22	do in the Office of Economic Analysis, it's clear to me that
23	Proposition 8 has a negative material economic impact on
24	San Francisco. That is to say, the City is losing more than
25	\$10 million a year in economic activity.

1	And, as I've quantified it here, it's at least \$2.6
2	million simply from hotel and sales tax revenue that we're not
3	getting from same-sex weddings.
4	And so the import is, although there are many, many
5	impacts, we can quantify impacts that would, if it were local
6	legislation, lead me to think it would have a material economic
7	impact.
8	${\tt Q}$ . Just so I understand what you just said, there is a total
9	level of economic activity that must occur for it to be
10	material; is that right?
11	A. That's right.
12	Q. Not necessarily a total revenue effect?
13	A. That's right. Although, the large economic activity leads
14	to a large relatively large revenue impact.
15	Q. I see. I see.
16	So when you were speaking earlier about the
17	35 million for wedding-related activity, for instance, is that
18	material, in your opinion?
19	A. Yes. That exceeds \$10 million.
20	Q. Okay. And, now, tell me about this this distinction,
21	the nonquantifiable piece.
22	A. Most of the impacts that I detailed in my report are not
23	quantifiable. At least not as readily quantifiable as the ones
24	on the left. But I wouldn't want to minimize their impact or
25	suggest that they were small, particularly in the long-term.

1	
1	What we're really talking about in the
2	nonquantifiable impacts are the long-term advantages of
3	marriage as an institution, and the long-term costs of
4	discrimination as a way that weakens people's productivity and
5	integration into the labor force.
6	Whether it's weakening their education because
7	they're discriminated against at school, or leading them to
8	excessive reliance on behavioral and other health services,
9	these are impacts that are hard to quantify, but they can wind
10	up being extremely powerful. How much healthier you are over
11	your lifetime. How much wealth you generate because you are in
12	a partnership.
13	So it seems reasonable to me to think that, in the
14	long-term, these are the impacts that would matter for
15	San Francisco, even if we can't attach a number to them now.
16	MS. VAN AKEN: Thank you, Dr. Egan. Nothing further.
17	THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Patterson, you may
18	cross-examine.
19	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor may we approach the
20	witness with the exhibit binder, please?
21	THE COURT: That would be fine.
22	CROSS EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. PATTERSON:
24	Q. Good morning, Dr. Egan.
25	A. Good morning.

1	Q. My name is Peter A. Patterson. I will be asking you some
2	questions on behalf of the defendant-intervenor.
3	First, I'd like to start with the economic activity
4	that you believe will be generated in San Francisco on account
5	of same-sex marriages being allowed.
6	And you had testified that San Francisco currently
7	incurs costs because these in the forms of foregone sales
8	tax and hotel tax revenues on account of same-sex couples not
9	being able to get married; is that correct?
10	A. That's correct.
11	${f Q}$ . You have attempted to estimate, at least for the
12	short-term, the new consumer spending that would generate these
13	revenues that same-sex marriage would generate on an annualized
14	basis in the City and County of San Francisco; is that correct?
15	A. Yes, it is.
16	Q. You have not attempted to quantify the long-term impact,
17	correct?
18	A. That's correct.
19	Q. And you've not attempted to quantify the impact of
20	domestic partnerships on San Francisco's economy; is that
21	correct?
22	A. That's correct.
23	Q. Okay. And, Dr. Egan, are you aware if gays and lesbians
24	may currently have religious and other wedding ceremonies and
25	celebrations, even though they are not permitted to obtain a

1	civi	l marriage license?
2	А.	I'm actually not aware of that. I don't know.
3	Q.	Do you think it's reasonable to assume that that they
4	do?	
5	А.	That they are allowed to have religious
6	Q.	That they are permitted to have religious wedding
7	cere	monies, even though they are not permitted to obtain a
8	civi	l marriage license?
9	А.	I guess I would assume that's reasonable, yes.
10	Q.	And is it reasonable to assume that some of them actually
11	do h	ave those types of ceremonies and celebrations?
12	А.	I would guess so, yes.
13	Q.	But you have not accounted for any economic impact that's
14	gene	rated from those currently, have you?
15	А.	That's correct. I suppose one reason might be I don't
16	have	a count of them; whereas, I have a count of legal
17	marr	iages.
18	Q.	And do you know if gays and lesbians that have such
19	cele	brations, were they permitted to civilly marry, would they
20	have	another one?
21	А.	It's difficult for me to put myself in their shoes there.
22	Q.	Right. So so your report, essentially, assumes that
23	ever	y gay and lesbian couple that gets married will have a
24	wedd	ing ceremony or celebration; is that correct?
25	Α.	I actually the analysis depends if that there is an

1		
1	average expenditure on weddings associated with each wedding,	
2	yes.	
3	Q. So that implicitly assumes that each of them has	
4	<b>A.</b> No, it assumes that, on average, this is the average	
5	expenditure. It doesn't assume everyone hits exactly the	
б	average.	
7	Q. Okay. Now, you have based your short-term estimate on	
8	San Francisco's experience from June 17th, 2008, to	
9	November 4th, 2008; is that correct?	
10	A. That's correct.	
11	Q. And you implicitly assume that the same number of same-sex	
12	couples will get married, at least in the short-term, at a	
13	similar rate as they did during that time period; is that	
14	correct?	
15	A. That's correct.	
16	Q. Okay. And you recognize that the rate that occurred	
17	during that time period was partially due to a pent-up demand	
18	for same-sex marriage; is that correct?	
19	A. In the sense that there were a number of same-sex couples	
20	who were unable to be married and wanted to be married quickly,	
21	yes, that's correct.	
22	Q. And that means that the rate that occurred during that	
23	time frame was inflated, to some extent, due to that pent-up	
24	demand; is that correct?	
25	A. By "inflated" do you mean relative to some future rate?	

1	Q.	Yes.
2	А.	Yes, that's right.
3	Q.	But you believe that that pent-up demand was not satisfied
4	duri	ng that time period in 2008; is that correct?
5	А.	That's correct. Well, the I'm simply assuming that
6	ther	e will be the same rate of marriage if it's legalized
7	agai	n, yes.
8	Q.	So that would be if pent-up demand was not satisfied?
9	А.	To the extent that includes pent-up demand, yes, you are
10	corr	ect.
11	Q.	Your assumption that there is a pent-up demand on same-sex
12	marr	iage is based simply on your opinion living in the city and
13	obse	rving the interest in it among same-sex couples; is that
14	corr	ect?
15	Α.	I didn't use I didn't use a concept of pent-up demand
16	in m	y analysis. I simply said when same sex if same-sex
17	marr	iage is legalized again, it's reasonable to me you would
18	see	the same level of activity that you did when it was last
19	lega	1.
20	Q.	I believe you testified a little differently at your
21	depo	sition. If you could turn to tab 2 in the binder, on page
22	29,	starting at line 24. Excuse me. I'm sorry. Yes, starting
23	at l	ine 24. And the question is:
24		"So what is your basis for thinking there is
25		a pent-up demand for same-sex marriage?"

1And, then, if you would go down to line 9 on the2following page. You testified:3"I simply thought it was reasonable to4presume there was a pent-up demand for5same-sex marriages in San Francisco, just6from living in the City and observing the7interest in it among many same-sex couples."8Did you give that testimony at your deposition?9A. Well, those are my words. I'm trying to reconstruct the10context.11Q. Yes or no, did you12A. Yes.13Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was14not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is15that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending16marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were17scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?18A. Yes, that's one reason.19Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 2210in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been11marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.12Do you recognize this document?13A. Yes, I do.14Q. And what is this document?15A. This is a summary of marriage license appointments and	T	
<ul> <li>3 "I simply thought it was reasonable to</li> <li>4 presume there was a pent-up demand for</li> <li>5 same-sex marriages in San Francisco, just</li> <li>6 from living in the City and observing the</li> <li>7 interest in it among many same-sex couples."</li> <li>8 Did you give that testimony at your deposition?</li> <li>9 A. Well, those are my words. I'm trying to reconstruct the</li> <li>10 context.</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was</li> <li>14 not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is</li> <li>15 that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending</li> <li>16 marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>17 scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>18 A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>19 Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>10 in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>11 marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>12 Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>13 A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>24 Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	1	And, then, if you would go down to line 9 on the
<ul> <li>4 presume there was a pent-up demand for</li> <li>5 same-sex marriages in San Francisco, just</li> <li>6 from living in the City and observing the</li> <li>7 interest in it among many same-sex couples."</li> <li>8 Did you give that testimony at your deposition?</li> <li>9 A. Well, those are my words. I'm trying to reconstruct the</li> <li>10 context.</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was</li> <li>14 not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is</li> <li>15 that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending</li> <li>16 marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>17 scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>18 A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>19 Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>20 in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>21 marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>22 Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>23 A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>24 Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	2	following page. You testified:
<ul> <li>same-sex marriages in San Francisco, just</li> <li>from living in the City and observing the</li> <li>interest in it among many same-sex couples."</li> <li>Did you give that testimony at your deposition?</li> <li>A. Well, those are my words. I'm trying to reconstruct the</li> <li>context.</li> <li>Q. Yes or no, did you</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was</li> <li>not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is</li> <li>that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending</li> <li>marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	3	"I simply thought it was reasonable to
<ul> <li>from living in the City and observing the</li> <li>interest in it among many same-sex couples."</li> <li>Did you give that testimony at your deposition?</li> <li>A. Well, those are my words. I'm trying to reconstruct the</li> <li>context.</li> <li>Q. Yes or no, did you</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was</li> <li>not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is</li> <li>that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending</li> <li>marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	4	presume there was a pent-up demand for
<ul> <li>interest in it among many same-sex couples."</li> <li>Did you give that testimony at your deposition?</li> <li>A. Well, those are my words. I'm trying to reconstruct the</li> <li>context.</li> <li>Q. Yes or no, did you</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was</li> <li>not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is</li> <li>that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending</li> <li>marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	5	same-sex marriages in San Francisco, just
<ul> <li>Bid you give that testimony at your deposition?</li> <li>A. Well, those are my words. I'm trying to reconstruct the</li> <li>context.</li> <li>Q. Yes or no, did you</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was</li> <li>not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is</li> <li>that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending</li> <li>marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	6	from living in the City and observing the
<ul> <li>9 A. Well, those are my words. I'm trying to reconstruct the</li> <li>context.</li> <li>Q. Yes or no, did you</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was</li> <li>not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is</li> <li>that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending</li> <li>marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	7	interest in it among many same-sex couples."
<pre>10 context. 11 Q. Yes or no, did you 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was 14 not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is 15 that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending 16 marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were 17 scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct? 18 A. Yes, that's one reason. 19 Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22 20 in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been 21 marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805. 22 Do you recognize this document? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And what is this document?</pre>	8	Did you give that testimony at your deposition?
<ul> <li>11 Q. Yes or no, did you</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was</li> <li>not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is</li> <li>that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending</li> <li>marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	9	A. Well, those are my words. I'm trying to reconstruct the
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	10	context.
<ul> <li>Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was</li> <li>not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is</li> <li>that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending</li> <li>marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	11	Q. Yes or no, did you
14 not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is 15 that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending 16 marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were 17 scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct? 18 A. Yes, that's one reason. 19 Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22 20 in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been 11 marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805. 22 Do you recognize this document? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And what is this document?	12	A. Yes.
<ul> <li>15 that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending</li> <li>marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>18 A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>19 Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>22 Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>23 A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>24 Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	13	Q. And your basis for assuming that this pent-up demand was
<ul> <li>16 marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were</li> <li>17 scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?</li> <li>18 A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>19 Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>20 in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>21 marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>22 Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>23 A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>24 Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	14	not satisfied from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008, is
<pre>17 scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct? 18 A. Yes, that's one reason. 19 Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22 20 in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been 21 marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805. 22 Do you recognize this document? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And what is this document?</pre>	15	that, to the best of your recollection, there were pending
<ul> <li>18 A. Yes, that's one reason.</li> <li>19 Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22</li> <li>20 in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been</li> <li>21 marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.</li> <li>22 Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>23 A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>24 Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	16	marriage appointments in the county clerk's office that were
19 Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22 20 in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been 21 marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805. 22 Do you recognize this document? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And what is this document?	17	scheduled after November 4, 2008; is that correct?
<pre>20 in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been 21 marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805. 22 Do you recognize this document? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And what is this document?</pre>	18	A. Yes, that's one reason.
<pre>21 marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805. 22 Do you recognize this document? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. And what is this document?</pre>	19	<b>Q.</b> Okay. If you could, please, turn your attention to tab 22
<ul> <li>22 Do you recognize this document?</li> <li>23 A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>24 Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	20	in the witness binder. And this is an exhibit that has been
<ul> <li>23 A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>24 Q. And what is this document?</li> </ul>	21	marked PX805, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805.
24 Q. And what is this document?	22	Do you recognize this document?
	23	A. Yes, I do.
25 <b>A.</b> This is a summary of marriage license appointments and	24	Q. And what is this document?
	25	A. This is a summary of marriage license appointments and

T	
1	actual marriage license issued by the San Francisco County
2	clerk.
3	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we move this PX805 into
4	evidence.
5	MS. VAN AKEN: No objection, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: 805 is admitted.
7	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 805 received in evidence.)
8	BY MR. PATTERSON:
9	Q. Dr. Egan, from June 17th, 2006, to June 30th or 2008,
10	I'm sorry, to June 30 of 2008, how much marriage license
11	appointments for same-sex couples does this document report?
12	<b>A.</b> 1,080.
13	Q. But from July 1st to July 31st?
14	<b>A.</b> 897.
15	Q. August 1st to August 31st?
16	<b>A.</b> 836.
17	Q. September 1st
18	THE COURT: I think we can read these numbers.
19	MR. PATTERSON: Okay.
20	THE COURT: Let's go to the question.
21	BY MR. PATTERSON:
22	<b>Q.</b> The question is: How about from November 5th to
23	November 30th?
24	<b>A.</b> 56.
25	Q. And that's quite a bit lower than the number of marriage

-	
1	appointments that were pending during the time same-sex
2	marriage was legal; is that correct?
3	THE COURT: I think you can ask the witness whether
4	he sees a trend.
5	(Laughter)
6	THE WITNESS: It's a lower number. But the only
7	reason I hesitate in giving that answer is, this is as of
8	November 24th. And I don't know how many people cancelled
9	their appointment between November 5th and November 24th, when
10	this document was prepared.
11	BY MR. PATTERSON:
12	Q. Okay. Well, this particular document doesn't provide much
13	evidence that the pent-up demand was similar after it was
14	not satisfied during the time same-sex marriage was legal; does
15	it?
16	A. If you're asking me to believe that there was a great deal
17	of pent-up demand from October 20th to November 4th, when there
18	were a thousand appointments, but somehow it ended right at
19	that point, and there was no pent-up demand as of November 5th,
20	I would just say this is not an indicator of pent-up demand.
21	It's
22	Q. Well, you gave as your testimony, did you not, that the
23	pending marriage appointments, license appointments, were an
24	indicator that pent-up demand was not satisfied; is that
25	correct?

1	A. The fact that anyone had an appointment to get married
2	after November 4th, indicates that there are at least some
3	couples who wish to get married. I would not say that that is
4	an exhaustive list.
5	Q. Right. But you distinguish between pent-up demand and
6	some sort of demand that would obtain in the long-term; is that
7	correct?
8	A. Pent-up demand is not a concept that I used in my
9	analysis. I'm simply saying that, in the short-term, if
10	same-sex marriage were legal again, we would see a similar
11	experience to what we saw in 2008.
12	Q. Right. But what you have said is you would expect that
13	for a period of time the marriage rate would be elevated; and
14	in the longer term, it would go to some some more steady
15	state level; is that correct?
16	A. That's correct. That's a good way to put it.
17	Q. Okay. And as evidence that this the rate would
18	continue at the rate it did before November 4th, 2008, you gave
19	that there were marriage license appointments pending; is that
20	correct?
21	A. That is one indicator, yes.
22	Q. Right. Now, this does not support an assertion that
23	marriage appointments would continue at a similar rate after
24	November 4, 2008, than it did before, does it?
25	A. Well, it doesn't if you believe that this was

1	100 percent of the pent-up demand.
2	Q. Could you clarify that, please.
3	A. Yes. I mean, it seems to me that suppose you were a
4	you were in a same-sex relationship, and you wanted to get
5	married after November 5th. You would be classified as the way
6	we have been talking about it, as pent-up demand. That doesn't
7	lead me to believe you would go to the county clerk and make an
8	appointment.
9	So this list of outstanding county clerk appointments
10	indicates that there are at least some couples who wish to get
11	married. But you wouldn't think that every couple that wished
12	to get married would make an appointment for something that
13	couldn't happen.
14	Q. But it doesn't indicate that couples would get married at
15	the same rate after November 4th, as it did before, does it?
16	A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question.
17	Q. It indicates that some same-sex couples would get married
18	after November 4th. I'm not questioning that at all. I'm
19	assuming same-sex couples would, if they were permitted to get
20	married. But it doesn't indicate they would get married at the
21	same rate as they did before November 4, does it?
22	A. But it is not an indicator of those who will get married
23	or want to get married. It's simply evidence of some.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. In other words, the marriage licenses when it's legal

T	
1	are because you are able to get married, are a fairly
2	accurate measure of the demand for marriage. The number after
3	you can't get married are not.
4	(Laughter)
5	I think that that would be an important distinction.
6	Q. Right. And I'm asking you what you're basing your opinion
7	on, that the number after November 4, 2008, would be comparable
8	to that before. And this is one of the indicators you gave me;
9	is that correct?
10	A. That's correct. And it is an indication that there are
11	some people who, even after Proposition 8 passed, had existing
12	marriage license appointments.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. And if I could give you a slightly deeper answer. It's
15	the only actual quantitative information that I could have
16	given you in response to your question at the deposition.
17	I don't know how many same-sex couples in
18	San Francisco want to get married now, because there is no way
19	to register that.
20	Q. Okay. Fair enough.
21	Now, as we've been discussing here, been calling
22	pent-up demand or this elevated rate of marriages that were
23	obtained for the short-term, you believe that this will last
24	for several years; is that correct?
25	A. I I used the term "several years" in my expert report,

Ī	
1	yes.
2	Q. But you cannot quantify it beyond the term "several
3	years"; is that correct?
4	A. Right. Because I don't have a clear sense of what's
5	pent-up demand and what is the factors that go into what you
6	term the steady state rate.
7	Q. And you can't even say it would be less than ten years; is
8	that correct?
9	A. That's I cannot put a number to it, yes.
10	Q. Okay. You can't say it would be less than 20 years?
11	A. That's correct.
12	<b>Q.</b> Please, turn to tab 3 in the witness binder. This is a
13	document that's been marked Plaintiffs' Exhibit 815, PX815.
14	And do you recognize this document?
15	A. Yes, I do.
16	Q. What is this document?
17	A. This is a report that I prepared in 2008, at the request
18	of a member of our board of supervisors, to estimate what the
19	three-year impact of legalizing same-sex marriage might be on
20	San Francisco's economy.
21	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would like to admit
22	this in evidence.
23	MS. VAN AKEN: No objection, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: 815 is admitted.
25	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 815 received in evidence.)

т	
1	BY MR. PATTERSON:
2	${f Q}$ . You said this was done at the request of a member of the
3	board of supervisors; is that correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Your office typically does not review statewide
6	legislation; is that right?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. And you believe that the board of supervisors the board
9	of supervisors member who requested this wanted to know if
10	the revenues of same-sex marriage would offset the costs of
11	same-sex marriage; is that correct?
12	A. I believe the request wanted to know the revenue impacts,
13	to look at whether it made sense to add additional resources
14	for the county clerk who had to process an elevated number of
15	license and appointment requests.
16	Q. So they thought they might have some additional staffing
17	costs associated
18	A. Right.
19	Q with same-sex marriage; is this correct?
20	If you could turn to page 6 of this report. And this
21	is a slide titled, "Assumptions. San Francisco Resident
22	Weddings."
23	And can you please read the first sentence of the
24	first bullet point on that page.
25	A. Yes. It says, "Based on the experience of Massachusetts,

1	we project that 28 percent of San Francisco's same-sex couples
2	will marry in fiscal year 2008-09, and 9 percent in fiscal year
3	2009-10, a 67 percent drop."
4	Q. Okay. What I'm interested in is this 67 percent drop in
5	same-sex marriages that you assumed from the first year to the
6	second year of its availability.
7	For your opinion in this case, you have not factored
8	in any drop from the time period in 2008, when same-sex
9	marriage was legal, until the time when same-sex marriage is
10	permitted again; is that correct?
11	A. That's right.
12	Q. And you've said that rate that obtained in 2008 would last
13	for several years. And you've not projected any any drop
14	between those years; is that correct?
15	<b>A.</b> That's right. I have not attempted to quantify that drop.
16	Q. In this report which you did for a member of the
17	San Francisco board of supervisors, you did you did project
18	a drop; is that correct?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. Okay. So, now, if you could turn to tab 4 of the witness
21	binder. And this is a document that's been marked Plaintiffs'
22	Exhibit 1734.
23	Can you identify this document. And if you would
24	like, at the same time, to look at what has been behind tab 5.
25	That is PX1735. I believe those two documents, taken together,

1	represent all the marriages that took place in San Francisco
2	during the time period same-sex marriage was legal; is that
3	right?
4	A. I believe you're correct.
5	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would like to admit
6	these two move two documents, PX1734, PX1735, into evidence.
7	MS. VAN AKEN: No objection, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Perhaps you can have the witness explain
9	how one should read these.
10	MR. PATTERSON: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Are you planning to do that,
12	Mr. Patterson?
13	MR. PATTERSON: Yes.
14	THE COURT: All right. Fine.
15	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1734, 1735 received in
16	evidence.)
17	BY MR. PATTERSON:
18	Q. So this is information the county clerk's office provided
19	you with respect to marriages in San Francisco; is that
20	correct?
21	A. Uh-huh.
22	<b>Q.</b> And it's my understanding that there is an entry in these
23	documents for each marriage that took place in San Francisco
24	from June 17th, 2008, to November 4th, 2008; is that correct?
25	A. That's my understanding, too.

<ol> <li>Q. And it's broken down first of all, there are two documents. My understanding is that one of them is</li> <li>confidential weddings, and one of them is weddings that are on the public record; is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's why there are two documents, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And the information in each of these documents, the weddings, are broken down between San Francisco resident</li> <li>weddings San Francisco resident same-sex couples, non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples, and then opposite-sex couples; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the ordering.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But</li> <li>Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one.</li> <li>That's behind tab 5.</li> <li>And then on page 42 of that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</li> </ol>		
3 confidential weddings, and one of them is weddings that are on 4 the public record; is that correct? 5 A. That's why there are two documents, yes. 6 Q. Okay. And the information in each of these documents, the 7 weddings, are broken down between San Francisco resident 8 weddings San Francisco resident same-sex couples, 9 non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples, and then 10 opposite-sex couples; is that correct? 1 A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the 9 ordering. 2 Okay. 14 A. But 5 Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if 9 you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a 11 that's behind tab 5. 19 And then on page 42 of that 10 A. Yes. 20 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 20 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?	1	Q. And it's broken down first of all, there are two
4 the public record; is that correct? 5 A. That's why there are two documents, yes. 6 Q. Okay. And the information in each of these documents, the 7 weddings, are broken down between San Francisco resident 8 weddings San Francisco resident same-sex couples, 9 non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples, and then 10 opposite-sex couples; is that correct? 11 A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the 12 ordering. 13 Q. Okay. 14 A. But 15 Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if 16 you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a 17 little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. 18 That's behind tab 5. 19 And then on page 42 of that 20 A. Yes. 21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?	2	documents. My understanding is that one of them is
<ul> <li>A. That's why there are two documents, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And the information in each of these documents, the</li> <li>weddings, are broken down between San Francisco resident</li> <li>weddings San Francisco resident same-sex couples,</li> <li>non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples, and then</li> <li>opposite-sex couples; is that correct?</li> <li>A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the</li> <li>ordering.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But</li> <li>Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if</li> <li>you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a</li> <li>little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one.</li> <li>That's behind tab 5.</li> <li>And then on page 42 of that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</li> </ul>	3	confidential weddings, and one of them is weddings that are on
<ul> <li>6 Q. Okay. And the information in each of these documents, the weddings, are broken down between San Francisco resident</li> <li>8 weddings San Francisco resident same-sex couples, and then</li> <li>10 opposite-sex couples; is that correct?</li> <li>11 A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the</li> <li>12 ordering.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay.</li> <li>14 A. But</li> <li>15 Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if</li> <li>16 you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a</li> <li>11 little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one.</li> <li>18 That's behind tab 5.</li> <li>19 And then on page 42 of that</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that</li> <li>22 correct?</li> <li>23 A. Yes.</li> <li>24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</li> </ul>	4	the public record; is that correct?
<pre>veddings, are broken down between San Francisco resident veddings San Francisco resident same-sex couples, non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples, and then opposite-sex couples; is that correct? A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the ordering. Q. Okay. A. But Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. That's behind tab 5. M. Yes. Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</pre>	5	A. That's why there are two documents, yes.
<pre>8 weddings San Francisco resident same-sex couples, 9 non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples, and then 10 opposite-sex couples; is that correct? 11 A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the 12 ordering. 13 Q. Okay. 14 A. But 15 Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if 16 you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a 17 little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. 18 That's behind tab 5. 19 And then on page 42 of that 20 A. Yes. 21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</pre>	6	${f Q}$ . Okay. And the information in each of these documents, the
non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples, and then opposite-sex couples; is that correct? A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the ordering. Q. Okay. A. But Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. That's behind tab 5. And then on page 42 of that A. Yes. Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?	7	weddings, are broken down between San Francisco resident
<pre>10 opposite-sex couples; is that correct? 11 A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the 12 ordering. 13 Q. Okay. 14 A. But 15 Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if 16 you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a 17 little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. 18 That's behind tab 5. 19 And then on page 42 of that 20 A. Yes. 21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</pre>	8	weddings San Francisco resident same-sex couples,
A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the ordering. Q. Okay. A. But Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. That's behind tab 5. And then on page 42 of that A. Yes. Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?	9	non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples, and then
<pre>12 ordering. 13 Q. Okay. 14 A. But 15 Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if 16 you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a 17 little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. 18 That's behind tab 5. 19 And then on page 42 of that 20 A. Yes. 21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</pre>	10	opposite-sex couples; is that correct?
<pre>13 Q. Okay. 14 A. But 15 Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if 16 you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a 17 little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. 18 That's behind tab 5. 19 And then on page 42 of that 20 A. Yes. 21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</pre>	11	A. I would have to refresh my recollection about the
14 A. But 9. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if 16 you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a 17 little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. 18 That's behind tab 5. 19 And then on page 42 of that 20 A. Yes. 21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?	12	ordering.
9. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. That's behind tab 5. And then on page 42 of that A. Yes. Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?	13	Q. Okay.
<pre>16 you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a 17 little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. 18 That's behind tab 5. 19 And then on page 42 of that 20 A. Yes. 21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</pre>	14	A. But
<pre>17 little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one. 18 That's behind tab 5. 19 And then on page 42 of that 20 A. Yes. 21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</pre>	15	Q. Well, if it would help you refresh your recollection, if
18 That's behind tab 5. 19 And then on page 42 of that 20 A. Yes. 21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?	16	you look at PX1735, that one has page numbers on it, so it's a
<ul> <li>And then on page 42 of that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</li> </ul>	17	little easier for me to ask you to flip through that one.
<pre>20 A. Yes. 21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</pre>	18	That's behind tab 5.
<pre>21 Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</pre>	19	And then on page 42 of that
<pre>22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</pre>	20	A. Yes.
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?</li> </ul>	21	Q it says, "Same-Sex Inside San Francisco"; is that
24 Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?	22	correct?
	23	A. Yes.
25 <b>A.</b> Yes.	24	Q. It's cut off, but that's what you understand?
	25	A. Yes.

<ol> <li>Q. So everything above that would be same-sex marriages to occurred inside San Francisco?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And if you turn to page 90, about a third of the way of the same set of the same set.</li> </ol>	
3 A. That's correct.	lown
	lown
4 Q. And if you turn to page 90, about a third of the way $\alpha$	lown
5 the page, do you understand that that's represents same	sex
6 outside of San Francisco?	
7 <b>A.</b> Yes.	
8 Q. Okay. And, then, if you turn to page 142, which I be	Lieve
9 is the last page of the document, it says "opposite sex";	İs
10 that correct?	
11 <b>A.</b> Yes.	
12 Q. And is it your understanding that the other document h	las
13 these listed in the same in the same order? And this or	ıe
14 doesn't have page numbers, but on the fifth page is where t	che
15 first	
16 <b>A.</b> Uh-huh.	
17 <b>Q.</b> cutoff takes place.	
18 <b>A.</b> It's organized in the same way, yes.	
19 Q. Okay. For each wedding, would you agree that it inclu	ıdes
20 the city and state of residence for each partner to the	
21 marriage?	
22 <b>A.</b> Yes.	
23 Q. And so with these documents you could determine the	
24 proportion of out-of-state couples that came to California	to
25 get married during this time period; is that correct?	

1	A. Yes.
2	<b>Q.</b> Or came to San Francisco. Sorry.
3	And you have not attempted to do so in this report;
4	is that correct?
5	<b>A.</b> Uhm, I believe the distinction we made in our analysis is
6	resident/nonresident, and not in state/out of state, yes.
7	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Now if you could turn to tab 6 of this binder. And
8	this is a document that's been marked PX1736.
9	And this is data first of all, can you identify
10	this document?
11	<b>A.</b> Yes. This is a summary of the reports we were just
12	examining, that summarizes them by the whether it's an
13	opposite-sex or same-sex marriage, and the location of the
14	residence of the partners.
15	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would move to admit
16	PX1736.
17	MS. VAN AKEN: No objection, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Very well. 1736 is admitted.
19	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1736 received in evidence.)
20	BY MR. PATTERSON:
21	<b>Q.</b> Now, let's start with a San Francisco residents, same-sex
22	marriages. So from June 17th to November 4, 2008, there were
23	2,331 San Francisco resident same-sex marriages; is that
24	correct?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. And your annualized calculations are based on, basically,
2	dividing the activity that took place during this time period
3	by .38, to arrive at an annualized figure; is that correct?
4	A. Right. Because the period during in 2008, that
5	same-sex marriage was legal represents 38 percent of 2008.
6	Q. Okay.
7	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, I would like to use a
8	demonstrative to ask the next several questions.
9	THE COURT: Very well.
10	(Document displayed)
11	BY MR. PATTERSON:
12	${\tt Q}$ . So this is the first slide. And we just established that
13	2,331 same-sex marriages between San Francisco residents took
14	place in 2008; is that correct?
15	A. Yes, we just did.
16	Q. And that using your methodology, you would have to divide
17	by .38 to get the annualized figure; is that correct?
18	So we move to slide 2, please. I've done the math
19	here. 2,331 divided by .38 equals 6,134. Does that look right
20	to you?
21	A. That I trust your math on that.
22	Q. Okay. And you've said that marriages would continue at
23	this rate for several years, so I'm going to assume "several"
24	would be at least two. Is that correct?
25	A. Again, I can't attach a number to it

T	r	
1	Q.	Right.
2	А.	because I don't have a sense of what the
3	Q.	But several, generally, is more than one; is that correct?
4	А.	It's more than one.
5	Q.	Okay. So, then, after two years, using your methodology,
6	you	would project that in addition to the 2,331 San Francisco
7	coup	les that got married in 2008, there would be 6,134 times
8	two?	Is that correct?
9	А.	Yes.
10	Q.	So can we move to the next slide.
11		(Document displayed.)
12		So that would be, after two years, if same-sex
13	marr	iage were legalized again, we would have 14,599
14	San	Francisco resident same-sex marriages; is that correct?
15	А.	Uh-huh.
16	Q.	Okay. If you could please turn to tab 7 in your witness
17	bind	er. This is a document that's been marked Plaintiffs'
18	Exhi	bit 817. Do you recognize this document?
19	А.	Yes, I do.
20	Q.	And could you describe what this document is?
21	А.	Yeah. This is a table from the U.S. Census Bureau's
22	Amer	ican Community Survey.
23	Q.	And you relied on this table in preparing your expert
24	repo	rt?
25	А.	Yes, I did.

1	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would like to move
2	this PX817 into evidence.
3	MS. VAN AKEN: No objection.
4	THE COURT: 817 is admitted.
5	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 817 received in evidence.)
6	BY MR. PATTERSON:
7	Q. Now, could you tell me how many male-couple households
8	this Census Bureau report estimates there are in San Francisco?
9	A. Do you mean unmarried-partner households where there's a
10	male household or a male partner?
11	Q. Yes, I do.
12	A. That's 7,033.
13	Q. And could you tell me how many female households of
14	similar type there it reports?
15	A. That's 2,591.
16	Q. That's a I'll represent to you, that's a total of
17	9,624. Does that sound correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. So you understand this to be estimated that there are
20	9,624 same-sex couples living in San Francisco; is that
21	correct?
22	A. There were on an average during the three years that were
23	covered by the census, yes.
24	<b>Q.</b> And, in light of that, do you think it's reasonable to
25	assume that after two more years of same-sex marriage, there

would be 14,599 San Francisco resident same-sex couples that			
get married in San Francisco?			
A. Well, I wouldn't be able to comment on the reasonableness			
of that, unless I knew something about the migration rate in			
and out of San Francisco of guy and lesbian individuals, and I			
knew something about the rate of couple formation.			
If, for example, these 9,000 or so have either gotten			
married and are no longer unmarried, or have moved out of			
San Francisco, or their partnerships have dissolved, there			
could very well be, over the next two years, an additional			
14,000 new set of couples that might wish to get married.			
Again, but this is one reason why I didn't attach a			
number of years to it, because I don't have that necessary			
information to make a long-term calculation.			
Q. Okay. Do you think it would have been, you know,			
informative to compare the number of marriages your estimate			
projects with the population of same-sex couples in			
San Francisco?			
<b>A.</b> I think if I had wanted to quantify the length of time			
that I thought that short-term projection would apply well,			
actually, no. Because I'm not sure I think, by itself, this			
is not a good predictor of the number of weddings in			
San Francisco, because you need to know how many couples there			
are in a given year, who are ready to get married.			
And that has to do with the dynamics of migration and			

1	the dynamics of household formation or couple formation.
2	<b>Q.</b> Can I ask you to look at tab 8 in the witness binder now,
3	please.
4	This is an exhibit that has been marked PX818. "The
5	Impact of Extending Marriage to Same-Sex Couples on the
6	California Budget." It's a Williams Institute report.
7	Do you recognize this document?
8	A. Yes, I do.
9	Q. And did you rely on this document in preparing your expert
10	opinion in this case?
11	A. Yes, I did.
12	Q. Now, if you would turn to page 4. And the second sentence
13	of the of the second paragraph says that:
14	"Approximately 9,695 same-sex couples married
15	in Massachusetts during the first three years
16	they were allowed to do so, constituting at
17	least 44 percent of Massachusetts same-sex
18	couples as counted in the U.S. Census
19	Bureau's American Community Survey."
20	Is that correct?
21	A. Yes, it is.
22	${\tt Q}$ . And is it correct that your projection assumes that over
23	100 percent of San Francisco same-sex couples, as counted by
24	the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey, would get
25	married after two years, were same-sex marriage permitted?

1	
1	A. Well, the Census Bureau doesn't count the number of
2	couples over a two-year period. It counts the number of
3	couples at one point in time.
4	Q. Right.
5	A. And report it for that year.
6	Q. But I'm this Williams Institute report
7	A. Yes.
8	Q used the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey
9	to determine what percentage of Massachusetts same-sex couples
10	got married during the first three years they were allowed to
11	do so; is that correct?
12	A. Right.
13	${f Q}$ . And that is the same methodology I just walked you through
14	with respect to your projections, is that correct?
15	MS. VAN AKEN: Objection, vague.
16	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
17	BY MR. PATTERSON:
18	Q. Which methodology are referring to?
19	A. The methodology of seeing how many weddings your
20	methodology would assume occurred in San Francisco during the
21	next two years was the period that I selected.
22	And then using the U.S. Census Bureau's American
23	Community Survey estimates as basically a denominator to figure
24	out the percentage of same-sex couples that that represents.
25	Is that what the Williams Institute did and is that
-	

1	the same thing that I've walked you through?
2	A. The Williams Institute has compared the number of same-sex
3	couples who were married in Massachusetts during the first
4	three years that they were allowed to do so with an estimate of
5	the total number of same-sex couples in Massachusetts as
6	reported by the American Community Survey.
7	And you have extrapolated my estimate to produce a
8	two-year estimate and compared it to the American Community
9	Survey for San Francisco's estimate of same-sex couples.
10	${\tt Q}$ . And what actually happened in Massachusetts after three
11	years was 44 percent of same-sex couples got married, is that
12	correct, as so estimated?
13	A. Yes, I believe that's correct.
14	Q. And your projections assume that over 100 percent of
15	San Francisco same-sex couples would get married, is that
16	correct, using those same parameters?
17	<b>A.</b> Again, I don't believe that that is a correct measure
18	necessarily of the number of potential weddings that could take
19	place because of the issue of how couple formation and
20	migration that I have discussed.
21	Q. I'm not asking you if it's a correct way to analyze it.
22	I'm asking you if that is the result of that analysis; that
23	over 100 percent as counted that way?
24	A. Yes.
25	${f Q}$ . Okay. Now, you claim that revenue will be generated from

1	the marriages of same-sex resident same-sex couples in the
2	form of hotel tax revenues and sales tax revenues, is that
3	correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Hotel tax revenues are generated when non-resident guests
6	of same-sex couples travel to San Francisco to attend weddings,
7	is that correct?
8	A. As well as when non-resident couples travel to
9	San Francisco to marry.
10	Q. I'd like you to just now focus on the San Francisco
11	resident same-sex weddings for this series of questions.
12	And sales tax will be generated by the per diem
13	spending of these out-of-town guests, is that correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And sales tax will also be generated by retail
16	expenditures the couples make on their weddings, is that
17	correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	${f Q}$ . Okay. So you assume that out-of-town guests will attend
20	weddings of San Francisco resident same-sex couples, is that
21	correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And you haven't attempted to determine how many
24	out-of-town guests actually attended such weddings in 2008,
25	have you?

<ol> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You haven't attempted to determine how many those of guests actually stayed at hotels, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No. I would have no way to imagine that information w obtainable.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you simply picked a number to estimate that</li> </ol>	as
<pre>3 guests actually stayed at hotels, is that correct? 4 A. No. I would have no way to imagine that information w 5 obtainable.</pre>	аз
4 <b>A.</b> No. I would have no way to imagine that information w 5 obtainable.	as
5 obtainable.	as
6 Q. Okay. So you simply picked a number to estimate that	
7 figure, is that correct?	
8 <b>A.</b> Well, as you do when you make economic projections. W	e
9 made some very conservative assumptions about the relative	size
10 of same-sex weddings and the number of guests who might star	Y
11 from out of town.	
12 We assumed only 10 percent of wedding guests would	f
13 come from out of town, which seemed to me to be a fairly	
14 conservative assumption.	
15 Q. Okay. Now, with respect to wedding expenditures by	
16 San Francisco same-sex couples, you assumed that the averag	e
17 taxable cost of the wedding for a resident same-sex couple	is
18 25 percent of that of the wedding of an opposite-sex couple	, is
19 that correct?	
20 <b>A.</b> Yes.	
21 Q. And you have not studied the actual expenditures of	
22 same-sex couples on their weddings either, is that correct?	
23 A. No. We actually relied on the Williams Institute repo	rt
24 that we have been referring to for that assumption.	
25 Q. Okay. If you could, please, turn back to tab three in	the

1	witness binder?
2	(Witness complied.)
3	Q. And this is the Office of Economic Analysis report that we
4	discussed earlier.
5	If you could turn to page six, please? It's the same
6	slide we looked at before.
7	And if you could read the first sentence of the third
8	bullet point?
9	A. (As read)
10	"They will spend an average of 25 percent of
11	what different sex weddings cost in
12	San Francisco or \$9,180 per wedding."
13	Q. And by "they" you mean same-sex couples?
14	A. Resident same-sex couples, that's correct.
15	<b>Q.</b> And if you could read the second sentence of the, please?
16	A. The second sentence says:
17	"However, only 10 percent of this is assumed
18	to count as new income for figuring an
19	economic impact."
20	<b>Q.</b> Continue with the third sentence, please?
21	A. (As read)
22	"Most resident spending will simply be
23	diverted from other expenditures and will not
24	create a net economic impact."
25	Q. You haven't made a similar assumption in this case, have

1	you?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. Your calculations assume that 100 percent of the
4	
	expenditures San Francisco same-sex couples make on their
5	weddings will constitute new spending, is that correct?
6	<b>A.</b> Well, technically I'm assuming that the 25 percent costs
7	represents the new income that is generated.
8	So reflected in the fact that the same-sex marriage
9	impact number is less than a the cost of an average
10	opposite-sex wedding is considering that which is new income,
11	and that's following the assumption made in the Williams
12	Institute report correctly.
13	${f Q}$ . But for the report that you did as part of your official
14	duties in the Office of Economic Analysis, you assumed that
15	only 10 percent of the 25 percent would constitute new
16	spending, is that correct?
17	A. That's correct. I believe I misunderstood how the
18	Williams Institute had made that assumption in their report.
19	Q. Okay. Fair enough.
20	So we have been discussing San Francisco resident
21	weddings. Let's now turn to out-of-state weddings. And if you
22	could return to tab six of the witness binder?
23	(Witness complied.)
24	Q. This reports that there were 2,821 non-San Francisco
25	resident same-sex marriages that took place in 2008, is that

1	correct, between same-sex couples?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And some of these were from out of within California,
4	but out of San Francisco; and some were from out of the state
5	and even in different countries, is that correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. You have not distinguished between any of those
8	categories, is that correct?
9	A. That's right.
10	Q. Now, do you know since November 4th, 2008, have there been
11	any additional jurisdictions that have permitted same-sex
12	couples to get married?
13	<b>A.</b> I don't recall the details on that, no.
14	THE COURT: Since what date?
15	MR. PATTERSON: Any additional jurisdictions.
16	THE COURT: No, the date.
17	MR. PATTERSON: Oh, November 4, 2008.
18	BY MR. PATTERSON:
19	<b>Q.</b> I will represent to you that there are a number of
20	jurisdictions that have permitted same-sex couples to get
21	married after November 4th, 2008. During that time period,
22	Massachusetts had allowed out of state couples to get married
23	for a short period of time. That was the only other
24	jurisdiction. Now, I believe, four other jurisdictions permit
25	same-sex couples to be married.

Π

1	Do you think those types of changes could have an
2	impact on the number of out-of-state same-sex couples that come
3	to California, San Francisco in particular, to get married?
4	A. It might have an impact, although among the locations from
5	which people traveled to San Francisco to marry were places
б	where that were quite adjacent to, or in some cases perhaps
7	even in, jurisdictions where they could already marry.
8	San Francisco is a tourism destination, as well as a
9	place to get married. And I'm certain that many out-of-state
10	couples came to San Francisco for the tourism dimension, and
11	that would probably continue.
12	Q. Your report assumes that such changes would have no
13	effect, is that correct?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. The
16	A. You know, there are many other potential changes that
17	could affect that number that also don't go in there. There
18	may be more same-sex couples forming in other states. There
19	may be more couples wishing to get married. I don't I don't
20	consider that either. It's a fairly simple methodology,
21	actually.
22	Q. Okay. And, now, I'm going to ask you a hypothetical, or
23	pose a hypothetical scenario to you, and that is: Assume that
24	same-sex marriage is legal in all 50 states.
25	In that scenario would you expect the percentage of

1	out-of-state couples coming to California to get married to
2	decrease from what we saw in 2008?
3	A. To California or to San Francisco?
4	Q. To San Francisco.
5	A. I am not sure that that would necessarily reduce the
6	number. I can see your point, but I would say it depends on
7	the number of same-sex couples wishing to get married across
8	the U.S., and I don't know that that's a fixed number.
9	Q. But you as you stated, you have not taken any of that
10	into account?
11	A. That's correct.
12	${f Q}_{f \cdot}$ Okay. If you could, please, go back to tab three in the
13	witness binder, again, the Office of Economic Analysis report
14	that you put together. And this time I want to ask you to turn
15	to the seventh page. And this is assumptions that your office
16	made about out-of-state resident weddings.
17	And in the first bullet point you state that you
18	project that the second year total will be 67 percent less than
19	the first year total, is that correct?
20	A. Yes, that's correct.
21	Q. And that's the same as you did for the
22	inside-San Francisco weddings in this in the report you did
23	for the Board of Supervisors, is that correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And, similarly, you have not done that in your report for
-	

7	
1	this Court, is that correct?
2	A. Not done what?
3	Q. You have not assumed that there will be a year to year
4	decrease in the rate of same-sex couples getting married?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. And 67 percent is actually a fairly large decrease from
7	year to year, is that correct?
8	A. Well, I was following in this 2008 report the methodology
9	of the Williams Institute as closely as I could.
10	The reason I did not follow that methodology for my
11	expert report for this case was that that methodology from the
12	2008 report substantially underestimated the number of same-sex
13	marriages that we actually had.
14	So rather than attempt to replicate that methodology,
15	which had under counted what we had, I felt it would be more
16	straightforward to simply extrapolate San Francisco's
17	experience during a multi-month period of time.
18	Q. Now, same-sex marriage was not legal in San Francisco for
19	a multi-year period of time, is that correct?
20	A. That's right.
21	Q. So there wasn't really evidence to deviate from
22	a one-year-to-the-next estimate of what would happen, is that
23	correct?
24	A. Right. That's based on the Williams Institute report,
25	which does look at multi-year experience within Massachusetts.

т	
1	Q. Okay.
2	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, I would like to request a
3	brief break, if I may?
4	THE COURT: How much longer do you have with this
5	witness?
6	MR. PATTERSON: I would say I'm about halfway
7	through, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Maybe a break, like your colleague
9	Mr. Thompson, will reduce the length somewhat.
10	MR. PATTERSON: Okay.
11	THE COURT: That I'm sure will be helpful to
12	everybody.
13	All right. Shall we take until 15 minutes of the
14	hour, or 10:45.
15	MR. COOPER: Your Honor, just before we break, may I
16	ask one minor housekeeping matter?
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	MR. COOPER: Point of clarification, actually, and
19	it's further to your announcement as we opened the court day,
20	that the Court was asking for withdrawal of this case from the
21	pilot program.
22	I just ask the Court for clarification, if I may then
23	understand that the recording of these proceedings has been
24	halted, the tape recording itself?
25	THE COURT: No, that has not been altered.

MR. COOPER: As the Court knows, I'm sure, we have
put in a letter to the Court asking that the recording of the
proceedings be halted.
I do believe that in the light of the stay, that the
court's local rule would prohibit continued tape recording of
the proceedings.
THE COURT: I don't believe so. I read your letter.
It does not quote the local rule.
The local rule permits remote perhaps if we get
the local rule
MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I have a copy.
THE COURT: Oh, there we go.
(Whereupon, document was tendered
to the Court.)
THE COURT: The local rule permits the recording for
purposes the of taking the recording for purposes of use in
chambers and that is customarily done when we have these remote
courtrooms or the overflow courtrooms. And I think it would be
quite helpful to me in preparing the findings of fact to have
that recording.
So that's the purpose for which the recording is
going to be made going forward. But it's not going to be for
purposes of public broadcasting or televising.
And you will notice the local rules states that:
"The taking of photographs, public

1	broadcasting or televising, or recording for
2	those purposes."
3	So the recording is not being made for those
4	purposes, but simply for use in chambers.
5	MR. COOPER: Very well, your Honor, and I appreciate
6	that clarification.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	(Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings
9	from 10:32 a.m. until 10:59 a.m.)
10	THE COURT: Very well, Mr. Patterson. Please
11	continue.
12	MR. PATTERSON: Very well, your Honor.
13	BY MR. PATTERSON:
14	<b>Q.</b> Dr. Egan, we were speaking about the revenues you
15	project San Francisco weddings, the out-of-state or
16	out-of-San Francisco same-sex couples would generate.
17	And, again, one source of those revenues come from
18	hotel taxes, is that correct?
19	A. Yes, it is.
20	Q. And you have basically you have assumed how long the
21	non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples would stay in
22	San Francisco when they got married, is that correct?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. And, once again, you have not done any study of how long
25	non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples actually stay in

San Francisco when they come here to get married, is that	
correct?	
A. Well, no. On the first time for my 2008 report, same-sex	
marriage had just become legal and there was no data about it.	
And for this expert report, again, they are not	
it's not legal, so there is nothing to study. So I had to make	
an assumption.	
${f Q}$ . You could study what occurred during 2008, is that	
correct?	
A. Had I known that I would have had to study it, perhaps I	
could have put in some survey, yes, but I didn't do that.	
Q. And, again, another source of revenue you cite is sales	
tax generated by per diem spending and wedding-related	
expenditures, is that correct?	
A. Yes.	
${f Q}$ . And with respect to the wedding-related expenditures for	
non-San Francisco resident couples, you have once again	
followed the Williams Institute's methodology, is that correct?	
A. In terms of the wedding expenditures by	
Q. By non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples.	
A. I believe that's right, yes.	
Q. So you haven't done any independent research on that?	
A. No.	
${f Q}$ . So adding all these additional revenues that you have	
identified, I believe you testified San Francisco would gain	
	<ul> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Well, no. On the first time for my 2008 report, same-sex marriage had just become legal and there was no data about it. And for this expert report, again, they are notitt's not legal, so there is nothing to study. So I had to make an assumption.</li> <li>Q. You could study what occurred during 2008, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Had I known that I would have had to study it, perhaps I could have put in some survey, yes, but I didn't do that.</li> <li>Q. And, again, another source of revenue you cite is sales tax generated by per diem spending and wedding-related expenditures, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And with respect to the wedding-related expenditures for non-San Francisco resident couples, you have once again followed the Williams Institute's methodology, is that correct?</li> <li>A. In terms of the wedding expenditures by</li> <li>Q. By non-San Francisco resident same-sex couples.</li> <li>A. I believe that's right, yes.</li> <li>Q. So you haven't done any independent research on that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. So adding all these additional revenues that you have</li> </ul>

1	\$2.7 million of annual increased tax revenues, is that correct?
2	<b>A.</b> I believe that's roughly correct, yes.
3	Q. Okay. And you have not considered any costs San Francisco
4	would incur to administer these additional marriages, is that
5	correct?
6	A. The costs the city and county would incur are reimbursed
7	by the license fees, so that is not a net cost to the city.
8	Q. You haven't considered whether the City and County would
9	have to engage additional staffing to administer these weddings
10	then?
11	A. The staffing the fees pay for the staff. So there
12	again, there was no net cost. The fees are intended to
13	reimburse the city's costs for providing the licenses.
14	Q. So you have determined that the fees as they are now
15	would, in fact, reimburse the city's costs if these additional
16	marriages took place?
17	A. That's correct I haven't independently verified that,
18	but that's the purpose of those fees and that's why I didn't
19	consider that as a separate category of economic impact.
20	${f Q}$ . And you testified that the motivating factor behind the
21	Board of Supervisors asking you to analyze the effect of Prop 8
22	was to determine whether the costs would cover the additional
23	fees of administering the weddings, is that correct?
24	A. No, that's not correct. It was to estimate the number of
25	weddings so that the extra staffing resources could be needed,

Π

1	not to adjust the size of the fee.	
2	Q. Okay. So is your testimony that if the cost or if the	
3	revenue generated from the fees was not sufficient, then the	
4	city would simply raise the fees so that it would be sufficient	
5	to cover the cost of administering these weddings?	
6	A. The city sets fees to ensure cost recovery. It's by no	
7	means clear that the fees would need to increase because you	
8	have more people paying the fees, therefore, you have more	
9	resources to hire more staff.	
10	It's a per the way this is accounted for is each	
11	clerk can handle so many during a day and it's a linear thing.	
12	So it's not at all clear that the fees would need to change.	
13	Q. You simply you did not analyze that?	
14	A. That's correct.	
15	Q. Okay. And you have not considered any additional costs	
16	with, you know, printing additional marriage licenses?	
17	A. That's also covered by fees.	
18	Q. Okay. And is would San Francisco have to alter the	
19	forms for their marriages were same-sex couples allowed to	
20	marry?	
21	A. That is another thing that the fee is designed to	
22	reimburse the city for.	
23	Q. Okay. You simply have not accounted for any of that?	
24	A. That's correct.	
25	Q. Okay. Please turn to tab nine in the witness binder.	

1		(Witness complied.)
2	Q.	And this is a document that's been marked
3	Defer	ndant-Intervenor's Exhibit 852. Do you recognize this
4	docun	ment?
5	А.	Yes, I do.
6	Q.	And what is this document?
7	А.	This is an email thread that concludes with a response I
8	sent	to Margaret Singh, who works in the city's 311 customer
9	service center.	
10	Q.	And based on this email thread, my understanding is that a
11	caller to the customer service center had asked what the	
12	financial impact of Prop 8 would be on the City and County	
13	San E	Francisco, is that correct?
14	А.	That's correct.
15	Q.	And you answered that Prop 8 would result in \$415,000 in
16	lost	taxes and fees, is that correct?
17	А.	That's what that email says, yes.
18	Q.	And you wrote that email?
19	А.	That's correct.
20	Q.	Okay. And that's quite a bit lower than the estimate you
21	have	provided to the Court in your opinion today, is that
22	corre	ect?
23	А.	That's correct.
24		MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would move admission
25	DIX-8	352 into evidence.

1	NG UNN ANTRA No chiestien source Honor
1	MS. VAN AKEN: No objection, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: 852 D852 is admitted.
3	MR. PATTERSON: Yes.
4	(Defendants' Exhibit 852 received in evidence.)
5	BY MR. PATTERSON:
6	Q. Okay. I would now like to turn to lost revenue from
7	higher federal taxes and foregone federal benefits, which was
8	another one of your opinions in this case, is that correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	${f Q}$ . Okay. And you testified earlier that federal law would
11	have to change before San Francisco and California permitting
12	same-sex couples to marry would have this effect, is that
13	correct?
14	A. That's my understanding, yes.
15	Q. Okay. So and you recognize that some same-sex couples
16	would pay more in federal taxes if they were permitted to
17	marry, is that correct?
18	A. That's my understanding.
19	Q. Okay. And so your estimates depend on, you know, how many
20	pay less as compared to how many same-sex couples would pay
21	more, is that correct?
22	A. As well as the magnitude, yes.
23	Q. As well as the magnitude, correct.
24	And your estimates of the amount, the relative
25	amounts that fall into those categories and the magnitude are

T	1	
1	based	d on calculations by plaintiffs' expert Dr. Badgett, is
2	that	correct?
3	А.	Yes, that's correct.
4	Q.	You haven't done any independent verification or analysis
5	of th	nose calculations, is that correct?
6	А.	No, I have not.
7	Q.	So we should ask her any questions about those, is that
8	about	t the underlying validity of those, is that correct?
9	A.	Yes, you may.
10	Q.	And one thing you have done is estimated how many same-sex
11	couples married would be residing in San Francisco if they were	
12	permi	itted to marry, is that correct?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	And you assume that the percentage of same-sex couples
15	that	would marry would eventually equal the percentage of
16	oppos	site-sex couples that would marry, is that correct?
17	А.	That's my assumption, yes.
18	Q.	And do you attach a time frame for how long it would take
19	for t	that to take place?
20	А.	I don't know how long that would take.
21	Q.	Have you attempted to determine how long that would take?
22	А.	No. It's not necessary for the conclusion that I reach.
23	Q.	Okay. And for the conclusion that you reach, when the
24	city	would obtain the benefit that you identify would only be
25	when	that estimate of same-sex couples that you estimate would

get r	married were married, is that correct?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Okay. And you base your assumption that these percentages
would	d eventually be equal just on an assumption that the only
diffe	erence between same-sex couples and opposite-sex couples is
that	same-sex couples currently face legal barriers to
marr	iage, is that correct?
Α.	That's correct.
Q.	Have you studied the experience of other jurisdictions
that	have permitted same-sex couples to marry to determine if
your	prediction has been borne out?
Α.	Not for the purposes of this piece of the analysis, I did
not.	
Q.	So you don't know if your assumption is consistent with
that	experience?
Α.	No.
Q.	And you are not an expert on same-sex relationships, is
that	correct?
Α.	I wouldn't put myself forward as an expert on same-sex
relat	tionships. However, you know, when you do economic
analy	ysis, you have to make assumptions and you try to make ones
that	are as reasonable as possible and are as informed as
poss	ible.
Q.	So you haven't done any independent study on whether gay
and I	lesbian relationships differ in any way from opposite-sex
	A. Q. would diffe that marr: A. Q. that your A. not. Q. that A. Q. that A. clat A. clat A. clat A. clat A. clat A. clat A. clat A. clat clat C. that A. clat clat clat clat clat clat clat clat

7	
1	relationships?
2	A. No.
3	Q. And I would like to ask you to turn to tab one in the
4	witness binder, which is actually your expert report, and I
5	would just like to refer you to table four, which is, I
6	believe, on the last page of that report.
7	A. Yes, I see it.
8	Q. And this is where you calculate the first, you
9	calculate the percentage of heterosexual couples that are
10	married in San Francisco, is that correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And then you you know, you base your calculation of
13	same-sex couples that would get married on that, is that
14	correct?
15	A. That's right.
16	Q. Okay. And one of the figures in this table is the number
17	of married heterosexual couples, and that is reported here as
18	one thousand 103,707, is that correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. I will represent to you that the American Community Survey
21	data that you relied upon that we looked at earlier did not
22	have this number on it. It had the number of unmarried
23	heterosexual couples.
24	So I would like you to turn to tab 11 in the witness
25	binder, and these are this is American Community Survey

data, additional data from San Francisco for the same years as	
the data that you relied on.	
Looking at this, do you recognize that that is what	
this document is?	
<b>A.</b> I have not seen this before, but it looks familiar.	
MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would move to admit,	
and this is marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2558, in	
evidence.	
MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I believe the witness said	
he has not seen this before, but perhaps I missed that.	
THE COURT: Well, I understand. But this appears to	
be U.S. Census Bureau data. I think I can at least take	
judicial notice.	
Do you have any objection to this?	
MS. VAN AKEN: I have no objection.	
THE COURT: Very well. 2558 is admitted.	
(Defendants' Exhibit 2558 received in evidence.)	
BY MR. PATTERSON:	
${f Q}$ . Then on the first page do you see the "Households By Type"	
table?	
A. Yes, I do.	
Q. And do you see the "Married Couple Family" entry in that	
table?	
A. Yes, I do.	
Q. And is the estimate there 103,707?	

-		EGAN - UNOSS EXAMINATION / TATTENSON	
1	<b>A.</b> Y	es, it is.	
2	<b>Q.</b> S	o is this the source of the information in your report?	
3	<b>A.</b> Y	es.	
4	<b>Q.</b> N	ow, you had spoken earlier about coupling rates, is that	
5	correc	t? That the rates at which people form couples and that	
б	that c	ould possibly change among the same-sex community if they	
7	were p	ermitted to marry?	
8	A. I	spoke of the importance of understanding the rate of	
9	couple	formation or household formation if you are attempting	
10	to est	imate the number of weddings in a given year, and that	
11	you ca	n't simply look at the number of same-sex existing	
12	couples in a static sense and expect that the number of		
13	weddings should match that, because households form and there		
14	are mi	gration effects.	
15	<b>Q.</b> 0	kay. And do you have an estimate of the number of gay	
16	and le	sbian individuals that there are in San Francisco?	
17	A. I	don't have that estimate, no.	
18	<b>Q.</b> A:	nd to make that estimate, one piece of data you would	
19	need w	ould be the total population of San Francisco, is that	
20	correc	t?	
21	A. D	epending on how you would make the estimate, that could	
22	be a h	elpful piece of information.	
23	<b>Q.</b> 0	kay. And on the third page of this Census Bureau report,	
24	do you	see the table labeled "Place Of Birth"?	
25	<b>A.</b> Y	es, I do.	

Ī	
1	Q. And it lists the total population at 757,604, is that
2	correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. Now, if you could turn to tab 12 in the witness
5	binder?
6	(Witness complied.)
7	Q. This is an exhibit that's been marked
8	Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 1287. And this is a Williams
9	Institute report called "Census Snapshot - California Gay,
10	Lesbian and Bisexual Population." Do you see that?
11	A. Yes, I see it.
12	Q. And you have relied on the Williams Institute's work in
13	preparing your report, correct?
14	A. I have not seen this document before.
15	Q. Not this document specifically, but the Williams
16	Institute's work generally?
17	A. I have referred to another report prepared by the Williams
18	Institute.
19	Q. Okay. And turn to the second page of this report. And
20	the second bullet point on that page, the first sentence says:
21	"Among California counties, San Francisco has
22	the highest percentage of lesbians, gay men
23	and bisexuals at 14 percent."
24	Is that correct?
25	A. That's what that says, yes.

<ol> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to question that estimate?</li> <li>A. I don't, no.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would move to admit</li> <li>DIX-1287 into evidence.</li> </ol>
3 MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would move to admit 4 DIX-1287 into evidence.
4 DIX-1287 into evidence.
5 MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I don't object.
6 <b>THE COURT:</b> 1287 is admitted.
7 (Defendants' Exhibit 1287 received in evidence.)
8 BY MR. PATTERSON:
9 Q. Okay. Now, you in testifying earlier, you provided a
10 estimate for how much sales tax revenue could be generated by
11 the federal income tax savings that same-sex couples could ge
12 if they were permitted to marry, is that correct?
13 <b>A.</b> Yes.
14 Q. And, again, that assumes that the net impact of that is
15 that same-sex couples actually have a net savings in federal
16 income taxes, is that correct?
17 <b>A.</b> It assumes that same-sex couples would pay a lower amount
18 on average in federal income tax if they were married.
19 Q. And your figure assumes I believe you stated this, I
20 just want to make sure that same-sex couples spend all of
21 the additional savings that they receive from this lower
22 federal income taxes and that they spend all of it in
23 San Francisco, is that correct?
24 <b>A.</b> Yes. That's an upper-end estimate. They spend all of
25 their additional income in San Francisco on taxable goods.

1	
1	${f Q}$ . Have you studied the behavior of people when they obtain
2	tax savings, what amount of it they actually spend?
3	A. I did not for the purposes of this. I felt it was
4	sufficient to provide an upper-end estimate. The number is not
5	particularly, you know, dispositive, so.
6	Q. Now, you also believe that same-sex couples would be
7	entitled to certain other federal benefits if they were
8	permitted to marry, is that correct?
9	A. That's my understanding.
10	Q. And to your understanding, are there federal programs that
11	take spousal income into account when determining eligibility?
12	A. Yes, there are.
13	Q. And if the federal government recognized same-sex
14	marriages, potentially the individuals in those marriages could
15	lose eligibility for programs that took spousal income into
16	account, is that correct?
17	A. I'm not I can't think of a specific example, and I'm
18	not sure I can give you a clear answer on that.
19	Q. If there was an example where there was a threshold of
20	federal of income that was required you had to have below
21	that income to receive a federal benefit and the federal
22	government recognized spousal income, is it not correct that
23	there are some individuals that would, by virtue of that,
24	perhaps go above that threshold that currently do not?
25	A. Okay. I understand your example.

1	Q. Do you agree with that?
2	A. Again, there was no specific program that's coming to
3	mind, but I'm following your example.
4	Q. Okay. And that, you know hypothetically, let's I'm
5	going to ask you to assume that there are programs that take
6	spousal income into account. And to determine the net impact
7	of federal recognition of same-sex marriages, you would have to
8	consider that people that could potentially lose eligibility
9	under those programs, as well as individuals that would gain
10	eligibility for other benefits, is that correct?
11	A. To fully discuss and prepare an estimate of the impact of
12	same-sex marriage on income and spending in San Francisco, you
13	would have to do a full accounting of the ones you refer to in
14	which the eligibility may be less, as well as others in which
15	you're only eligible for the benefit if you are married, and
16	you are denied that benefit if you are not married to your
17	partner.
18	So in order to provide a full estimate, a
19	quantitative estimate, yes, you would need to consider both
20	types.
21	Q. And you have not attempted to do that?
22	A. I have not attempted to estimate either type beyond the
23	taxation issue, which was readily quantifiable.
24	Q. Now, another source of potential savings we will move
25	on to another one of your opinions is the cost associated

<pre>1 with San Francisco's Equal Benefits Ordinance, is that 2 A. Yes.</pre>	
	Francisco
	Francisco
3 Q. Now, permitting same-sex couples to marry in San F	
4 will not repeal the Equal Benefits Ordinance, will it?	
5 <b>A.</b> No, it would not.	
6 Q. And permitting same-sex couples to marry in San Fr	rancisco
7 would not cause San Francisco to stop defending the Equ	ıal
8 Benefits Ordinance in court, would it?	
9 <b>A.</b> It would not directly cause that, no.	
10 Q. Okay. And your opinion is that or your underst	anding
11 is that San Francisco has expended a certain amount of	money
defending the Equal Benefits Ordinance in court, is that	
13 correct?	
14 <b>A.</b> That's my understanding.	
15 Q. And do you know when these legal expenditures took	c place?
16 <b>A.</b> To the best of my recollection, they have well,	, they
17 have occurred since 1997 or so when the Equal Benefits	
18 Ordinance was adopted.	
19 Q. Do you know if these legal expenditures are ongoin	ıg?
20 <b>A.</b> I think, in principle, there is they are ongoin	ng and
21 there's a potential risk of expenditures there, but I d	lon't
22 know the specific details.	
23 Q. Okay. If you could please turn to tab 14 in the w	vitness
24 binder, and then there should be an Exhibit A there. A	And
25 that's an exhibit that's been marked Plaintiffs' Exhibi	it 845.

1	(Witness complied.)
2	Q. Do you recognize this document?
3	A. Exhibit A?
4	Q. Entitled "Hours and Expenses for Matters Involving the
5	Equal Benefits Ordinance."
6	<b>A.</b> I don't specifically recollect this document, no.
7	Q. I will represent to you that this was a document given to
8	us along with your expert report in this case.
9	So you do not recognize or recall reviewing this
10	document?
11	A. This is a yes, this is a document which is the source
12	for the \$1.6 million in city costs for defending the Equal
13	Benefits Ordinance.
14	MR. PATTERSON: Okay. Your Honor, I would like to
15	move Plaintiffs' Exhibit 845 into evidence.
16	MS. VAN AKEN: No objection, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Very well. 845 is admitted.
18	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 845 received in evidence)
19	BY MR. PATTERSON:
20	Q. To your knowledge, Dr. Egan, are either of these cases
21	ongoing?
22	A. I don't have any knowledge on that.
23	Q. Okay. If you could turn to tab 15 in the witness binder.
24	(Witness complied.)
25	Q. And this is an exhibit that's been marked DIX-2671. And

1	
1	this is a five-year report on the San Francisco Equal Benefits
2	Ordinance from the San Francisco Human Rights Commission.
3	Do you understand the Human Rights Commission
4	administers the Equal Benefits Ordinance?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. Have you seen this document before?
7	A. I don't recall the specific document.
8	Q. To your understanding, does this appear to be an official
9	publication of the City of San Francisco?
10	A. Yes.
11	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would move to admit
12	Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2671 into evidence.
13	MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, we have no objection.
14	THE COURT: Well, 2671 is admitted.
15	(Defendants' Exhibit 2671 received in evidence.)
16	BY MR. PATTERSON:
17	Q. If you could turn to page 12?
18	(Witness complied.)
19	Q. And there's a heading that's called "Litigation Update."
20	Do you see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And it says:
23	"This past year brought successful closure to
24	most of the litigation challenges facing the
25	Equal Benefits Ordinance since its enactment.

1	
1	Two of the three lawsuits filed against the
2	city challenging the legality of the
3	ordinance have concluded with a majority of
4	the law intact. The third remains on
5	appeal."
6	Is that correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	<b>Q.</b> And it then goes on to list under subtitle A, Air
9	Transport Association case.
10	And I don't know if you recall from the prior
11	exhibit, you can look back at it, if you don't, under tab 14-a;
12	but was this the litigation that consumed most of the expenses,
13	the vast majority of the expenses that you have reported in
14	your report?
15	A. Yes, it appears that it was.
16	Q. And according to this, if you go to page 13, at the very
17	bottom it talks about a third lawsuit filed by S.D. Meyers, and
18	it says that that appeal is pending, is that correct? The very
19	bottom of page 13?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. So based on that, that would lead one to conclude that the
22	Air Transport Association case has been concluded, is that
23	correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. Now, do you know if permitting same-sex couples to

ī	1	
1	marry	y in California will convert existing domestic partners
2	into	spouses?
3	А.	It's my understanding that would not automatically happen.
4	Q.	Did that happen when same-sex couples were permitted to
5	marry	y in 2008?
6	А.	To the best of my knowledge, that did not happen.
7	Q.	Okay. And permitting same-sex couples to marry in
8	Cali	fornia will not prevent same-sex couples from entering
9	domes	stic partnerships, is that correct?
10	А.	As far as I know, it would not.
11	Q.	And will permitting same-sex couples to marry in
12	Cali	fornia require other states to permit same-sex marriage?
13	А.	I don't believe it would.
14	Q.	And San Francisco's contractors have employees across the
15	count	try to whom they provide benefits under the Equal Benefits
16	Ordin	nance, is that correct?
17	А.	Some do, certainly.
18	Q.	And if you could, please, turn to tab 17 in the witness
19	binde	er?
20		(Witness complied.)
21	Q.	And this is an exhibit that's been marked DIX-698.
22		Do you recognize this document?
23	А.	Yes, I do.
24	Q.	And what is this document?
25	А.	This is a document that summarizes the research of a

1	colleague of mine in the Controller's Office on the city's
2	costs in administering the Equal Benefits Ordinance.
3	Q. And this was one of the documents you relied upon in
4	forming your opinions in this case?
5	A. Yes, it is.
6	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would move for the
7	admission of DIX-698.
8	MS. VAN AKEN: No objection, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Very well 698 is admitted.
10	(Defendants' Exhibit 698 received in evidence.)
11	BY MR. PATTERSON:
12	${f Q}$ . And in the second paragraph about halfway through it
13	states that between strike that.
14	Give me one moment, please, Doctor.
15	(Brief pause.)
16	<b>Q.</b> Okay. My question for you is: Does the Human Rights
17	Commission, the employees that they employee to administer the
18	Equal Benefits Ordinance, do they also respond to more general
19	sexual orientation discrimination complaints?
20	A. I'm not completely sure on that, but it's my belief that
21	they do.
22	Q. So even if domestic partnerships were no longer necessary,
23	presumably the Human Rights Commission would still have to
24	respond to these types of complaints, is that correct?
25	A. You mean, discrimination related complaints?

1	Q. Yes.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Do you know how common it is for companies to offer
4	domestic partnership benefits?
5	A. I don't have any numbers on that in my head, no.
6	Q. Okay. And if you could return to tab 15. This is the
7	five-year report on the Equal Benefits Ordinance that we just
8	looked at.
9	If you could turn to page one of that report after
10	the table of contents, and in the second paragraph it says:
11	"Today over 4500 employers extend these
12	benefits."
13	Is that correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. "These benefits" do you understand to mean domestic
16	partner benefits?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. If you look at the last sentence of that same paragraph it
19	says that:
20	"The concept of employer-provided domestic
21	partner benefits moved from the far fringes
22	of the fringe benefit landscape to become
23	common place among employee benefit
24	offerings."
25	Is that correct?

1	A. That's what it says, yes.
2	Q. Do you agree that domestic partnership benefits are
3	commonplace?
4	<b>A.</b> I don't have any independent basis to evaluate that
5	statement.
6	THE COURT: I gather you are moving this exhibit in?
7	MR. PATTERSON: I thought that we had moved this
8	one
9	THE COURT: Has it already come in before?
10	MS. VAN AKEN: I believe it was moved in, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right, fine.
12	BY MR. PATTERSON:
13	Q. Do you know if California has any laws requiring insurance
14	companies and other insurance providers to provide equal
15	benefits to domestic partners?
16	A. I'm not aware of that.
17	Q. Okay. If you could turn to tab 31 in the witness binder?
18	(Witness complied.)
19	<b>Q.</b> I will represent to you that this is a provision of
20	California law covering group health insurance policies.
21	And the last sentence of subsection A of that states
22	that:
23	"A policy may not offer or provide coverage
24	for a registered domestic partner that is not
25	equal to the coverage provided to the spouse
-	

of an employee, insured or policyholder."
Is that correct?
A. That's what it says, yes.
${f Q}$ . Okay. And now if you turn, I believe, three pages, there
is another provision of California law. This is California
Insurance Code Section 381.5.
And this covers, according to the last sentence of
subsection A, "all forms of insurance regulated by this code,"
is that correct?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And the sentence prior to that:
"This law provides that a policy may not
offer or provide coverage for a registered
domestic partner if it is not equal to the
coverage provided for the spouse of an
insured or policyholder."
Is that correct?
MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
this line of questions. If he is asking if this document says
what it purports to say, it is totally unnecessary.
If he is asking whether this is the law to the
witness, he is being asked for a legal conclusion.
THE COURT: Well, it does seem to me, Mr. Patterson,
this is a provision of law. The witness said he wasn't aware
of it, and you can certainly refer to the provision of law in

1       making your points, but I'm not sure this is really a proper         2       subject for examination of the witness.         3       MR. PATTERSON: Okay. Well, he had opined about the         4       cost that the Equal Benefits Ordinance imposes upon         5       San Francisco companies, and I'm just testing the reliability         6       of that opinion.         7       THE COURT: Well, I think I understand the point that         8       you are making. And I think you have done a good job of making         9       it, so maybe you can move on.         10       MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.         11       BY MR. PATTERSON:         12       Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies         13       have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to         14       San Francisco's?         15       A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.         16       Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder?         17       (Witness complied.)         18       Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.         19       And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal         10       Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.         11       MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor		
3       MR. PATTERSON: Okay. Well, he had opined about the         4       cost that the Equal Benefits Ordinance imposes upon         5       San Francisco companies, and I'm just testing the reliability         6       of that opinion.         7       THE COURT: Well, I think I understand the point that         8       you are making. And I think you have done a good job of making         9       it, so maybe you can move on.         10       MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.         11       BY MR. PATTERSON:         12       Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies         13       have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to         14       San Francisco's?         15       A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.         16       Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? (Witness complied.)         18       Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.         19       And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal         10       MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move         12       DIX-2672 into evidence.         13       MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.         14       Genedants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)	1	making your points, but I'm not sure this is really a proper
<ul> <li>cost that the Equal Benefits Ordinance imposes upon</li> <li>San Francisco companies, and I'm just testing the reliability</li> <li>of that opinion.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, I think I understand the point that</li> <li>you are making. And I think you have done a good job of making</li> <li>it, so maybe you can move on.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. PATTERSON:</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies</li> <li>have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to</li> <li>San Francisco's?</li> <li>A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? <ul> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	2	subject for examination of the witness.
<ul> <li>San Francisco companies, and I'm just testing the reliability</li> <li>of that opinion.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, I think I understand the point that</li> <li>you are making. And I think you have done a good job of making</li> <li>it, so maybe you can move on.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. PATTERSON:</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies</li> <li>have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to</li> <li>San Francisco's?</li> <li>A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder?</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	3	MR. PATTERSON: Okay. Well, he had opined about the
<ul> <li>of that opinion.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, I think I understand the point that</li> <li>you are making. And I think you have done a good job of making</li> <li>it, so maybe you can move on.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. PATTERSON:</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies</li> <li>have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to</li> <li>San Francisco's?</li> <li>A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor. (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	4	cost that the Equal Benefits Ordinance imposes upon
<ul> <li>THE COURT: Well, I think I understand the point that</li> <li>you are making. And I think you have done a good job of making</li> <li>it, so maybe you can move on.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. PATTERSON:</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies</li> <li>have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to</li> <li>San Francisco's?</li> <li>A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor. (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	5	San Francisco companies, and I'm just testing the reliability
<ul> <li>8 you are making. And I think you have done a good job of making</li> <li>9 it, so maybe you can move on.</li> <li>10 MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>11 BY MR. PATTERSON:</li> <li>12 Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies</li> <li>13 have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to</li> <li>14 San Francisco's?</li> <li>15 Å. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>16 Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>19 And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>20 Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>21 MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>22 DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>23 MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>24 (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	6	of that opinion.
<ul> <li>9 it, so maybe you can move on.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. PATTERSON:</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies</li> <li>have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to</li> <li>San Francisco's?</li> <li>A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	7	THE COURT: Well, I think I understand the point that
<ul> <li>MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. PATTERSON:</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies</li> <li>have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to</li> <li>San Francisco's?</li> <li>A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor. (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	8	you are making. And I think you have done a good job of making
<ul> <li>BY MR. PATTERSON:</li> <li>Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies</li> <li>have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to</li> <li>San Francisco's?</li> <li>A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? <ul> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	9	it, so maybe you can move on.
<ul> <li>Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies</li> <li>have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to</li> <li>San Francisco's?</li> <li>A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor. (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	10	MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.
have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to San Francisco's? A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that. Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? (Witness complied.) Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672. And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission. MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move DIX-2672 into evidence. MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor. (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)	11	BY MR. PATTERSON:
<ul> <li>San Francisco's?</li> <li>A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? <ul> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	12	Q. Now, do you know, Dr. Egan, if other government bodies
<ul> <li>A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	13	have enacted Equal Benefits Ordinances similar to
<ul> <li>16 Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder? (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>19 And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>20 Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>21 MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>22 DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>23 MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>24 (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	14	San Francisco's?
<ul> <li>17 (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>19 And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>20 Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>21 MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>22 DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>23 MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>24 (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	15	A. I'm not completely aware of of the prevalence of that.
<ul> <li>Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.</li> <li>And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	16	Q. Okay. And if you could turn to tab 16 in the binder?
<ul> <li>19 And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal</li> <li>20 Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.</li> <li>21 MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move</li> <li>22 DIX-2672 into evidence.</li> <li>23 MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>24 (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	17	(Witness complied.)
20 Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission. 21 MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move 22 DIX-2672 into evidence. 23 MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor. 24 (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)	18	Q. This has been marked Defendant-Intervenor's Exhibit 2672.
21 MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move 22 DIX-2672 into evidence. 23 MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor. 24 (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)	19	And this is a seven-year update on the San Francisco Equal
<pre>22 DIX-2672 into evidence. 23 MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor. 24 (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</pre>	20	Benefits Ordinance from the Human Rights Commission.
<ul> <li>MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)</li> </ul>	21	MR. PATTERSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move
24 (Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)	22	DIX-2672 into evidence.
	23	MS. VAN AKEN: We have no objection, your Honor.
25	24	(Defendants' Exhibit 2672 received in evidence)
	25	

1	BY MR. PATTERSON:
2	Q. Now, if you could turn to page five, the conclusion,
3	second paragraph of the conclusion states that:
4	"Including San Francisco, by the end of
5	fiscal year 2003-2004, there were 13
6	government bodies with equal benefits
7	legislation on the books. Several more are
8	considering such legislation, all using
9	San Francisco's law as a model."
10	Now, if Equal Benefits Ordinances were significantly
11	detrimental economically, do you think all these government
12	bodies would enact them?
13	A. That would depend on whether they felt that the economic
14	cost was worth the benefit of remediating discrimination.
15	${f Q}$ . So the fact that they do enact them indicates that they
16	think the cost is worth the benefit, is that correct?
17	A. I wouldn't want to try and put myself in their head, but
18	it would seem that's a reasonable conclusion.
19	Q. And you have stated that the Equal Benefits Ordinance
20	possibly reduces the pool of contractors who are bidding on
21	San Francisco contracts, is that correct?
22	A. Yes, it is.
23	${\tt Q}$ . And that is because that's a theoretical point, is that
24	correct? You have not studied whether contractors actually are
25	not bidding on San Francisco's contracts because of the Equal

1	П
1	Benefits Ordinance, is that correct?
2	A. No. I mean, it's hard to observe companies when they
3	don't do something, and that would be the case here.
4	${f Q}$ . And another opinion that you have provided in this case is
5	that the City and County could save money on healthcare that
6	they provide to the uninsured, is that correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	${f Q}$ . And your basis for that assumption is just that you
9	believe there are some uninsured members of same-sex couples
10	that would be covered by their partner's private insurance if
11	they were if they got married, is that correct?
12	A. Yes, that's correct.
13	Q. And you have not considered those provisions of the
14	California Code that we referred to earlier in forming that
15	opinion, is that correct?
16	A. In what context?
17	Q. In the context where they mandate insurance companies to
18	provide equal benefits to spouses, domestic partners. You have
19	not considered that in your assumption that some uninsured
20	members of same-sex couples would be covered if they could get
21	married
22	MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor
23	BY MR. PATTERSON:
24	Q if they are not currently?
25	MS. VAN AKEN: I apologize.

_	
1	I object that the question misstates the law.
2	(Brief pause.)
3	THE COURT: Maybe if you move to the point you are
4	trying to make directly.
5	This is an adverse witness. You can cross examine
б	him in the old-fashioned way, rather than to take his
7	deposition.
8	BY MR. PATTERSON:
9	${f Q}$ . Well, the point I would like to make, Dr. Egan, is you
10	simply have not considered how whether California law would
11	impact that question, is that correct?
12	A. The question of whether there exists uninsured people in
13	San Francisco whose whose unmarried partners have private
14	health insurance?
15	Q. Yes.
16	A. I have not. Although based on my quick reading of the law
17	in front of me, it only requires that domestic partner benefits
18	be not be less than married partner benefits. It doesn't
19	really require them to provide domestic partner benefits.
20	<b>Q.</b> Okay. I'm not here to ask you about your understanding of
21	the law.
22	A. Okay.
23	Q. And you simply don't know how many gay and lesbian couples
24	would get insurance were they permitted to marry?
25	A. I do not have an estimate of that, no.

1	Q. And the cost of providing that insurance would just be
2	shifted from San Francisco to the private sector, is that
3	correct; the cost of their healthcare essentially?
4	<b>A.</b> That's true, although it may be better to think of it as
5	they are being shifted from the uninsured population to the
6	insured population, which is probably a net economic gain from
7	the point of view of society as a whole.
8	<b>Q.</b> But to answer the question, is the private sector would
9	be picking up for the tab where the public sector now is doing
10	it?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. Okay. And now you have also offered an opinion on the
13	cost of providing health services to the LGBT community, is
14	that correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And you believe that same-sex marriage, permitting
17	same-sex marriage could reduce this, is that correct?
18	A. Umm
19	Q. These costs?
20	A. Yes, that's correct.
21	Q. And that is because you believe extending marriage to
22	same-sex couples would lessen discrimination against members of
23	the LGBT community?
24	A. That seems like a reasonable assumption to me, yes.
25	Q. And you are not a sociologist or a a psychologist, are
1	

1	you?	
2	А.	I'm not, no.
3	Q.	So that's not an expert opinion, that that would occur, is
4	that	correct?
5	А.	No, it's not.
6	Q.	Okay. And you believe that San Francisco has a brand as a
7	popul	lar tourism destination for gay individuals, is that
8	corre	ect?
9	А.	I just draw that conclusion based on the number of
10	out-o	of-state same-sex marriages that we hosted.
11	Q.	And you believe that San Francisco is a particular
12	attra	action for gay and lesbian tourists?
13	А.	I wouldn't I don't have any direct information to
14	compa	are San Francisco to other places in that regard, but I
15	wrote	e think that it is, yes.
16	Q.	Okay. And, yet and, generally, do you think
17	San I	Francisco is a gay-friendly city?
18	А.	I I would say so in general, yes.
19	Q.	In light of these things, do you still believe that same
20	sex -	or gay and lesbian individuals have elevated rates of
21	behav	vioral health services because of the psychological effects
22	of th	ne discrimination they endure in San Francisco?
23	А.	That's what I have been told by our Department of Public
24	Healt	th, and I don't have any reason to doubt that statement.
25	Q.	You haven't done any independent research on that

1	yourself?
2	A. That's not my field, no.
3	${f Q}$ . Okay. And the next subject that you opined upon is the
4	cost of costs incurred because of bullying in the
5	San Francisco school district, is that correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	<b>Q.</b> And your opinion on that subject is based solely on the
8	report, the economic cost of bullying at school that you
9	reference, is that correct?
10	A. That's correct.
11	<b>Q.</b> You haven't done any independent study on that, is that
12	correct?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. That report did not directly address the experience in
15	San Francisco, is that correct?
16	A. That's correct. It was California.
17	THE COURT: It's true, is it not, that
18	MR. PATTERSON: Okay.
19	(Laughter.)
20	MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, your Honor.
21	BY MR. PATTERSON:
22	Q. Now, finally, you've talked about some it's true that
23	you've talked about is it not, that you've talked about
24	economic advantages of marriage that cannot be quantified, is
25	that correct?

ī		
1	Α.	That's correct.
2	Q.	And you believe there's research supporting the statement
3	that	there is significant economic advantages to marriage, is
4	that	correct?
5	А.	I'm aware of that research, yes.
6	Q.	That research does not study same-sex married couples,
7	does	it?
8	А.	To my knowledge, it does not, right.
9	Q.	I would like to turn to tab 19 in the witness binder.
10	This	is a Rand Institute study, "Marriage, Assets and Savings."
11	It's	been marked PX 809.
12		Do you recognize that document?
13	А.	Yes, I do.
14	Q.	And this is a study that you relied upon for your opinion
15	that	married couples generate more wealth than single people,
16	corre	ect?
17	А.	That's correct.
18	Q.	And this does not address same-sex married couples, does
19	it?	
20	А.	It does not.
21		MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would move to admit PX
22	809 ±	in evidence.
23		MS. VAN AKEN: No objection, your Honor.
24		THE COURT: Very well. 809 is in.
25		

1	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 809 received in evidence)
2	BY MR. PATTERSON:
3	<b>Q.</b> If could turn to tab 20 in the witness binder, please,
4	Dr. Egan.
5	(Witness complied.)
6	Q. And this has been marked as PX 807. It's a press release
7	from it looks like the agency for Healthcare Research and
8	Quality.
9	And this is what you've relied upon for your opinion
10	that married men are more likely to be engaged in healthy
11	behaviors than single men, is that correct?
12	A. This and the article to which it refers, yes.
13	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And this is the only item that you included in the
14	"Materials Considered" portion of your expert report, is that
15	correct?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. And the studies reported here did not, as far as we can
18	tell, consider same-sex marriages, is that correct?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. Now, if you turn to tab 21
21	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, I would like to move PX
22	807 into evidence. I believe I neglected to ask you that.
23	MS. VAN AKEN: No objection, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Very well. 807 is admitted.
25	

	Π
1	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 807 received in evidence)
2	BY MR. PATTERSON:
3	Q. If you could, please, turn to tab 21, Dr. Egan?
4	(Witness complied.)
5	Q. And this has been marked Plaintiffs' Exhibit 803. And
б	this is data from the California Health Interview Survey that
7	you relied upon for your opinion that:
8	"Unmarried men have more emotional and mental
9	health problems than married men."
10	Is that correct?
11	A. Yes, it is.
12	MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, I would like to move
13	exhibit number PX 803 into evidence.
14	THE COURT: Hearing no objection, 803 is in.
15	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 803 received in evidence)
16	BY MR. PATTERSON:
17	Q. And this does not break down same-sex and opposite-sex
18	marriages, does it?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. So you simply you don't have any research that supports
21	your view that the advantages of opposite-sex marriage would
22	flow to same-sex marriage, is that correct?
23	A. My research doesn't make any distinction.
24	Q. Your research does not include same-sex married couples,
25	is that correct?

T	
1	A. Most of my research predated when same-sex marriage was
2	legal at any point in the United States.
3	Q. Okay. Now, you have not considered the impact on
4	opposite-sex couples in San Francisco that extending marriage
5	to same-sex couples may have, is that correct?
б	A. I have not.
7	${f Q}$ . And it's true, is it not, that if opposite-sex couples got
8	married at lower rates than they did before, that it could
9	offset the benefits you see from same-sex marriage, is that
10	correct?
11	A. If it were the case that same-sex marriage reduced the
12	marriage rate for opposite-sex couples, then, yes, that would
13	be a that could have an impact.
14	<b>Q.</b> And if you turn to tab 22 of the witness binder I
15	believe this is already in evidence this is the marriage
16	license appointment data. And this has data from the year 2007
17	as well as from the year 2008.
18	And I will represent to you that from the date
19	June 17th to November 4th, 2008 there were 3,239 opposite-sex
20	marriages; that from the date June 17th to October 31st, 2007,
21	just a few days shorter, there were 4,009 opposite-sex
22	marriages.
23	And that's there's a column that says actual
24	marriage licenses and a for opposite-sex couples for both
25	the year 2008 and 2007. Do you see that data there?

1	А.	I'm not seeing the numbers you are referring to.
2	Q.	Well, the numbers are broken down by month, and I have
3	just	
4	А.	You are looking at the annual totals or are you
5	Q.	I'm looking at the months during which same-sex marriage
6	was	legal in 2008 and in those same dates in 2007.
7	А.	Right.
8	Q.	And I'm representing to you that I have added up those
9	figu	res, and that in 2008 there were more than 700 fewer
10	oppo	site-sex marriages in San Francisco than there were in
11	2007	
12	А.	Marriage license appointments?
13	Q.	Marriage licenses, actual marriage licenses issued.
14	А.	Okay.
15	Q.	So if that's true, that means that less opposite-sex
16	coup	les married in San Francisco during the time that same-sex
17	marr	iage was legal than the comparable time the prior year,
18	corr	ect?
19	А.	It would seem to me, looking at this data, that in some of
20	the	months there were fewer opposite sex weddings, and in some
21	of t	he months there were more; and that your general statement
22	abou	t the year seems to be correct for that one that one
23	pair	of years.
24	Q.	And I have actually just added up the months during which
25	same	-sex marriage was legal in order to account for, you know,

1	some	months more, some months less.
2	А.	I understand. But, for example, June 17, 2008 to
3	June	30th, 2008, there were 548 opposite-sex marriage licenses
4	and	1,076 same sex.
5		In the previous, and year during that same period,
6	ther	e was only 462 opposite. So for that two-week period there
7	was	an increase.
8	Q.	Right. But for the period as a whole, there was a
9	decr	ease?
10	А.	For the four, five month yeah, for that multi-month
11	peri	od, there was a decrease.
12	Q.	Okay. And, Dr. Egan, you have testified that you teach at
13	the	University of California at Berkeley, is that correct?
14	А.	That's correct.
15	Q.	And your courses are focused on the economic analysis of
16	subn	ational and substate areas, such as cities and metropolitan
17	area	s, is that correct?
18	А.	That's right.
19	Q.	And one course at least one course that you have taught
20	was	essentially a study of why the economics of cities differ
21	from	one another and what policy steps can be taken to achieve
22	indu	strial growth, correct?
23	А.	Yes.
24	Q.	And same-sex marriage did not come up in that course, is
25	that	correct?

1	А.	That's correct.
2	Q.	It's not come up in any of the courses that you've taught,
3	is t	hat correct?
4	А.	That's correct.
5	Q.	Okay. Now, if you could turn to tab 23 of the witness
6	bind	er?
7		(Witness complied.)
8	Q.	This is an exhibit that's been marked
9	Defe	ndant-Intervenor's Exhibit 854.
10		Do you recognize this document?
11	А.	Yes, I do.
12	Q.	And was this the economic strategy document that you
13	help	ed San Francisco put together when you were at I.C.F.?
14	А.	That's correct, yes.
15		MR. PATTERSON: Your Honor, we would like to admit
16	Defe	ndant-Intervenor's 854 into evidence.
17		MS. VAN AKEN: No objection, your Honor.
18		THE COURT: Very well 854 is in.
19		(Defendants' Exhibit 854 received in evidence.)
20	BY M	R. PATTERSON:
21	Q.	And you were the project manager of this project, is that
22	corr	ect?
23	Α.	Yes, I was.
24	Q.	I know this is a lengthy document, but anywhere in here
25	does	it mention same-sex marriage?

1	A. I don't believe it does. It likely doesn't mention many
2	of the things that we study in the Office of Economic Analysis,
3	policy issues that have a material economic impact on the city.
4	I mean, this is really a study of the macro economic
5	infrastructure that drives San Francisco's economy. It's not
6	meant to be an exhaustive catalog of all of the possible policy
7	steps, particularly state policy steps that could impact the
8	city's economy in a significant way.
9	${f Q}$ . Okay. But it's safe to say that same-sex marriage is not
10	part of the San Francisco economic strategy as set forth in
11	this document, is that correct.
12	A. It is true that by 2007 same-sex marriage was not a policy
13	option available to the City and County of San Francisco.
14	Q. Okay. Now, I would if you turn to tab 24, I just want
15	to briefly discuss this National Elevator Industry benefit plan
16	that was introduced on your examination.
17	This says that this first of all, do you know what
18	the National Elevator Industry is, benefit plan is?
19	A. It's my understanding it's a union.
20	${f Q}$ . Okay. And this says that it's based in New Town Square,
21	Pennsylvania, is that correct?
22	A. That's what it says, yes.
23	Q. Do you know if the union has members in California?
24	A. I don't I don't recall. I don't know I don't
25	believe that I know that one way or another.

1	
1	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And the only information you have about this
2	union's benefit plan is this letter, is that correct?
3	A. That's right.
4	Q. And in the first sentence it says that it's addressing
5	the letter to someone who attempted to enroll their same-sex
б	partner into the benefit plan, is that correct?
7	A. Yes, it does.
8	Q. It doesn't indicate that that person or their same-sex
9	partner is married, does it?
10	A. Not directly, but it does say based on their change of
11	policy that redefines marriage to remove the the person of
12	an opposite sex clause.
13	On that basis it says to the addressee, you may
14	reapply for coverage, which leads me to suspect that they're
15	married to their partner.
16	Q. Another possibility is that the National Elevator Industry
17	benefit plan would construe a domestic partner relationship as
18	a marriage for the purpose of their benefit plan, is that
19	correct?
20	A. Well, no. It says on the first page:
21	"Further, the SPD provides on page 24 that
22	the word 'spouse' refers only to a person of
23	the opposite sex who is husband or wife."
24	And there is no reference to domestic partnership.
25	Q. I believe husband I believe that's a provision that is

1	going to change?
2	A. Right, but it's not the provision changes to provide
3	that:
4	"A participant who has legally married a
5	person of the same sex under laws of his
6	state, if such a marriage is recognized in
7	his state with a properly and legally binding
8	marriage certificate, may be eligible to
9	enroll his same-sex spouse in any I Health
10	Benefit plan."
11	It seems to be that that's explicitly excluding
12	domestic partner.
13	Q. That's not language actually from the plan. That's their
14	that's letters language construing the plan, is that
15	correct?
16	A. Hmm, I'm not sure. I mean, it is simply attempting to
17	convey to a member what their rights are, and it doesn't
18	mention domestic partnership. And it appears to define the
19	affected relationships in a way that is clearly limited to
20	marriage.
21	MR. PATTERSON: I have no more questions, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Very well. Redirect, please.
23	MS. VAN AKEN: Thank you, your Honor.
24	
25	

1	П
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. VAN AKEN:
3	Q. Dr. Egan, I will be very brief.
4	MS. VAN AKEN: Before I begin, your Honor, there is
5	one point I would like to clear up for the record.
6	Did I understand correctly that the Court took
7	judicial notice of the five hate crimes reports that I
8	mentioned earlier, but did not ask the witness about?
9	THE COURT: Was it four or five?
10	MS. VAN AKEN: I believe it's five, PX 0672 through
11	676.
12	THE COURT: No, I did not I don't believe I was
13	asked to take judicial notice of those.
14	MS. VAN AKEN: Your Honor, I would like to ask the
15	Court to take judicial notice of those as official government
16	documents and, also, documents that were authenticated by the
17	Attorney General in response to requests for admissions.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Patterson?
19	MR. PATTERSON: I have no objection, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Very well.
21	MS. VAN AKEN: Thank you, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: We better recite precisely what exhibits
23	there are.
24	MS. VAN AKEN: Yes. They are PX 672, PX 673, PX
25	674, PX 675 and PX 676.

796

1	
1	THE COURT: Very well, thank you.
2	BY MS. VAN AKEN:
3	Q. Dr. Egan, you were asked some questions about whether
4	domestic partner celebrations occurred or where expended
5	expended money on celebrating their domestic partnerships; do
6	you recall that by Mr. Patterson?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Are you aware of any studies about domestic partnership
9	celebration expenditures?
10	A. No, I'm not aware of any such study.
11	Q. And are you aware of whether or not San Francisco
12	experienced an uptake in wedding-related economic activity in
13	2008 when same-sex marriage was legal?
14	A. Well, certainly, San Francisco experienced an uptake in
15	weddings, and I could conclude from that that the economic
16	activity associated with weddings increased as well.
17	Q. And are you aware of research that people spend money on
18	weddings, have out-of-town guests and spend money when they are
19	tourists in San Francisco?
20	<b>A.</b> There's a significant industry that helps people spend
21	money on their wedding and provides tourism associated with
22	that. There is a lot of evidence that there is a wedding
23	industry.
24	Q. Okay. Thank you, Dr. Egan.
25	Let's talk about your 2008 report about which you

1	were asked some questions on cross-examination. You talked a
2	little bit about differences between that report and the
3	analysis that you did today.
4	Is there any difference between the per wedding
5	expenses, if the per wedding costs, that you saw between the
6	2008 report and the report you prepared and your opinions in
7	this case?
8	A. We made the same assumption well, we used the same
9	source of information related to how much wedding expenses are
10	in both cases.
11	Q. I see. That assumption was consistent?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And when you looked at wedding expenses, did you use that
14	wedding industry data that we have just discussed?
15	A. Yes, we did.
16	Q. And you also talked about some differences in the
17	methodology that you used to determine the number of weddings
18	that you projected would occur, is that right?
19	A. That's right.
20	Q. And why did you change your methodology between the 2008
21	report and your estimates in this case of the number of
22	weddings, of same-sex weddings, that we would expect to see?
23	A. When I was asked to do the report in 2008, I was looking,
24	as I always do, for similar research that had tried to address
25	the same question to see if I could learn anything from their

methodology. 1 2 And I found the Williams Institute report on the Economic Impacts of Marriage in California and thought it would 3 4 be good to rely on a third-party source for a methodology. 5 So what I did to project the number of weddings for 6 the 2008 report was to estimate using the census data, 7 following as closely as I could the Williams Institute methodology, how many unmarried same-sex partners are there in 8 9 California and then as they did for their study, look become to 10 the Massachusetts experience and say, what percentage of them 11 would get married in each year? 12 And that led me to my estimate of the three-year 13 number of weddings that is contained in my 2008 report for the 14 residents. 15 I did something else for the non-residents that was built on San Francisco's experience during one month in 2004. 16 17 The main difference -- issue, however, is that that methodology significantly underestimated what we actually saw 18 from June of 2008 to November, 2008. And I -- I realized that 19 it would not make sense to reapply a methodology in 20 consideration for this case that had undercounted the actual 21 number of marriages in 2008, even though that approach from the 22 Williams Institute does have the advantage of giving an annual 23 estimate and does have the advantage of bringing in the 24 25 experience of another place.

1	
1	I thought it would be simpler and more
2	straightforward to say, This is what we experienced. We, the
3	City and County of San Francisco, during this period in 2008.
4	I don't see any reason that would change if Proposition 8 were
5	lifted and same sex couples could marry again in San Francisco.
6	I think the past is a pretty good estimate of the future, at
7	least in the short term.
8	Q. See, in essence, you changed your methodology to reflect
9	your the experience, is that correct?
10	A. Correct. I tried to improve the methodology this time
11	around.
12	Q. And you also fielded a couple of questions about whether
13	you should have changed the methodology to account for what
14	happened in other states.
15	If I asked you to assume that after 2008 Connecticut
16	had legalized same-sex marriage, would that cause you to revise
17	your projections for San Francisco?
18	A. Not really, no.
19	Q. Why not?
20	A. I don't think a significant amount of the weddings in
21	San Francisco would come from Connecticut residents.
22	And as I was saying earlier, the mere fact that a
23	couple could get married in Connecticut wouldn't mean that they
24	wouldn't come to San Francisco to get married.
25	${f Q}$ . We also talked about the short-term nature of your

1	projections with respect to the number of same-sex couples who
2	would marry in San Francisco, and you were asked to compare
3	some census data with with your projections.
4	Do you know whether everyone who gets married lives
5	together before marriage?
6	A. I don't know that.
7	Q. And the projections that you made in your opinions for
8	this case, are they short-term or long-term projections?
9	A. They are short-term projections.
10	Q. Assume that the short term turns out to be very short.
11	Does that change your ultimate conclusion that denying marriage
12	to same-sex couples has negative economic impacts on
13	San Francisco with respect to wedding expenditures?
14	A. No. The actual numbers only affect the magnitude of the
15	impact, but there is an impact in any event.
16	Q. Is there wedding-related activity that you would expect
17	going into the future in the long term if couples were
18	permitted to marry?
19	A. Certainly, because of the migration of people to
20	San Francisco and subsequent formation of couples who elect to
21	get married in the future.
22	Q. You were also asked about pent-up demand, and you were
23	also asked to look at the County Clerk's statistics about
24	opposite-sex couples who had or, sorry, same-sex couples who
25	had appointments to get married after November 5th of 2008.

1	Is there anything that happened on November 4, 2008
2	that might have affected that, whether people would be signing
3	up to for marriage appointments?
4	A. I don't think anyone signed up after November 4th. And
5	between November 4th and November 24th, when that report was
6	prepared, I would imagine many might have cancelled.
7	I don't know, frankly, what the number looked like as
8	of November 3rd, but I would say I can't imagine any reason
9	other than Proposition 8 that would require people that
10	would make people not want to get married after November 5th.
11	${f Q}$ . Okay. You were also asked some questions about the number
12	of uninsured partners of same-sex couples for whom one member
13	of the partnership is employed. The other one does not have
14	access to insurance.
15	Do you recall is that testimony?
16	A. Yes, I do.
17	Q. Let me ask you: Are you an expert on California insurance
18	law?
19	A. No I'm not.
20	Q. Are you an expert on ERISA and any preemptive effects it
21	may have about benefits plans?
22	A. No, I'm not.
23	Q. Are you an expert on the extent of applicability of
24	California law to out-of-state insurance companies?
25	A. No, I'm not.

1	
1	${f Q}$ . Are you aware of research that indicates that companies
2	do, in fact, offer domestic partners benefits less frequently
3	than same-sex partners, or any other information that would
4	lead you to believe that that's the case?
5	A. Not compared to no, I'm not aware of specific research
б	on that question.
7	Q. If we assume that domestic partners are not insured at the
8	same rates that married couples are insured, does your
9	conclusion that San Francisco's expense for covering uninsured
10	populations hold true; that San Francisco incurs a greater
11	expense because marriage is not legal between same-sex couples?
12	A. Yes. Because even if someone is a registered domestic
13	partner, based on your assumption they are less likely to have
14	insurance and, therefore, there may be more partners who are
15	domestic partners with one partner who is uninsured.
16	${\tt Q}$ . And let's talk a little bit about contracting and the
17	Equal Benefits Ordinance.
18	To the extent that discrimination against same-sex
19	couples and lesbian and gay and bisexual and transgendered
20	individuals exists, would you expect that San Francisco's costs
21	to investigate complaints of discrimination would be higher?
22	A. Could you repeat that?
23	Q. If such discrimination exists and there is an office
24	charged with investigating such discrimination, does that incur
25	costs?

_	
1	<b>A.</b> Certainly. I would say in proportion to the amount of
2	discrimination.
3	Q. And to the extent that companies do not offer domestic
4	partners equal insurance coverage as they do with married
5	couples, does that increase San Francisco's contracting costs
6	because of the San Francisco Equal Benefits Ordinance?
7	A. To the extent that it limits our pool of contractors, yes,
8	it does.
9	Q. And if other local governments also have Equal Benefits
10	Ordinances, would you expect a similar increase in their
11	contracting costs from their efforts to combat marriage
12	discrimination?
13	A. I would expect the same thing to hold there as well.
14	Q. And, Dr. Egan, you were asked a little bit about the rates
15	of opposite-sex couples marrying between June and November,
16	2008.
17	If you were going to undertake a study of the rates
18	at which opposite-sex couples got married, would you look at
19	more than four-and-a-half months of data to undertake that
20	study?
21	A. I think it would be prudent to do that and yes.
22	Q. And if more data about same-sex couples' marriage rates
23	were available, would you have looked at that here in
24	California to undertake your study of those rates?
25	A. Yes.

1	
1	${f Q}$ . Dr. Egan, is it generally the case that improvements among
2	lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgendered individuals and among
3	same-sex couples in health, healthy behaviors, wealth
4	accumulation and productivity increase San Francisco's payroll
5	and property taxes?
6	A. Yes, it is.
7	${f Q}$ . And is it generally the case that those factors, increased
8	health and wealth, increase the city's economic health?
9	A. Yes, they do.
10	MS. VAN AKEN: I have nothing further.
11	THE COURT: Very well. Thank you, sir. You may step
12	down.
13	(Witness excused.)
14	THE COURT: And can we resume at, maybe, 1:00
15	o'clock? Is that going to give everybody enough time?
16	All right. Very well. Let's see. The next witness
17	is going to be?
18	MR. BOUTROUS: Dr. Meyer.
19	THE COURT: Doctor?
20	MR. BOUTROUS: Dr. Meyer.
21	THE COURT: Dr. Meyer, fine.
22	(Whereupon at 12:08 p.m. proceedings
23	were adjourned for noon recess.)
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JANUARY 14, 2010 1:00 P.M.
3	
4	THE COURT: Mr. Boutrous, your next witness, please.
5	MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, the plaintiffs call
6	Dr. Ilan Meyer.
7	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.
8	ILAN MEYER,
9	called as a witness for the Plaintiffs herein, having been
10	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
11	THE WITNESS: I do.
12	THE CLERK: Thank you.
13	State your name, please.
14	THE WITNESS: Ilan Meyer.
15	THE CLERK: And spell your last name.
16	THE WITNESS: M-e-y-e-r.
17	THE CLERK: Your first name.
18	THE WITNESS: I-l-a-n.
19	THE CLERK: Thank you.
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. DUSSEAULT:
22	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Meyer.
23	A. Good afternoon.
24	Q. I would like to start asking you a few questions about
25	your educational background. Where did you receive your

1	undergraduate degree?
2	A. I received a B.A. from Tel Aviv University in Israel. I
3	received a B.A. from Tel Aviv University, in psychology and
4	special education.
5	Q. Do you have a master's degree?
6	A. Yes. I received a master's degree in psychology from the
7	New School for Social Research in New York City.
8	Q. Did you do a predoctoral fellowship of any kind?
9	A. Yes. After the master's degree, I moved to a doctoral
10	program at Columbia University. And during this program, I had
11	a National Institute of Mental Health Fellowship in psychiatric
12	epidemiology.
13	Q. What is psychiatric epidemiology?
14	A. Psychiatric epidemiology is the study of mental disorders.
15	We are interested in patterns of mental disorders, causes of
16	mental disorders, risks for mental disorders. Very much like
17	epidemiology of infectious diseases, where we are looking at
18	the infections, but this is concerning psychiatric disorders
19	such as depression, anxiety, and so forth.
20	Q. Dr. Meyer, do you have a Ph.D.?
21	A. I do.
22	Q. From where did you receive it?
23	A. From Columbia University.
24	Q. When did you receive it?
25	A. In 1993.

Ī	
1	Q. And in what field did you receive your Ph.D.?
2	A. The department where I got the Ph.D. is called
3	Sociomedical Sciences. It's a department that brings together
4	people from various social sciences and studying of public
5	health problems or public health issues. In my case, mental
6	disorders. But other people may study other types of
7	disorders.
8	Q. And did you do a doctoral dissertation?
9	A. I did.
10	Q. What was the title of it?
11	A. The title of it was, "Prejudice and Pride. Minority
12	Stress and Mental Health in Gay Men."
13	Q. Did it receive any awards?
14	A. It was chosen for distinction by the University, which is
15	given to the top 10 percent of dissertations at the university,
16	Columbia University.
17	Q. Did you do any postdoctoral fellowship?
18	A. I did. After finishing my Ph.D., I did three years of
19	postdoctoral work. They were funded also by the National
20	Institutes of Health, or NIH.
21	The first one was a two-year postdoctoral fellowship
22	at City University of New York, the graduate center. And that
23	was in health psychology.
24	The second one was at Memorial Sloan-Kettering. And
25	that was in HIV, AIDS and psychiatry.

1Q. Dr. Meyer, let's talk a bit about your employment. What's2your current employment position?3A. I'm an associate professor at the Department of4Sociomedical Sciences, the same department where I graduated.5I'm also the executive chair for the department, in charge of6our masters program, which has about a hundred students a year7entering to this master's degree.8Q. This is at Columbia University?9A. Exactly.10Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health?11A. Yes.12Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?13A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering14committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of15Public Health.16And the steering committee is a faculty committee17that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty18has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of19programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that20committee.21I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,22master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of23that program.24I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,25which is the committee that determines what the students should	,	
<ul> <li>A. I'm an associate professor at the Department of</li> <li>Sociomedical Sciences, the same department where I graduated.</li> <li>I'm also the executive chair for the department, in charge of</li> <li>our masters program, which has about a hundred students a year</li> <li>entering to this master's degree.</li> <li>Q. This is at Columbia University?</li> <li>A. Exactly.</li> <li>Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering</li> <li>committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of</li> <li>Public Health.</li> <li>And the steering committee is a faculty committee</li> <li>that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty</li> <li>has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of</li> <li>programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that</li> <li>committee.</li> <li>I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,</li> <li>master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of</li> <li>that program.</li> </ul>	1	Q. Dr. Meyer, let's talk a bit about your employment. What's
<ul> <li>Sociomedical Sciences, the same department where I graduated.</li> <li>I'm also the executive chair for the department, in charge of</li> <li>our masters program, which has about a hundred students a year</li> <li>entering to this master's degree.</li> <li>Q. This is at Columbia University?</li> <li>A. Exactly.</li> <li>Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering</li> <li>committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of</li> <li>Public Health.</li> <li>And the steering committee is a faculty committee</li> <li>that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty</li> <li>has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of</li> <li>programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that</li> <li>committee.</li> <li>I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,</li> <li>master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of</li> <li>that program.</li> <li>I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,</li> </ul>	2	your current employment position?
5 I'm also the executive chair for the department, in charge of our masters program, which has about a hundred students a year entering to this master's degree. 8 Q. This is at Columbia University? 9 A. Exactly. 9 Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health? 10 Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health? 11 A. Yes. 2 Q. Do you chair any programs within your department? 13 A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of Public Health. 16 And the steering committee is a faculty committee 17 that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that committee. 17 I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H., master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of that program. 18 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	3	A. I'm an associate professor at the Department of
<ul> <li>our masters program, which has about a hundred students a year</li> <li>entering to this master's degree.</li> <li>Q. This is at Columbia University?</li> <li>A. Exactly.</li> <li>Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering</li> <li>committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of</li> <li>Public Health.</li> <li>And the steering committee is a faculty committee</li> <li>that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty</li> <li>has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of</li> <li>programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that</li> <li>committee.</li> <li>I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,</li> <li>master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of</li> <li>that program.</li> </ul>	4	Sociomedical Sciences, the same department where I graduated.
<ul> <li>entering to this master's degree.</li> <li>Q. This is at Columbia University?</li> <li>A. Exactly.</li> <li>Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering</li> <li>committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of</li> <li>Public Health.</li> <li>And the steering committee is a faculty committee</li> <li>that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty</li> <li>has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of</li> <li>programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that</li> <li>committee.</li> <li>I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,</li> <li>master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of</li> <li>that program.</li> <li>I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,</li> </ul>	5	I'm also the executive chair for the department, in charge of
<ul> <li>8</li> <li>Q. This is at Columbia University?</li> <li>A. Exactly.</li> <li>Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering</li> <li>committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of</li> <li>Public Health.</li> <li>And the steering committee is a faculty committee</li> <li>that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty</li> <li>has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of</li> <li>programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that</li> <li>committee.</li> <li>I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,</li> <li>master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of</li> <li>that program.</li> <li>I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,</li> </ul>	6	our masters program, which has about a hundred students a year
<ul> <li>A. Exactly.</li> <li>Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering</li> <li>committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of</li> <li>Public Health.</li> <li>And the steering committee is a faculty committee</li> <li>that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty</li> <li>has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of</li> <li>programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that</li> <li>committee.</li> <li>I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,</li> <li>master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of</li> <li>that program.</li> </ul>	7	entering to this master's degree.
<ul> <li>Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering</li> <li>committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of</li> <li>Public Health.</li> <li>And the steering committee is a faculty committee</li> <li>that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty</li> <li>has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of</li> <li>programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that</li> <li>committee.</li> <li>I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,</li> <li>master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of</li> <li>that program.</li> </ul>	8	Q. This is at Columbia University?
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?</li> <li>A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering</li> <li>committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of</li> <li>Public Health.</li> <li>And the steering committee is a faculty committee</li> <li>that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty</li> <li>has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of</li> <li>programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that</li> <li>committee.</li> <li>I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,</li> <li>master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of</li> <li>that program.</li> </ul>	9	A. Exactly.
12 Q. Do you chair any programs within your department? A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of Public Health. 16 And the steering committee is a faculty committee 17 that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty 18 has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of 19 programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that 20 committee. 21 I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H., 22 master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of 23 that program. 24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	10	Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health?
A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of Public Health. And the steering committee is a faculty committee that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that committee. I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H., master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of that program. I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	11	A. Yes.
14 committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of 15 Public Health. 16 And the steering committee is a faculty committee 17 that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty 18 has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of 19 programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that 20 committee. 21 I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H., 22 master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of 23 that program. 24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	12	Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?
<ul> <li>Public Health.</li> <li>And the steering committee is a faculty committee</li> <li>that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty</li> <li>has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of</li> <li>programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that</li> <li>committee.</li> <li>I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,</li> <li>master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of</li> <li>that program.</li> <li>I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,</li> </ul>	13	A. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering
16And the steering committee is a faculty committee17that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty18has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of19programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that20committee.21I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,22master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of23I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	14	committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of
17 that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty 18 has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of 19 programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that 20 committee. 21 I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H., 22 master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of 23 that program. 24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	15	Public Health.
18 has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of 19 programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that 20 committee. 21 I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H., 22 master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of 23 that program. 24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	16	And the steering committee is a faculty committee
<pre>19 programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that 20 committee. 21 I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H., 22 master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of 23 that program. 24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,</pre>	17	that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty
20 committee. 21 I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H., 22 master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of 23 that program. 24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	18	has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of
21 I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H., 22 master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of 23 that program. 24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	19	programs and so forth. So we so I'm a co-chair of that
<pre>22 master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of 23 that program. 24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,</pre>	20	committee.
23 that program. 24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	21	I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,
24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,	22	master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of
	23	that program.
25 which is the committee that determines what the students should	24	I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,
	25	which is the committee that determines what the students should

1	learn in terms of receiving their degrees.
2	I probably have some other committees that I am on.
3	That's quite a bit of
4	Q. That's a good start. Thank you.
5	What year did you join the faculty of Columbia
6	University?
7	A. My first appointment, in '94. But that was while I was
8	still doing my postdoctoral degree. But I think my full-time
9	appointment is in '96.
10	Q. And you've been there consistently?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Let's talk a little bit about what you do professionally.
13	Has your professional let me step back.
14	It's been close to 20 years since you got your
15	doctorate?
16	A. It is.
17	${f Q}$ . Has the professional work you've done over that period
18	focused on any particular topics?
19	A. Yes. My area of study I would define as social
20	epidemiology. The terms that are maybe not that
21	self-explanatory, but if I had to explain it, I study the
22	relationship between social issues, social factors in our
23	the structure of our society, and the way things happen in our
24	society, and health patterns, health outcomes. And,
25	specifically, mental health outcomes.

1	Π
1	Q. And that's within the field of social epidemiology?
2	A. That's within the field, I guess, of psychiatric
3	epidemiology. And social epidemiology would be one approach
4	within that field.
5	THE COURT: Let me see if I have that. Your area of
6	study is the relationship of social structures and mental
7	health outcomes?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, within psychiatric epidemiology,
9	which more broadly discusses and studies patterns and causes of
10	mental disorders.
11	THE COURT: Fine.
12	BY MR. DUSSEAULT:
13	Q. Dr. Meyer, could you please tell the Court, has your work
14	focused on any particular groups of the population?
15	A. Yes. Most directly, I have been studying lesbian, gay,
16	and bisexual populations within this area.
17	I have also studied other populations. I have
18	studied African-Americans. I have studied other issues, such
19	as asthma and HIV. But most of my work has been on lesbian,
20	gay, bisexuals and mental health issues.
21	Q. Have you made any presentations at professional
22	conferences in the course of your work?
23	A. Yes, I have made many presentations. I think most of them
24	are listed in my CV, but maybe not all the major ones. I would
25	say there were over 40 listed there.

1	Q. Okay. Have you received any research grants, sir?
2	A. Yes, I've received funding for my research. Currently,
3	I'm a recipient of the Robert Wood Johnson's Foundation's
4	Health Policy Investigator Award.
5	I've received, in the past, grants from the National
б	Institutes of Health, and the National Library of Medicine,
7	from New York State Department of Health, from private
8	foundations, et cetera.
9	Q. Have you received any awards for your professional work?
10	A. I have.
11	Q. What are some of those?
12	A. Well, I guess, most recently, I received an award for
13	distinguished scientific contribution from the American
14	Psychological Association's Division 44, which is a division of
15	the American Psychological Association that concerns gay,
16	lesbian, and bisexual health.
17	Q. Have you been a reviewer or editor of any publications?
18	A. Many times. That's part of what we do. I've reviewed
19	many manuscripts that were to be published and would would
20	assess them for their value, and recommend to the editor
21	about and critique the manuscripts, and so forth.
22	I've also been a guest editor on a couple of
23	journals. A major one was when I was invited to guest edit the
24	American Journal of Public Health, special issue on lesbian,
25	gay, bisexual and transgender health.

1	This was the first issue that was published on the
2	topic by the American Journal of Public Health, which is a very
3	prestigious journal. It's been around for, I would say, close
4	to a hundred years.
5	It was a very successful issue. It actually is the
6	first issue that sold out, in the memory of anybody. Which is
7	a very rare thing for a scientific journal.
8	Q. Not the highest circulation.
9	(Laughter)
10	A. No. After that, I edited or co-edited another journal.
11	Again, this is a special issue of a journal, so the journal is
12	published regularly. But I, in this case, edited a special
13	issue of American Journal of Public Health.
14	And the second one was a journal that's called Social
15	Science in Medicine. In that case, I co-edited with two
16	colleagues a special issue that focused on prejudice and
17	stigma, and their impact in public health, and different issues
18	within public health of how we should think about prejudice and
19	stigma.
20	Q. Have you edited any books, sir?
21	A. Yes. The I in part, because of the success of American
22	Journal of Public Health issue, I was invited by editors in
23	Springer Publication at the time it was <i>Clure</i> and they
24	asked me to edit a book on lesbian, gay, bisexual and
25	transgender public health issues, which I did with a co-editor

1also.2Q. And have you written any articles?3A. Yes. I have written articles, both peer-reviewed articles4and articles that were more of a commentary or editorial5nature, and chapters, and so forth.6Q. Can you approximate how many articles you've written?7A. I think there are 44 peer-reviewed articles listed on my8CV right now. And maybe 12 other types, commentaries, and so9forth.10Q. Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position11at Columbia?12A. Yes.13Q. What courses do you teach?14A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,15but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one16is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct17surveys, and things like that.18The that's a required course for our students.19There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,20Pr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If21Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If22public health.23Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If24you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's25Exhibit No. 2328.					
<ul> <li>A. Yes. I have written articles, both peer-reviewed articles <ul> <li>and articles that were more of a commentary or editorial <ul> <li>nature, and chapters, and so forth.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Can you approximate how many articles you've written?</li> <li>A. I think there are 44 peer-reviewed articles listed on my</li> <li>CV right now. And maybe 12 other types, commentaries, and so</li> <li>forth.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position <ul> <li>at Columbia?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What courses do you teach?</li> <li>A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time, <ul> <li>but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called, <ul> <li>"Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>public health.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If <ul> <li>you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul>	1	also.			
<ul> <li>and articles that were more of a commentary or editorial</li> <li>nature, and chapters, and so forth.</li> <li>Q. Can you approximate how many articles you've written?</li> <li>A. I think there are 44 peer-reviewed articles listed on my</li> <li>CV right now. And maybe 12 other types, commentaries, and so</li> <li>forth.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position</li> <li>at Columbia?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What courses do you teach?</li> <li>A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,</li> <li>but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>"Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>public health.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	2	Q. And have you written any articles?			
<ul> <li>nature, and chapters, and so forth.</li> <li>2. Can you approximate how many articles you've written?</li> <li>A. I think there are 44 peer-reviewed articles listed on my</li> <li>CV right now. And maybe 12 other types, commentaries, and so</li> <li>forth.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position</li> <li>at Columbia?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What courses do you teach?</li> <li>A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,</li> <li>but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>"Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>public health.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	3	A. Yes. I have written articles, both peer-reviewed articles			
<ul> <li>6 C. Can you approximate how many articles you've written?</li> <li>7 A. I think there are 44 peer-reviewed articles listed on my</li> <li>8 CV right now. And maybe 12 other types, commentaries, and so</li> <li>9 forth.</li> <li>10 C. Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position</li> <li>11 at Columbia?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. What courses do you teach?</li> <li>14 A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,</li> <li>15 but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>16 is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>17 surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>18 The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>19 There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>10 "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>11 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>12 public health.</li> <li>20. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	4	and articles that were more of a commentary or editorial			
<ul> <li>7 A. I think there are 44 peer-reviewed articles listed on my</li> <li>8 CV right now. And maybe 12 other types, commentaries, and so</li> <li>9 forth.</li> <li>10 Q. Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position</li> <li>11 at Columbia?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. What courses do you teach?</li> <li>14 A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,</li> <li>15 but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>16 is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>17 surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>18 The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>19 There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>10 "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>21 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>22 public health.</li> <li>23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	5	nature, and chapters, and so forth.			
<ul> <li>8 CV right now. And maybe 12 other types, commentaries, and so</li> <li>9 forth.</li> <li>10 Q. Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position</li> <li>11 at Columbia?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. What courses do you teach?</li> <li>14 A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,</li> <li>15 but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>16 is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>17 surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>18 The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>19 There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>10 "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>21 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>22 public health.</li> <li>23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	6	Q. Can you approximate how many articles you've written?			
<ul> <li>forth.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position</li> <li>at Columbia?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What courses do you teach?</li> <li>A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,</li> <li>but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>"Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>public health.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	7	A. I think there are 44 peer-reviewed articles listed on my			
<ul> <li>10 Q. Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position</li> <li>11 at Columbia?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What courses do you teach?</li> <li>A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,</li> <li>15 but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>16 is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>17 surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>18 The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>19 There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>20 "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>21 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>22 public health.</li> <li>23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	8	CV right now. And maybe 12 other types, commentaries, and so			
<pre>11 at Columbia? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. What courses do you teach? 14 A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time, 15 but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one 16 is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct 17 surveys, and things like that. 18 The that's a required course for our students. 19 There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called, 20 "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors." 21 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in 22 public health. 23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If 24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</pre>	9	forth.			
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What courses do you teach?</li> <li>A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,</li> <li>but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>"Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>public health.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	10	${f Q}$ . Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position			
<ul> <li>Q. What courses do you teach?</li> <li>A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,</li> <li>but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>"Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>public health.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	11	at Columbia?			
<ul> <li>A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,</li> <li>but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>"Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>public health.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	12	A. Yes.			
<ul> <li>but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one</li> <li>is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>"Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>public health.</li> <li>Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	13	Q. What courses do you teach?			
<ul> <li>16 is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct</li> <li>17 surveys, and things like that.</li> <li>18 The that's a required course for our students.</li> <li>19 There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>20 "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>21 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>22 public health.</li> <li>23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	14	A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,			
<pre>17 17 surveys, and things like that. 18 The that's a required course for our students. 19 There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called, 20 "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors." 21 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in 22 public health. 23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If 24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</pre>	15	but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one			
18 The that's a required course for our students. 19 There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called, 20 "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors." 21 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in 22 public health. 23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If 24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's	16	is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct			
<ul> <li>19 There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,</li> <li>20 "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>21 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>22 public health.</li> <li>23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	17	surveys, and things like that.			
<ul> <li>20 "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."</li> <li>21 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in</li> <li>22 public health.</li> <li>23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If</li> <li>24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's</li> </ul>	18	The that's a required course for our students.			
21And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in22public health.23Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If24you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's	19	There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,			
22 public health. 23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If 24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's	20	"Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."			
Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's	21	And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in			
24 you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's	22	public health.			
	23	<b>Q.</b> Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If			
25 Exhibit No. 2328.	24	you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's			
	25	Exhibit No. 2328.			

1 А. Yes. 2 If you could take a look at that document. Q. 3 Α. That's my CV. 4 Q. That's your CV. That was my question. 5 MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, plaintiffs would tender 6 Dr. Ilan Meyer as an expert in public health, with a focus on 7 social psychology and psychiatric epidemiology. THE COURT: Voir dire? 8 9 MR. NIELSON: No objection to (inaudible). THE COURT: No objection to him being qualified to 10 11 offer his opinions? 12 MR. NIELSON: No objection to him being qualified as 13 an expert (inaudible). 14 THE COURT: Very well. 15 MR. DUSSEAULT: And, Your Honor, with respect to the exhibits, to try and keep things efficient, what we have done 16 is, counsel and I have agreed on a list of documents that will 17 be admitted together. 18 19 I understand that list has been provided to you and 20 to the clerk. And I'm happy to read them, if it would be 21 better for you, but we could just agree -- I suspect it's not. We could agree that those documents will be admitted. 22 23 **THE COURT:** This is five pages. 24 MR. DUSSEAULT: It is. 49 exhibits, I believe. 25 THE COURT: 49 exhibits. If there is no objection,

<ul> <li>each of these will be admitted.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: No objection, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.</li> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibits 900, 922, 923, 926, 927, 955, 962, 973, 974, 975, 976, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1020, 1168, 1374, 1378, 1471 and 2328 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MR. DUSSEAULT:</li> <li>Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that</li> <li>were just admitted into evidence.</li> <li>With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the</li> <li>documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in</li> <li>your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the</li> <li>opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can</li> <li>take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are</li> <li>documents that came up in the course of your deposition</li> <li>testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that</li> <li>testimony?</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.</li> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibits 900, 922, 923, 926, 927, 955, 962, 973, 974, 975, 976, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1020, 1168, 1374, 1378, 1471 and 2328 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MR. DUSSEAULT:</li> <li>Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that were just admitted into evidence.</li> <li>With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are documents that came up in the course of your deposition testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that testimony?</li> </ul>	1	each of these will be admitted.			
<ul> <li>(Plaintiffs' Exhibits 900, 922, 923, 926, 927, 955, 962, 973, 974, 975, 976, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1020, 1168, 1374, 1378, 1471 and 2328 received in evidence.)</li> <li><b>PY MR. DUSSEAULT:</b></li> <li>Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that were just admitted into evidence. With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are documents that came up in the course of your deposition testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that testimony?</li> </ul>	2	MR. NIELSON: No objection, Your Honor.			
<ul> <li>962, 973, 974, 975, 976, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982,</li> <li>983, 984, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994,</li> <li>995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005,</li> <li>1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1020,</li> <li>1168, 1374, 1378, 1471 and 2328 received in</li> <li>evidence.)</li> <li>BY MR. DUSSEAULT:</li> <li>Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that</li> <li>were just admitted into evidence.</li> <li>With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the</li> <li>documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in</li> <li>your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the</li> <li>opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can</li> <li>take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are</li> <li>documents that came up in the course of your deposition</li> <li>testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that</li> </ul>	3	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.			
<ul> <li>983, 984, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1020, 1168, 1374, 1378, 1471 and 2328 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MR. DUSSEAULT:</li> <li>Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that were just admitted into evidence.</li> <li>With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are documents that came up in the course of your deposition testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that testimony?</li> </ul>	4	(Plaintiffs' Exhibits 900, 922, 923, 926, 927, 955,			
<ul> <li>995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1020, 1168, 1374, 1378, 1471 and 2328 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MR. DUSSEAULT:</li> <li>Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that were just admitted into evidence.</li> <li>With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are documents that came up in the course of your deposition testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that testimony?</li> </ul>	5	962, 973, 974, 975, 976, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982,			
<ul> <li>8 1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1020, 1168, 1374, 1378, 1471 and 2328 received in evidence.)</li> <li>BY MR. DUSSEAULT:</li> <li>Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that were just admitted into evidence.</li> <li>14 With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>15 Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>19 A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are documents that came up in the course of your deposition testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that testimony?</li> </ul>	6	983, 984, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994,			
<ul> <li>9 1168, 1374, 1378, 1471 and 2328 received in evidence.)</li> <li>11 BY MR. DUSSEAULT:</li> <li>12 Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that were just admitted into evidence.</li> <li>14 With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>15 Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the</li> <li>16 documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the</li> <li>18 opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>19 A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>20 Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can</li> <li>21 take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are</li> <li>22 documents that came up in the course of your deposition</li> <li>23 testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that</li> <li>24 testimony?</li> </ul>	7	995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005,			
<ul> <li>evidence.)</li> <li>BY MR. DUSSEAULT:</li> <li>Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that</li> <li>were just admitted into evidence.</li> <li>With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the</li> <li>documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in</li> <li>your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the</li> <li>opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can</li> <li>take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are</li> <li>documents that came up in the course of your deposition</li> <li>testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that</li> </ul>	8	1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1020,			
<ul> <li>BY MR. DUSSEAULT:</li> <li>Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that</li> <li>were just admitted into evidence.</li> <li>With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the</li> <li>documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in</li> <li>your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the</li> <li>opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can</li> <li>take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are</li> <li>documents that came up in the course of your deposition</li> <li>testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that</li> </ul>	9	1168, 1374, 1378, 1471 and 2328 received in			
<ul> <li>Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that</li> <li>were just admitted into evidence.</li> <li>With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the</li> <li>documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in</li> <li>your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the</li> <li>opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can</li> <li>take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are</li> <li>documents that came up in the course of your deposition</li> <li>testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that</li> </ul>	10	evidence.)			
were just admitted into evidence. With the exception of three of them, which are Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the opinions that you intend to offer in this case? A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes. Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are documents that came up in the course of your deposition testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that testimony?	11	BY MR. DUSSEAULT:			
<ul> <li>With the exception of three of them, which are</li> <li>Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the</li> <li>documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in</li> <li>your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the</li> <li>opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can</li> <li>take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are</li> <li>documents that came up in the course of your deposition</li> <li>testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that</li> </ul>	12	Q. Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that			
Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the opinions that you intend to offer in this case? A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes. Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are documents that came up in the course of your deposition testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that testimony?	13	were just admitted into evidence.			
16 documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in 17 your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the 18 opinions that you intend to offer in this case? 19 A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes. 20 Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can 21 take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are 22 documents that came up in the course of your deposition 23 testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that 24 testimony?	14	With the exception of three of them, which are			
<ul> <li>17 your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the</li> <li>18 opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>19 A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>20 Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can</li> <li>21 take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are</li> <li>22 documents that came up in the course of your deposition</li> <li>23 testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that</li> <li>24 testimony?</li> </ul>	15	Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the			
<ul> <li>opinions that you intend to offer in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.</li> <li>Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can</li> <li>take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are</li> <li>documents that came up in the course of your deposition</li> <li>testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that</li> <li>testimony?</li> </ul>	16	documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in			
19 A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes. 20 Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can 21 take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are 22 documents that came up in the course of your deposition 23 testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that 24 testimony?	17	your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the			
Q. And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are documents that came up in the course of your deposition testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that testimony?	18	opinions that you intend to offer in this case?			
21 take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are 22 documents that came up in the course of your deposition 23 testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that 24 testimony?	19	A. Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes.			
22 documents that came up in the course of your deposition 23 testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that 24 testimony?	20	<b>Q.</b> And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 you can			
<pre>23 testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that 24 testimony?</pre>	21	take a look at them, if you like 973, 975 and 976, those are			
24 testimony?	22	documents that came up in the course of your deposition			
	23	testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that			
25 <b>A.</b> Yes. What was the third one? I'm sorry.	24	testimony?			
	25	A. Yes. What was the third one? I'm sorry.			

<b>Q.</b> 976.			
<b>A.</b> Okay. Yes, that is correct.			
Q. Now, Dr. Meyer, do you intend to offer any opinions in			
this litigation here today?			
A. Yes, I do.			
Q. What opinions do you intend to offer?			
A. Well, my opinion really describes the work that I've been			
doing, as I described it earlier. And I would say there are			
three elements there.			
The first one is on the nature of stigma. And I will			
testify to the effect of stigma on gay and lesbian populations			
with reference to Proposition 8 as an example of a stigma.			
The second part will describe a model of minority			
stress that is a model that I am credited with authoring, and			
has been referred to in much of the literature on gay and			
lesbian health. And I will describe how social stressors			
affect gay and lesbian populations.			
And the third part describes the effect of those			
stressors on health, in particular mental health.			
Q. And on what do you base the opinions that you're going to			
testify about today?			
A. As I've said, this is a topic of my study for, as you			
said, for the past 20 years; really, since my work on my			
dissertation. And the opinion is based on many research			
articles, both some that I've conducted myself, and many			

Ī				
1	more that were conducted in the field over many years. And I			
2	rely on on this body of evidence.			
3	A sample of it, I guess, would be what you offered as			
4	an exhibit, which is what I relied on in writing a report			
5	earlier.			
б	Q. So, Dr. Meyer, let's start talking a little more detail			
7	about each of these opinions. Let's start with the first,			
8	which you said refers to stigma experienced by gay men and			
9	lesbians.			
10	Can you define what you mean by "stigma," as you use			
11	that word?			
12	<b>A.</b> Yes. And I have to say that I have to be very brief in			
13	this description. The work on stigma has many, many volumes			
14	that I'm sure we don't want as I said, it's the subject of			
15	the whole seminar that I teach.			
16	But the most succinct, I guess, description would be			
17	that a group in society has some kind of attribute that has			
18	been identified to be a negative attribute, that is seen as			
19	negative by society.			
20	And this attribute is attached to persons who are			
21	believed to have this attribute. And because of having this			
22	attribute, they are, therefore, what we call devalued.			
23	So, in the example of gay sexual orientation, sexual			
24	orientation is identified as such an attribute that people			
25	perceive as being a negative attribute. And, therefore, gay			

and lesbian people, as a whole I don't mean as a whole the whole person is identified by that identity that is devalued; and, therefore, the whole person is devalued because of that relationship. And stigma, of course, has been applied to many other populations and instances. Q. Are you familiar with a concept referred to as "structural a stigma"? A. Yes. Q. What is structural stigma? A. Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma. So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to more solid structures in society, societal institutions such as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other institution that is essential in our society. Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the way that laws can play a role in structural stigma. A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of different of the citizens to different we call it goods that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources. In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various groups may have to a particular institution.					
<ul> <li>devalued; and, therefore, the whole person is devalued because</li> <li>of that relationship.</li> <li>And stigma, of course, has been applied to many other</li> <li>populations and instances.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with a concept referred to as "structural</li> <li>stigma"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is structural stigma?</li> <li>A. Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of</li> <li>the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma.</li> <li>So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to</li> <li>more solid structures in society, societal institutions such</li> <li>as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other</li> <li>institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the</li> <li>way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of</li> <li>different of the citizens to different we call it goods</li> <li>that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the</li> <li>word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is</li> <li>stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	1	and lesbian people, as a whole I don't mean as a whole			
<ul> <li>of that relationship.</li> <li>And stigma, of course, has been applied to many other</li> <li>populations and instances.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with a concept referred to as "structural</li> <li>stigma"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is structural stigma?</li> <li>A. Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of</li> <li>the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma.</li> <li>So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to</li> <li>more solid structures in society, societal institutions such</li> <li>as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other</li> <li>institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the</li> <li>way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of</li> <li>different of the citizens to different we call it goods</li> <li>that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the</li> <li>word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is</li> <li>stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	2	the whole person is identified by that identity that is			
<ul> <li>And stigma, of course, has been applied to many other</li> <li>populations and instances.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with a concept referred to as "structural</li> <li>stigma"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is structural stigma?</li> <li>A. Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of</li> <li>the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma.</li> <li>So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to</li> <li>more solid structures in society, societal institutions such</li> <li>as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other</li> <li>institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the</li> <li>way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of</li> <li>different of the citizens to different we call it goods</li> <li>that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the</li> <li>word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is</li> <li>stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	3	devalued; and, therefore, the whole person is devalued because			
<ul> <li>populations and instances.</li> <li>Q. Are you familiar with a concept referred to as "structural stigma"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is structural stigma?</li> <li>A. Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma. So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to more solid structures in society, societal institutions such as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of different of the citizens to different we call it goods that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	4	of that relationship.			
<ul> <li>Q. Are you familiar with a concept referred to as "structural stigma"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is structural stigma?</li> <li>A. Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma. So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to more solid structures in society, societal institutions such as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of different of the citizens to different we call it goods that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	5	And stigma, of course, has been applied to many other			
<ul> <li>stigma"?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is structural stigma?</li> <li>A. Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of</li> <li>the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma.</li> <li>So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to</li> <li>more solid structures in society, societal institutions such</li> <li>as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other</li> <li>institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the</li> <li>way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of</li> <li>different of the citizens to different we call it goods</li> <li>that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the</li> <li>word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is</li> <li>stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	6	populations and instances.			
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What is structural stigma?</li> <li>A. Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of</li> <li>the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma.</li> <li>So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to</li> <li>more solid structures in society, societal institutions such</li> <li>as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other</li> <li>institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the</li> <li>way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of</li> <li>different of the citizens to different we call it goods</li> <li>that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the</li> <li>word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is</li> <li>stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	7	<b>Q.</b> Are you familiar with a concept referred to as "structural			
<ul> <li>Q. What is structural stigma?</li> <li>A. Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of</li> <li>the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma.</li> <li>So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to</li> <li>more solid structures in society, societal institutions such</li> <li>as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other</li> <li>institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the</li> <li>way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of</li> <li>different of the citizens to different we call it goods</li> <li>that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the</li> <li>word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is</li> <li>stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	8	stigma"?			
<ul> <li>A. Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of</li> <li>the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma.</li> <li>So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to</li> <li>more solid structures in society, societal institutions such</li> <li>as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other</li> <li>institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the</li> <li>way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of</li> <li>different of the citizens to different we call it goods</li> <li>that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the</li> <li>word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is</li> <li>stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	9	A. Yes.			
12 the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma. 13 So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to 14 more solid structures in society, societal institutions such 15 as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other 16 institution that is essential in our society. 17 Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the 18 way that laws can play a role in structural stigma. 19 A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of 20 different of the citizens to different we call it goods 21 that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the 22 word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources. 23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is 24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various	10	Q. What is structural stigma?			
<ul> <li>So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to</li> <li>more solid structures in society, societal institutions such</li> <li>as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other</li> <li>institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the</li> <li>way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of</li> <li>different of the citizens to different we call it goods</li> <li>that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the</li> <li>word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is</li> <li>stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	11	<b>A.</b> Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of			
14 more solid structures in society, societal institutions such 15 as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other 16 institution that is essential in our society. 17 Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the 18 way that laws can play a role in structural stigma. 19 A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of 20 different of the citizens to different we call it goods 21 that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the 22 word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources. 23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is 24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various	12	the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma.			
15 as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other 16 institution that is essential in our society. 17 Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the 18 way that laws can play a role in structural stigma. 19 A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of 20 different of the citizens to different we call it goods 21 that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources. 23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is 24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various	13	So those refer by the word "structural" we mean to			
<ul> <li>16 institution that is essential in our society.</li> <li>17 Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the</li> <li>18 way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.</li> <li>19 A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of</li> <li>20 different of the citizens to different we call it goods</li> <li>21 that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the</li> <li>22 word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is</li> <li>24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	14	more solid structures in society, societal institutions such			
Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the way that laws can play a role in structural stigma. A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of different of the citizens to different we call it goods that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources. In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various	15	as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other			
18 way that laws can play a role in structural stigma. 19 A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of 20 different of the citizens to different we call it goods 21 that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the 22 word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources. 23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is 24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various	16	institution that is essential in our society.			
<ul> <li>19 A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of</li> <li>20 different of the citizens to different we call it goods</li> <li>21 that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the</li> <li>22 word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.</li> <li>23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is</li> <li>24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various</li> </ul>	17	Q. Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the			
20 different of the citizens to different we call it goods 21 that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the 22 word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources. 23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is 24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various	18	way that laws can play a role in structural stigma.			
21 that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the 22 word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources. 23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is 24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various	19	A. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of			
22 word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources. 23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is 24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various	20	different of the citizens to different we call it goods			
23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is 24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various	21	that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the			
24 stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various	22	word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources.			
	23	In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is			
25 groups may have to a particular institution.	24	stigmatized or, rather, control the access that various			
	25	groups may have to a particular institution.			

So, of course, here we're talking about marriage.			
And that would be an example of, in this case, a very important			
institution of marriage.			
And, of course, the law has a role in determining who			
can access that institution. And, again, that would be			
applicable to other types of examples.			
Q. So once a social excuse me, a structural stigma is in			
place, how does it affect people?			
A. So, as I said, structural stigmas determine the access			
that people have to those resources.			
I rely on the sociologists that talked about the			
opportunity structures. The society lays out goals that			
people I don't want to say fault internalize.			
People want to achieve certain goals that we all view			
as important goals in our lives; such as, career and marriage			
being two important examples of that.			
And stigma would, as I said, determine the access			
that people have to those desired goals, to achieving those			
desired goals.			
${\tt Q}$ . And has the research found that there are stigmas			
associated with gay men and lesbians?			
A. Yes, of course.			
Q. And what are some examples of such stigma?			
A. There are really many stigmas and stereotypes that			
describe kind of how people are perceived.			

In my work, I have written about the role of intimate
 relationships and the way intimate relationships have been
 portrayed.

And part of the stereotype that is part of the stigma, the negative attitude or the negative associations with this group, has been for many years that gay people are un -incapable of relationships, of intimate relationships; they may be undesiring, even, of intimate relationships; and that, certainly, they are not successful at having intimate relationships.

And when I say this has been a kind of social stigma, I I'm talking about how it has been portrayed in various cultural outlets as well as in a more organized way in various social interactions, social institutions.

15 Q. You used the phrase "intimate relationships." What do you
16 mean by that?

17 A. "Intimate relationships" mean relationships that people 18 have. Of course, primary among them would be something like a 19 marriage, a husband and a wife. But, also, other intimate 20 relationships with one's family, one's children, and one's 21 community.

And in all of those, again, as people have been described for many years as social isolates, as unconnected, as -- as not as good citizens, in a sense, who partake in society the same way that everybody else. As a pariah, so to

1	speak. So that's what stigma does.			
2	And, in particular, for gay and lesbian example, I			
3	think the issue of intimate relationship because of the nature			
4	of what being gay is about who you choose to be with, that has			
5	been a strong source of stigma.			
6	Q. Dr. Meyer, if you could turn in your binder to Plaintiff's			
7	Exhibit 1011, please.			
8	A. Yes.			
9	Q. And this is one of the documents that you've relied on in			
10	forming your opinions?			
11	A. Yes.			
12	Q. What is Exhibit 1011?			
13	<b>A.</b> This is a chapter from a book that I've relied on and that			
14	I've used in teaching as an example of maybe I should say			
15	what the book is.			
16	So, this is a chapter from a book that was published			
17	in the '60s, late '60s, and was a very popular book. It was			
18	called, "Everything you Ever Wanted to Know About Sex (But Were			
19	Afraid to Ask)."			
20	It was very, very popular. It was published in			
21	many I have a hardcover edition that is the 17th edition of			
22	this book, that was published in 1969. And I personally			
23	remember that book.			
24	So in this book there are different chapters that aim			
25	to educate the public about different issues concerning			

-				
1	sexuality. And this particular chapter is concerning male			
2	homosexuality.			
3	<b>Q.</b> And this is a book that had wide distribution?			
4	A. Absolutely.			
5	MR. DUSSEAULT: Could we put up demonstrative 2,			
6	please.			
7	(Document displayed)			
8	BY MR. DUSSEAULT:			
9	Q. I'm going to ask you about this, but what I would like to			
10	do is just read the text into the record so it's clear what			
11	you're addressing.			
12	A. May I explain something about this?			
13	Q. Of course.			
14	A. I'm sorry. So the book is written in a			
15	question-and-answer format. And, basically, the author goes			
16	through explaining sexual issues as if there is a question that			
17	somebody is asking him about his opinion about various sexual			
18	issues, and then he provides the answer. So this is an excerpt			
19	of one of those question and answers?			
20	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So the question posed is:			
21	"What about all the homosexuals who live			
22	together happily for years?"			
23	And the answer is:			
24	"What about them? They are mighty rare birds			
25	among the homosexual flock. Moreover, the			

1	'happy' part remains to be seen. The			
2	bitterest argument between husband and wife			
3	is a passionate love sonnet by comparison			
4	with a dialogue between a butch and his			
5	queen. Live together? Yes. Happily?			
6	Hardly."			
7	Is this text from this book an example of the stigma			
8	that you're talking about, sir?			
9	<b>A.</b> Yes, I think this is a very dramatic experience of what I			
10	was referring to where, in this case, an educational book			
11	portrays the relationship between, in this case, gay men as			
12	with great disrespect. I would say ridicule and contempt. So			
13	that was the kind of and one example of what I was referring			
14	to.			
15	${f Q}$ . At what stage in life does stigma begin to affect gay men			
16	and lesbians?			
17	A. Stigma really affects all people in society, because it is			
18	a social norm, if you will. It is something that we all in			
19	society learn from a very young age.			
20	It affects gay and lesbian this particular stigma			
21	affects gay and lesbian sorry, gay men and lesbians in a			
22	particular way because it is about something that is very			
23	pertinent to how they think about who they are.			
24	In my mind, this kind of stigma on other stereotypes			
25	are very impactful, especially at the younger age, and in			

1				
1	particular in the time of life where gay men and lesbians,			
2	usually during youth, either realize or recognize or know that			
3	they're gay, and begin to try to understand what that means to			
4	them.			
5	And, of course, the most available reference that			
6	they would have is the kind of things that they have learned			
7	over their lifetime, over their childhood, socialization that			
8	we all have been exposed to.			
9	So it affects everybody but, certainly, it affects in			
10	a very strong way somebody who is maybe coming out and			
11	realizing that he or she is gay, and that's what they might			
12	believe is what is in line for them.			
13	Q. Now, Dr. Meyer, you live in New York, correct?			
14	A. That's true.			
15	Q. Are you familiar with Proposition 8, the ballot initiative			
16	that was passed in California?			
17	A. Yes, I am.			
18	Q. And what's your basic understanding of what Proposition 8			
19	did?			
20	A. Well, proposition 8 was a proposition that was voted by			
21	voters in California, restricted marriage to a man and a woman;			
22	and, in fact, excluding gay men and lesbians from marriage.			
23	And it was a constitutional amendment to the California			
24	Constitution.			
25	Q. In your view, based on your work in this field, is			

1	Proposition 8 a form of structural stigma?			
2	A. Yes, absolutely. As I described stigma earlier, I would			
3	say that law, and certainly a constitutional part of the law,			
4	would be a very strong part of, as I described, the social			
5	structures that define stigma, that define access.			
б	In a very simple way, you can think of it as a block			
7	or gate toward a particular institution, toward attaining a			
8	particular goal. So, in that sense, it is very much fitting in			
9	the definition of structural stigma.			
10	${f Q}$ . And in what ways does Prop 8 impose structural stigma on			
11	gay men and lesbians in California?			
12	<b>A.</b> Well, it imposes by the fact that it denies them access to			
13	the institution of marriage.			
14	As I said, people in our society have goals that are			
15	cherished by all people. Again, that's part of social			
16	convention, that we all grow up raised to think that there are			
17	certain things that we want to achieve in life.			
18	And, in this case, this Proposition 8, in fact, says			
19	that if you are gay or lesbian, you cannot achieve this			
20	particular goal.			
21	Q. Now, are you aware, sir, that, in California, gay and			
22	lesbian couples can register as a domestic partnership?			
23	A. Yes, I am.			
24	${f Q}$ . In your view, does that eliminate the structural stigma of			
25	Prop 8?			

1	А.	No
---	----	----

2 **Q.** Why not?

3 A. When I talk about Proposition 8 and the institution of 4 marriage, I'm talking about an institution that has a social 5 meaning.

6 As I described it, this has to do with the 7 aspirations of people to achieve certain goals. And I was not 8 referring, and I don't refer to any tangible benefit that maybe 9 are accompanying marriage or a domestic partnership 10 arrangement.

11 So my -- what I'm talking about throughout my work 12 and today is really about the symbolic meaning, the social 13 meaning of marriage.

It is, I think, quite clear that the young children do not aspire to be domestic partners. But, certainly, the word "marriage" is something that many people aspire to.

Doesn't mean that everybody achieves that, but at least I would say it's a very common, social, socially-approved goal for people as they think -- for children as they think about their future and for people as they develop relationships.

For young people, and certainly for people later on, this is a desirable and respected type of goal that if you attain it, it's something that gives you pride and respect. **Q.** And do you have an opinion as to whether domestic

1	partnerships enjoy similar symbolic and social meaning?
2	<b>A.</b> I have an opinion. And that is that, as I said, I don't
3	think it has the same social meaning. In fact, I don't know if
4	it has any social meaning.
5	I think it has, perhaps, value in terms of the types
6	of benefits that people receive. But as I was trying to
7	explain, that is not what I'm talking about. And that's not
8	really relevant to my discussion of stigma.
9	Q. Let's turn, then, to the second opinion you mentioned,
10	which had to do with minority stress.
11	What does "minority stress" mean, as you use that
12	phrase?
13	A. Minority stress I've written a lot of articles about
14	it, so I'm trying to, again, be brief.
15	But it basically describes the types of stressors
16	which is I have to try to explain, maybe, what stress means,
17	before I do that. Is it
18	Q. Let me break it down. Why don't you tell us what stress
19	means.
20	A. Okay. So that's perhaps something that's easier to
21	understand.
22	Stress is well, everybody knows what stress means.
23	But when we talk about stress, what we talk about is the kinds
24	of events and conditions that happen from the outside, to the
25	person. And that one of the main definitions is they bring

1	
1	about some kind of change that require adaptation. In that
2	sense, they are taxing on the person because it requires the
3	person to adjust, so to speak, to this new situation.
4	One of the strongest types of stressors is a life
5	event. And, certainly, losing a loved one would be a very a
6	high magnitude type of an event. Losing a job is another
7	example of an event.
8	So those are the general I've referred to those as
9	general stressors, just because I'm trying to distinguish from
10	the minority stress model that I have written about in regards
11	to gay and lesbian stress.
12	So there's those different there are different
13	ways that we think about stress, not just life events. But,
14	for example, there are also chronic stressors. So, for
15	example, unemployment, a prolonged and there are other types
16	that maybe I can explain later if, you want.
17	<b>Q.</b> Let's talk a bit about the types. I believe you
18	referenced acute stress. What would that mean?
19	<b>A.</b> So a life event is an acute stressor. That's something
20	that has a beginning and end. It is pretty easily discernible.
21	It happened.
22	And chronic stress is something that is, as I say,
23	prolonged. Obviously, there could be a relationship between
24	the two. So losing a job would be a life event, but
25	unemployment that would result from that would be a chronic

Ī	
1	stress. So they are not totally distinguished.
2	There are other types of stressors that people have
3	written about. And, again, this is in general affecting
4	everybody.
5	Another one would be what we sometimes call daily
6	hassles or minor stressors that are just annoyances that happen
7	to people. Maybe being stuck in traffic for a long time, or
8	being in a long line in bank if people still go to banks
9	or in supermarket, I guess. So those would be just daily kind
10	of hassles.
11	And there is another type of stress that is a little
12	different and maybe a little harder to understand as to why it
13	is a stress. And those have been termed "nonevents." Which
14	means nothing happened.
15	And the reason why a nonevent can be stressful is
16	because it is something that was expected to have happened; so
17	the fact that it didn't happen, in this case, also requires
18	adaptation or adjustment.
19	So, for example, if I've been working in my job for a
20	certain number of years, and I expected after a certain amount
21	of time I would receive a promotion, but I didn't receive that
22	promotion, that could be a nonevent, in a sense, because
23	nothing happened but it was something that I expected and
24	others expected.
25	It's not just any kind of expectation. So, you know,

830

if I bought a lottery ticket and did not get the prize, would 1 not be the same type. 2 It is something that is normal to expect to happen at 3 4 a particular time. Usually, we are talking about milestones 5 over a lifetime. And, certainly, marriage will be one of those 6 types of expected events. Having children. 7 If you ask little children, that will be the kind of thing that they will tell you about what might happen to them 8 9 in the future: I will marry. I will have children. I will be a grandparent. Things like that, that are easily understood in 10 11 our society. Are the stressors of the type you are talking about 12 Q. essentially inputs on people's lives, as opposed to the result 13 that they may experience? 14 I'm sorry, yes. So in the research lingo, I quess we 15 Α. would call those the independent factors. Those are the things 16 17 that happen from the outside. But in common language, usually, when we talk about 18 stress we think about, also, the outcome, what we call, which 19 is, "I felt stress" means, usually, "I felt some kind of 20 21 distress because of something that happened." 22 We try to separate those two. So we try to assess 23 the stressor part, the input, and the outcome that resulted 24 from that stressor, which may -- and, of course, in this case, 25 we study health outcomes.

<pre>1 Q. So now that we've discussed stress, let's go back to th 2 concept of minority stress. What is minority stress? 3 A. So minority stress is an extension of this notion of 4 stress, in that it identifies a source of stress that stems, 5 I described earlier, from social arrangement. In particular 6 prejudice, stigma, and discrimination.</pre>	as
<ul> <li>3 A. So minority stress is an extension of this notion of</li> <li>4 stress, in that it identifies a source of stress that stems,</li> <li>5 I described earlier, from social arrangement. In particular</li> </ul>	
4 stress, in that it identifies a source of stress that stems, 5 I described earlier, from social arrangement. In particular	
5 I described earlier, from social arrangement. In particular	
	1
6 prejudice stigma and discrimination	
7 So in my model, any stress that is related to stig	ma,
8 prejudice, and discrimination I would designate it as a	
9 minority stressor.	
10 And, by the way, it could be the exact same type of	f
11 stressor. So, for example, losing a job, as I said, is a li	fe
12 event. But losing a job due to discrimination is a minority	
13 stressor of the same life event.	
14 And the reason that we distinguish those two is	
15 because we know that there's different impact for those type	S
16 of events. And, also, because this allows us to assess and	
17 measure them, I guess, in a way that is more precise for thi	S
18 purpose of understanding these issues of social determinants	•
19 Q. Thank you, Dr. Meyer.	
20 Could you turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1003, in you	r
21 binder.	
22 <b>A.</b> Yes.	
23 Q. And if you would tell the Court, what is Exhibit 1003?	
24 <b>A.</b> This is an article that was published, that I have	
25 written.	

-	
1	Q. And what's the subject of it?
2	A. So the title of this article is, "Prejudice, Social Stress
3	and Mental Health in Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Populations,
4	Conceptual Issues and Research Evidence."
5	I published this in 2003, in the journal
6	Psychological Bulletin, which, I might add, is a very
7	prestigious journal in the field of psychology, and quite
8	difficult to get published there.
9	And this article, I would say, best articulates the
10	model of minority stress that I've written about, and has been
11	referred to by many other researchers who've used it as a
12	theoretical background for their own studies.
13	So, in fact, there are several hundred studies that
14	result well, I wouldn't say resulted, but, certainly, that
15	have used this article, the ideas in this article, as a
16	resource for their own research.
17	Q. Now, does the scholarship on minority stress address
18	minority groups other than gay men and lesbians?
19	A. Well, certainly, the principles I have to explain,
20	maybe, something about how I got to this idea of minority
21	stress, and not to take too much credit, maybe.
22	So the ideas behind this theory that are outlined
23	here in this article are not all brand-new ideas that I just
24	made up or came up for this purpose of this article. Rather,
25	they rely on many, many years of research.
-	

-	
1	So, for example, all the research on stress and life
2	events, and so forth, I did not invent that. That has been
3	going on, I would say, since the 1950s, people began to be
4	interested in life events as a source of stress and its
5	sorry, impact on health.
6	So what I have done is articulated this within this
7	particular context of gay, lesbian, and bisexual population.
8	So the literature on gay, lesbian, and bisexual population have
9	used this term, "minority stress" which I, by the way, also
10	did not invent, but used somebody else's. This was a term that
11	I read about in a dissertation that was written on lesbians and
12	mental sorry, and life events. And I thought it was a good
13	term.
14	By the word "minority" here, I mean sexual
15	minorities, which is a term that is used to describe gay men,
16	lesbians and bisexuals.
17	So this refers to gay, lesbian, and bisexual. As you
18	will see later, most of the things in it are quite specific to
19	gay men and lesbians. But the general theories behind it apply
20	in broader ways.
21	Q. So let's talk a bit more specifically about it.
22	Are there particular processes through which minority
23	stress manifests itself or can manifest itself in the lives of
24	gay men and lesbians?
25	A. Yes. So

834

1	Q. What are those?
2	A. So this has been I would say, my main contribution is
3	to articulate what do we exactly mean by that when we say that
4	prejudice and stigma has an impact on people? And I described
5	those as processes that describe what actually happens, why is
6	that a stressor?
7	And I've described in this article and in other work
8	four types of minority stress processes. The first one I've
9	called "prejudice events."
10	The second I'm sorry.
11	Q. Why don't you articulate what the four are, and then I'd
12	like to do a little more detail on each. So if you could just
13	generally describe what the four are.
14	A. Okay. So the first one is called "prejudice events,"
15	which encompasses a bunch of concepts.
16	The second one is called "expectations of rejection
17	and discrimination."
18	The third one is "concealing," which refers to hiding
19	your sexual orientation, in this case, or not being out, as we
20	say sometimes.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. And the fourth one is "internalized homophobia," which
23	refers to the internalization of social attitudes by a gay
24	person or a lesbian.
25	Q. Now, how did you identify these processes?

1 So, as I said, there has been work on each of those Α. topics, that I relied on that work to bring it together to this 2 3 model that is maybe more concise. 4 While there were work on prejudice -- sorry, on life 5 events -- and there has been, certainly, a lot of work, for 6 example, on internalized homophobia, ranging to clinical 7 psychological literature -- I gathered together those different sources of research and theory to put it together in this 8 9 particular form, to explain the experiences of gay men and lesbians. 10 So let's start with the first one you identified, 11 Q. prejudice events. What do you money by prejudice events? 12 13 So just as I described earlier, the general stress, Α. prejudice events I refer to the types of stressors that are 14 15 related to prejudice. 16 So I already gave an example of being fired due to 17 discrimination. That will be a prejudice event. 18 And this -- in this case, sorry, the prejudice events 19 echo those four types of stressors that I mentioned earlier. 20 So that would be the major events, the chronic -- the major 21 acute events, the chronic stress, the minor events we could call them, the daily hassles, and the nonevents. 22 23 So that is, basically, taking, again, the same 24 framework and using it here in this context. As I say, all of 25 this was not as well-packaged. So it's not that I just took

all of this and copied it into this. I used a lot of research 1 to develop this. 2 3 0. Dr. Meyer, are the events that you describe as prejudice 4 events different from stress events that may be faced by the 5 rest of the population? 6 Yes, by definition, they are related to prejudice. Α. 7 Q. Can you give more specific examples of prejudice events? Yes. So in addition to the example I gave that has to do 8 Α. with events related to discrimination, that would include other 9 types of events that people experience. 10 For example, anti-gay violence would be, clearly, a 11 prejudice event, even though it's not a discrimination. But it 12 13 is like hate crimes, would be prejudice events in the sense that the person was chosen for this -- to be the victim of this 14 crime because of prejudice. 15 So these are the major events. Then there are 16 chronic stressors, again, that could be resultant from 17 prejudice. 18 In my studies, for example, I've collected data 19 from -- in the recent study, about 400 gay men and lesbians. 20 21 And we asked them about life events that happened to them over 22 their entire life. We have several -- many thousands of life events that each of them described. 23 24 So there would be chronic things like harassment, 25 that children -- sorry, they were adult, who reported that

1	during their childhood they had been harassed at school. So
2	that's not an event. Unless there was an event. So we assess
3	each of those for what happened and how it happened.
4	But if somebody says, "Somebody called me a name over
5	the entire year that I was in third grade," we would talk about
6	it as a chronic stressor.
7	If somebody said, "I walked down the street and
8	somebody jumped and attacked me and beat me up," that would be
9	an event, and, in this case, a hate crime, probably, but an
10	event related to prejudice.
11	So those are the life events. There
12	Q. Can I ask a follow-up question?
13	A. Sorry.
14	${f Q}$ . Do prejudice events differ in magnitude based on the
15	research?
16	A. So when we say "magnitude," we mean how big the event was.
17	And, usually, what this means is like how much going back to
18	the definition in a more technical way, how much change did
19	such an event require, how much adaptation?
20	So that's why I say that losing a job is a very big
21	event. Maybe certainly, the minor events I described,
22	waiting in a line is a very tiny magnitude.
23	But there's another aspect to prejudice event which
24	has been identified, for example, with hate crimes, which is
25	that they have a greater impact psychologically on the person,

1 on the victim of hate crime.

And that greater impact has to be -- has -- sorry, has to do not so much with the characteristics of the event, but with the social meaning of the event.

5 So -- and I don't want to -- to talk in this room 6 about anything legal, but, in fact, hate crimes was challenged 7 as a -- whether it could be constitutional. And one of the 8 reasons why, in my understanding, the Supreme Court allowed it 9 to be a separate crime is, in fact, because of that added 10 social meaning, and the added pain.

11 So that even though it's the same exact crime or the 12 same exact event, when it is attached to prejudice and 13 discrimination and stigma, it has a meaning for the victim that 14 makes it worse.

And that's how we -- we described it here, as well. Q. What has the research shown about who commonly perpetrates these prejudice events in the lives of gay men and lesbians? A. So when I talk about -- well, "perpetrates" really -- as I described before, I talk about the different levels of, you can say, causes of those events.

21 So at the larger level is, really, the way I 22 described earlier structural stigma. We sometimes talk about 23 structural prejudice in a similar way. Those are the things 24 that would determine -- that would be the context for, for 25 example, events.

1	So an event usually is within a larger context. So
2	we look at both of those. So a person so those are the
3	structural. And then there are things that we call
4	interpersonal types of events.
5	So the perpetrators might be, on one hand, the state,
6	for example, by creating certain structures. But, of course,
7	it could it is also individuals who do something. So in the
8	example of the hate crime is the perpetrator.
9	In the case of gay men and lesbians, or sexual
10	minorities, this is quite distinct from other groups that when
11	we think about prejudice. Unfortunately, often the
12	perpetrators could be family members, even parents and
13	siblings.
14	And some of the stories that we've collected we
15	collect them as short narratives has been quite dramatic in
16	terms of what some of those respondents reported in terms of
17	what had happened to them in the past.
18	This is, by the way, one of the publications here.
19	And what was I don't know if I would say surprising, but
20	what was distinctive about it was how many of them reported
21	family members perpetrating such crimes, really. It would be
22	things like rape or homelessness, that some of them described.
23	So there is a whole range of potential perpetrators
24	that could be implicated here, in what I'm discussing.
25	Q. Now, from some of those very serious examples, you also

-	
1	mentioned earlier, I think, a concept of everyday hassles?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Are those also prejudice events?
4	A. So in the prejudice literature, we call these daily
5	hassles well, some people have called them everyday
6	discrimination events. That's one word. There are other terms
7	that have been used to describe those.
8	And in the same way that a hate crime is more
9	significant because of its social meaning that is attached to
10	it, a minor event could have a greater meaning than similar
11	events that sorry, could have a greater impact than a
12	similar event that had no such meaning.
13	So one could be just an annoyance, and the other one
14	could be or is representing social disapproval. And,
15	obviously, they would be felt by the person as to be very
16	different.
17	Q. Give us, if you would, a couple of examples of daily
18	hassles the research has looked at in the context of prejudice
19	events.
20	A. Well, there are many. But, interestingly, I've read the
21	plaintiffs' testimony here, I believe on Monday it was. I
22	mean, I read it on Tuesday, but the testimony was given on
23	Monday.
24	And I was really struck because one of the things
25	that we hear over and over is forms, filling out forms. And it

1 is kind of bewildering because, on one hand, you might say, 2 "What's the big deal about filling out a form?" But gay people 3 do respond to that.

And the only way that I can explain it is that it is really not anything about the form. It is that the form evokes something much larger for the person. It evokes a social disapproval, a rejection. And, often, it evokes memories of such events, including large events that have happened maybe in the past.

10 So it is this minor annoyance, most of the time, for 11 most people, to fill out a form. And they probably would never 12 remember that, if they were asked to talk about what has 13 happened to them. They would mention major things.

But for gay people, I've seen this in -- brought up many times. There are other type of things that gay people report that, again, might be minor under some circumstances, such as maybe treated in a very unfriendly way by one's partners' parents.

And, certainly, it would not be a nice thing for anybody, but for a gay person that may have -- or that does have a very great social meaning of, again, echoing the rejection and disrespect and the -- they have felt in the past and they continue to feel in society.

24 So that is the relationship between the social 25 meaning and those minor events.

1	Q. There was
2	THE COURT: Dr. Meyer, you mentioned "forms." What
3	kind of forms are you talking about?
4	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I mentioned the testimony
5	that was given here, that they talked about forms.
6	What I mean by forms are just any kind of
7	administrative forms that one might have to fill, and in
8	particular where you have to fill your marital status, for
9	example.
10	So a gay person, let's say you know, really, what
11	they experience is: There is no place for me to put anything
12	there.
13	So either they would say, "Well, I'm just going to
14	say single, even though I've been in a relationship for the
15	past 40 years, because I just don't want to get into that. In
16	this case, it really doesn't matter. Maybe I'm in a motor
17	vehicle office. And I don't want to get into this whole
18	explanation with a clerk about what does it mean. "
19	Or there might be I think one of the plaintiffs
20	mentioned crossing out things and writing in things. But my
21	point is, obviously, this is not very demanding to cross out a
22	form and say something else. And I would say if it was within
23	any other context, nobody would remember that maybe the form
24	was not very well-written and you had to correct something
25	there. That would not be a memorable event.

1	The only reason that it's memorable is because, as I
2	said, of what it means. And what it means is social rejection.
3	It echoes the kinds of rejections that I've been describing
4	earlier.
5	${f Q}$ . And, Dr. Meyer, to follow up on this, to be sure I
6	understand, you might have applications like at a bank, to open
7	an account, or a lease to get an apartment, or a job
8	application. Is that the kind of form you're talking about,
9	where there are boxes to describe your status, and not a box
10	that corresponds to your status if you are not married?
11	A. Absolutely.
12	Q. There was also some testimony on Monday, I believe, about
13	hassles relating to travel, say, trying to check into a hotel
14	room and get the type of room you reserved. Would that be
15	A. This is very similar, again, where to me it's not so much
16	what happened, but what does it mean to you, to you as a gay
17	person?
18	So, again, a clerk in a hotel asking you about a
19	king-size bed for any couple would really mean nothing. But
20	for a gay person, it's an area of great sensitivity because it
21	really talks to their rejection and to their rejection of their
22	family members, the people that they feel close to.
23	${\tt Q}$ . Does the fact that you might draw in a box or ultimately
24	get the right size bed make the problem go away for that
25	individual?

1	<b>A.</b> No, not at all. Because, again, it is not about anything
2	tangible here. It's not there's nothing really horrible
3	about filling out a form. Well some forms.
4	(Laughter)
5	Q. There can be.
6	A. But at least small forms.
7	But, again, it is not about that effort of the
8	filling out a form or explaining even to a clerk something
9	about to clarify maybe some mistake. That is not what it's
10	about. It's about, I'm gay and I'm not accepted here.
11	Q. You also talked, and I think, gave some specific examples
12	about nonevents. These, although they are called nonevents,
13	are also in the research treated as prejudice events?
14	<b>A.</b> Right. They are not all treated as a prejudice event, but
15	when they are related to prejudice then I would call them
16	prejudice nonevents.
17	But they are so, for example, somebody may not get
18	a job promotion just because of all kinds of circumstances,
19	that maybe everybody expected them to get. So that may not be
20	due to prejudice. But it also could be due to prejudice.
21	Certainly, somebody might not marry for all kinds of
22	reasons, not because of anybody blocking their access to the
23	institution of marriage but for whatever other circumstances in
24	their lives.
25	But it still would be a nonevent that could be

845

significant because other people will begin to ask: Well, are 1 you married? Why aren't you married? Especially if they are 2 3 of certain ethnic backgrounds where people ask questions like 4 that. So there's expectation that you will get married, 5 that you will have children. And so when I talk about those as 6 7 prejudice, it is when those things don't happen because of prejudice. 8 9 And, again, parallel to everything else I was saying, in this case, it would have that double meaning, both the 10 11 impact of the actual event, the content of the actual event or, in this case, nonevent, such as not getting married. 12 13 But for gay men and lesbians, not getting married would also have that social meaning that I just described 14 15 regarding daily hassles type of things, where not getting married is not just a simple -- it's not really simple either 16 17 way. But it's not a fact of their life. It's also a representation of their position in 18 society, of the way society views them, of the kind of respect 19 20 or, in this case, disrespect that they experience, of the stigma that I described earlier. 21 Now, Dr. Meyer, what, if anything, is the relationship 22 Q. between Proposition 8 and the denial of the right to marry on 23 24 the one hand and prejudice events, as you described them? 25 Α. Well, I think it is quite obvious that Proposition 8, by

1definition, blocks the marriage institution for gay men and2lesbians. This is basically what it says.3So, in that sense, it certainly will be responsible4for gay men and lesbian not marrying, and having to explain why5I have not married.6And by explaining why I have not married, you also7have to explain, I'm really not seen as equal. I'm my8status is is not respected by my state or by my country, by9my fellow citizens.10So it's in the very basic definition of structural11stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals12in life.13Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the14plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show15you a couple examples.16MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy?17And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what18you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from19plaintiff Paul Katami.20"QUESTION: Have you experienced21discrimination as a result of being gay.22"ANSWER: One example that I remember very23clearly is the first time in college, with24some gay friends, going to my first gay25establishment, like a bar or a restaurant,	-	
<ul> <li>So, in that sense, it certainly will be responsible</li> <li>for gay men and lesbian not marrying, and having to explain why</li> <li>I have not married.</li> <li>And by explaining why I have not married, you also</li> <li>have to explain, I'm really not seen as equal. I'm my</li> <li>status is is not respected by my state or by my country, by</li> <li>my fellow citizens.</li> <li>So it's in the very basic definition of structural</li> <li>stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals</li> <li>in life.</li> <li>Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the</li> <li>plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show</li> <li>you a couple examples.</li> <li>MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy?</li> <li>And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what</li> <li>you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from</li> <li>plaintiff Paul Katami.</li> <li>"QUESTION: Have you experienced</li> <li>discrimination as a result of being gay.</li> <li>"ANSWER: One example that I remember very</li> <li>clearly is the first time in college, with</li> <li>some gay friends, going to my first gay</li> </ul>	1	definition, blocks the marriage institution for gay men and
for gay men and lesbian not marrying, and having to explain why I have not married. And by explaining why I have not married, you also have to explain, I'm really not seen as equal. I'm my status is is not respected by my state or by my country, by my fellow citizens. So it's in the very basic definition of structural stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals in life. Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show you a couple examples. MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy? And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from plaintiff Paul Katami. QUESTION: Have you experienced discrimination as a result of being gay. "ANSWER: One example that I remember very clearly is the first time in college, with some gay friends, going to my first gay	2	lesbians. This is basically what it says.
<ul> <li>J have not married.</li> <li>And by explaining why I have not married, you also</li> <li>have to explain, I'm really not seen as equal. I'm my</li> <li>status is is not respected by my state or by my country, by</li> <li>my fellow citizens.</li> <li>So it's in the very basic definition of structural</li> <li>stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals</li> <li>in life.</li> <li>Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the</li> <li>plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show</li> <li>you a couple examples.</li> <li>MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy?</li> <li>And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what</li> <li>you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from</li> <li>plaintiff Paul Katami.</li> <li>"QUESTION: Have you experienced</li> <li>discrimination as a result of being gay.</li> <li>"ANSWER: One example that I remember very</li> <li>clearly is the first time in college, with</li> <li>some gay friends, going to my first gay</li> </ul>	3	So, in that sense, it certainly will be responsible
<ul> <li>And by explaining why I have not married, you also</li> <li>have to explain, I'm really not seen as equal. I'm my</li> <li>status is is not respected by my state or by my country, by</li> <li>my fellow citizens.</li> <li>So it's in the very basic definition of structural</li> <li>stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals</li> <li>in life.</li> <li>Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the</li> <li>plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show</li> <li>you a couple examples.</li> <li>MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy?</li> <li>And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what</li> <li>you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from</li> <li>plaintiff Paul Katami.</li> <li>"QUESTION: Have you experienced</li> <li>discrimination as a result of being gay.</li> <li>"ANSWER: One example that I remember very</li> <li>clearly is the first time in college, with</li> <li>some gay friends, going to my first gay</li> </ul>	4	for gay men and lesbian not marrying, and having to explain why
7 have to explain, I'm really not seen as equal. I'm my 8 status is is not respected by my state or by my country, by 9 my fellow citizens. 10 So it's in the very basic definition of structural 11 stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals 12 in life. 13 Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the 14 plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show 15 you a couple examples. 16 MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy? 17 And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what 18 you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from 19 plaintiff Paul Katami. 20 "QUESTION: Have you experienced 21 discrimination as a result of being gay. 22 "ANSWER: One example that I remember very 23 clearly is the first time in college, with 24 some gay friends, going to my first gay	5	I have not married.
8 status is is not respected by my state or by my country, by 9 my fellow citizens. 10 So it's in the very basic definition of structural 11 stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals 12 in life. 13 Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the 14 plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show 15 you a couple examples. 16 MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy? 17 And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what 18 you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from 19 plaintiff Paul Katami. 20 "QUESTION: Have you experienced 21 discrimination as a result of being gay. 22 "ANSWER: One example that I remember very 23 clearly is the first time in college, with 24 some gay friends, going to my first gay	6	And by explaining why I have not married, you also
my fellow citizens.          9       my fellow citizens.         10       So it's in the very basic definition of structural         11       stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals         12       in life.         13       Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the         14       plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show         15       you a couple examples.         16       MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy?         17       And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what         18       you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from         19       plaintiff Paul Katami.         20       "QUESTION: Have you experienced         21       discrimination as a result of being gay.         22       "ANSWER: One example that I remember very         23       clearly is the first time in college, with         24       some gay friends, going to my first gay	7	have to explain, I'm really not seen as equal. I'm my
10So it's in the very basic definition of structural11stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals12in life.13Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the14plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show15you a couple examples.16MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy?17And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what18you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from19plaintiff Paul Katami.20"QUESTION: Have you experienced21discrimination as a result of being gay.22"ANSWER: One example that I remember very23clearly is the first time in college, with24some gay friends, going to my first gay	8	status is is not respected by my state or by my country, by
11 stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals 12 in life. 13 Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the 14 plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show 15 you a couple examples. 16 MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy? 17 And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what 18 you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from 19 plaintiff Paul Katami. 20 "QUESTION: Have you experienced 21 discrimination as a result of being gay. 22 "ANSWER: One example that I remember very 23 clearly is the first time in college, with 24 some gay friends, going to my first gay	9	my fellow citizens.
12 in life. 13 Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the 14 plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show 15 you a couple examples. 16 MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy? 17 And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what 18 you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from 19 plaintiff Paul Katami. 20 "QUESTION: Have you experienced 21 discrimination as a result of being gay. 22 clearly is the first time in college, with 24 some gay friends, going to my first gay	10	So it's in the very basic definition of structural
Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show you a couple examples. MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy? And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from plaintiff Paul Katami. Puestion: Have you experienced discrimination as a result of being gay. Puestion: One example that I remember very clearly is the first time in college, with some gay friends, going to my first gay	11	stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals
<pre>14 14 plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show 15 you a couple examples. 16 MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy? 17 And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what 18 you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from 19 plaintiff Paul Katami. 20</pre>	12	in life.
15 you a couple examples. 16 MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy? 17 And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what 18 you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from 19 plaintiff Paul Katami. 20 "QUESTION: Have you experienced 21 discrimination as a result of being gay. 22 "ANSWER: One example that I remember very 23 clearly is the first time in college, with 24 some gay friends, going to my first gay	13	Q. Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the
<ul> <li>MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy?</li> <li>And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what</li> <li>you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from</li> <li>plaintiff Paul Katami.</li> <li>"QUESTION: Have you experienced</li> <li>discrimination as a result of being gay.</li> <li>"ANSWER: One example that I remember very</li> <li>clearly is the first time in college, with</li> <li>some gay friends, going to my first gay</li> </ul>	14	plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show
17And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what18you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from19plaintiff Paul Katami.20"QUESTION: Have you experienced21discrimination as a result of being gay.22"ANSWER: One example that I remember very23clearly is the first time in college, with24some gay friends, going to my first gay	15	you a couple examples.
<pre>18 you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from 19 plaintiff Paul Katami. 20 "QUESTION: Have you experienced 21 discrimination as a result of being gay. 22 "ANSWER: One example that I remember very 23 clearly is the first time in college, with 24 some gay friends, going to my first gay</pre>	16	MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy?
<pre>19 19 plaintiff Paul Katami. 20     "QUESTION: Have you experienced 21    discrimination as a result of being gay. 22     "ANSWER: One example that I remember very 23    clearly is the first time in college, with 24    some gay friends, going to my first gay</pre>	17	And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what
<ul> <li>"QUESTION: Have you experienced</li> <li>discrimination as a result of being gay.</li> <li>"ANSWER: One example that I remember very</li> <li>clearly is the first time in college, with</li> <li>some gay friends, going to my first gay</li> </ul>	18	you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from
21 discrimination as a result of being gay. 22 "ANSWER: One example that I remember very 23 clearly is the first time in college, with 24 some gay friends, going to my first gay	19	plaintiff Paul Katami.
22 "ANSWER: One example that I remember very 23 clearly is the first time in college, with 24 some gay friends, going to my first gay	20	"QUESTION: Have you experienced
23 clearly is the first time in college, with 24 some gay friends, going to my first gay	21	discrimination as a result of being gay.
24 some gay friends, going to my first gay	22	"ANSWER: One example that I remember very
	23	clearly is the first time in college, with
25 establishment, like a bar or a restaurant,	24	some gay friends, going to my first gay
	25	establishment, like a bar or a restaurant,

1	
1	socially.
2	"And we were in an outdoor patio. And rocks
3	and eggs came flying over the fence of the
4	patio. We were struck by these rocks and
5	eggs. And there were slurs. And, again, we
6	couldn't see who the people were, but we were
7	definitely hit. And it was a very sobering
8	moment because I just accepted that as, well,
9	that's part of our struggle. That's part of
10	what we have to deal with."
11	BY MR. DUSSEAULT:
12	Q. In the context of prejudice events, do you have a reaction
13	to this example?
14	A. Yes. And, as I said before, regarding form, this just
15	seems like a very familiar type of report that a gay person
16	might report.
17	And I don't I don't mean to tell the plaintiff
18	that their experiences are not unique experiences. Certainly,
19	within their life they are unique. But they are really not
20	unique.
21	(Laughter)
22	Many people sorry. Many people experience those
23	kind of things.
24	And I think when I read that what struck me most,
25	almost, may be not what you would notice, but it is that point

1 about it was a very sobering moment. Because I think that refers to the registration about this is a meaningful point. 2 3 This is about who I am. This is something I have to get used 4 to. 5 When Mr. Katami talks about, well, that's part of our 6 struggle. It is really a moment where he describes recognizing 7 something that has to do with who he is as a gay person. But other elements of this would be that, clearly, I 8 9 would say, this was related to hate. In fact, when we assess 10 the -- by the way, when we collect those narratives in my 11 research, we go through a very, very tedious process of analyzing each of those narratives so that we quantify some 12 13 qualities around them. And one of the things we look at related to hate 14 15 crime. And we actually try to use some of the guidelines that police use in determining hate crimes. 16 17 So, in this case, he mentioned being next to a gay establishment, which would be one element that would help in 18 determining a hate crime. 19 20 But there's something that I don't know here, for example, whether someone was actually hurt, which would go to 21 the issue of the magnitude. 22 But regardless of that, I think what is clear here, 23 24 that the meaning of this -- and I would dare say not having 25 talked to Mr. Katami and not really knowing anything behind

1	that that perhaps one of the main reasons that it's so
2	memorable was because of that sobering moment, because of that
3	recognition: I am not the same as other people in society.
4	Somebody can come and just throw stones, or whatever it was,
5	and eggs on me, because they don't like that I am gay.
6	${f Q}$ . When you were talking earlier about whether or not this
7	was unique, do you mean that this sort of example is, in your
8	research, often relayed by gay men and lesbians?
9	A. Exactly.
10	Q. Let's put up a demonstrative 5, another example. And this
11	is testimony from another of our plaintiffs, Sandra Stier.
12	(Document displayed)
13	"QUESTION: Are there occasions where you
14	have to fill out forms that ask whether you
15	are married or name of spouse or things like
16	that?
17	"ANSWER: Doctor's offices. Are you single
18	or are you married or are, you know, divorced
19	even? But, you know, so I have to find
20	myself, you know, scratching something out,
21	putting a line through it and saying
22	'domestic partner' and making sure I explain
23	to folks what that is, to make sure that our
24	transaction can go smoothly."
25	We talked a good bit about forms already, but what's

1	your reaction?
2	A. Again, that's an example of this form.
3	But, you know, you have to think or I guess you
4	have to ask yourself, why would a person remember that type of
5	minor incident? And, as I mentioned before, I think the
6	meaning of this incident is more important than, in this case,
7	what has actually happened.
8	So, like I said, if there was some error on this
9	form, where it says "Mr." or "Mrs." and somehow the words were
10	not clear and she had to fix that, I don't think she would have
11	reported that as a major something that she remembers.
12	But I think it is, again, the message that the forms,
13	in a sense, echoes about rejection and about I'm not equal to
14	other people, to most people who fill this form.
15	Q. So let's move to the second process you talked about,
16	expectations of rejection and discrimination. What do you mean
17	by that?
18	A. Expectations of rejection and discrimination actually mean
19	exactly what it says. Expecting rejection and discrimination.
20	But this is a very well, to me, interesting
21	process that occurs in populations that are that are used to
22	prejudice. By "used" I mean that they know about the prejudice
23	that exists in society.
24	And what happens is that a person who knows that they
25	might be rejected or discriminated against needs to maintain a

1 certain vigilance about the	ir interactions in society that
2 would, first of all, guarant	tee their safety.
3 So an example that	t I often use when I talk about this
4 is, a gay couple walking dow	wn the street. In my experience,
5 very often, regardless of he	ow friendly their street is, they
6 would have to monitor the k	ind of affection that they display
7 with each other because perl	haps somebody will come and throw
8 stones and eggs, and so for	th, because they bring up something
9 the person doesn't like. An	nd, again, it's not something about
10 them as individuals, but abo	out the fact that they are
11 representing sorry, prese	enting as gay.
12 So this would be a	one type of, as I call it,
13 vigilance, that you have to	be on edge; you have to watch; you
14 have to have a third eye, lo	ooking, monitoring your environment.
15 And that is a very	y stressful thing, if you think
16 about it, that many people of	don't have to think about any of
17 that when they walk down the	e street with their partners.
18 Q. Now, does the impact of	f expectation of rejection,
19 discrimination go away if the	he rejection or discrimination
20 doesn't happen?	
21 <b>A.</b> Well, that's another in	nteresting thing about expectation
22 of rejection and discriminat	tion, is that nothing really has to
23 happen. And not only that,	the persons involved in the in
24 that environment may themse	lves not at all hold any negative
25 attitudes.	

1	So in the sense it is the expectation is not that
2	this particular person may harm me. It is that what I
3	represent may trigger in somebody. And it could be this
4	person, but maybe it's not. So it doesn't have to be about
5	anything specific about the persons involved in this
6	interaction.
7	I often give the example of being in a job interview
8	and having to kind of monitor maybe how your what you're
9	saying. And it doesn't mean it doesn't matter what the
10	people interviewing you actually think. It is that you're
11	expecting that, that matters. That is what is stressful here.
12	In addition to issues of safety, there are, as I just
13	alluded to, issues around social intercourse, where since it
14	can just be very embarrassing or awkward.
15	And we know that from stress literature, generally,
16	many times people either choose to avoid those situations,
17	swallow kind of minor incidents of prejudice or slurs, or
18	something, and just kind of move on because they don't want to
19	get into that, so to speak.
20	But the anticipation itself is what I'm talking about
21	as stressful. You know, whether or not something happens, that
22	has to do with a life event. But here we are just talking
23	about that anticipation.
24	Q. So what if somebody, concerned about having to be vigilant
25	on the street, just stays inside and doesn't go out, does that

,	
1	solve the problem for them?
2	A. Well, that would be quite a severe punishment for that
3	person.
4	(Laughter)
5	Q. Is there a relationship, as you see it, Dr. Meyer, between
6	Proposition 8's denial of the opportunity to marry and this
7	expectation of rejection and discrimination?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What is that connection?
10	A. Well, as I described earlier, in my mind, the
11	Proposition 8, in its social meaning, sends a message that gay
12	relationships are not to be respected; that they are of
13	secondary value, if of any value at all; that they are
14	certainly not equal to those of heterosexuals.
15	And, to me, that's in addition to achieving the
16	literal aims of not allowing gay people to marry, it also sends
17	a strong message about the values of the state; in this case,
18	the Constitution itself. And it sends a message that would, in
19	my mind, encourage or at least is consistent with holding
20	prejudicial attitudes.
21	So that doesn't add up to a very welcoming
22	environment.
23	Q. Let's talk about the third process you identify, which I
24	think you described as concealing the stigmatizing identity.
25	A. Yes.

1 **Q.** Can you elaborate on that.

A. Yes. If I may just mention one more concept that is
related to the stress, as we call it, the stress process,
because it's relevant here.

And that is the concept of coping. Coping is part of the stress process. And when we assess how does a stress affect the outcome, as I mentioned earlier, of health outcome, we really look at the balance between the stress impact and what we call coping.

There's a whole bunch of stuff that goes into coping. People talk about social support. But it is anything that we can say is positive impact on the health, that counters the negative impact of the stressor.

The reason I bring it up here, because interesting thing -- so concealing means I'm not going to reveal to other people that I am gay or lesbian. I'm going to hide that fact.

But the interesting relationship with coping is that people conceal, usually, as a coping effort. They conceal so that they avoid some of the things that I described earlier, so that they are not fired from their job.

If you're in the United States military, by law you have to conceal, in that you are not allowed to talk about your homosexuality.

24 So they conceal as an effort to -- in this case, if 25 you are gay and you are in the military, you would conceal so

1	that you don't get fired.
2	But there are many other types of instances where
3	people might find the need to conceal their sexual orientation.
4	They might conceal it because they feel that they will be
5	rejected if other people knew that they were gay.
б	They may conceal it because of their personal safety,
7	in the similar way that I described hate crimes, that they
8	don't want people to recognize them as gay.
9	They might not want to go to a place that is
10	recognized as gay, for fear that somebody might either hurt
11	them, physically hurt them or in other ways hurt them.
12	So there are reasons that people choose to conceal
13	what they, themselves, know about themselves, that they are gay
14	or lesbian.
15	And what the stress process here talks so this
16	is but what the stress process is, is that there are many
17	ways that this kind of concealment are stressful. And I've
18	written about, at least, maybe, three ways.
19	And, again, all of this comes from research and
20	literature that is not specific to this topic or to gay
21	population. This is basing it on general literature in various
22	fields. In this case, mostly psychology.
23	So, if you want, I can tell you about the particular
24	ways that concealing can be stressful.
25	Q. If you could briefly just identify what those ways are, it

would be helpful. 1 So one way is that concealing requires, actually, a very 2 Α. 3 strong cognitive effort. By "cognitive" I mean the way we 4 think or the way your mind works. 5 So there's a stress that is involved with concealing, 6 because you have to really work hard on this. It's not 7 something that is -- you know, if you're lying, it's not that easy, always, to keep a lie and to keep it, certainly, for a 8 9 long period of time. So there is research that has been done about that, 10 11 that shows that this is, in fact, a very difficult type of 12 thing. 13 I know, for example -- well, I brought up the example of the military. If you are in the military and you live your 14 15 life there, and you have to talk to your comrades -- and people talk about, maybe, their girlfriend and boyfriend or whatever. 16 And gay people have been known to maybe change a pronoun, kind 17 of as a way of monitoring that, and say, "Yeah, my girlfriend," 18 19 but you really mean your boyfriend. But, you know, this takes a lot of coordination. And, you know, you have to remember 20 21 what you said the week before. It's all a lie. 22 So people have actually studied this with -- in other 23 context, as I said. There's a couple of researchers that refer 24 to that. Their respondents that they were studying said, "This

is a private hell, " just the effort of concealing.

25

857

The work that's involved? 1 Q. The cognitive effort. And they describe in great detail 2 Α. 3 the cognitive work that goes into concealing. In this case, it 4 was in the work environment. 5 ο. Can I ask a follow-up. In addition to that, does the 6 person who conceals also lose benefits that he or she might 7 receive if he or she were able to express their true self? Right. So that's another way that concealment is damaging 8 Α. 9 and stressful. So, actually, there's several benefits that are associated with that. 10 The first one is that concealing prevents you from 11 what we call or what people call in psychology "expressed 12 13 emotion." Expressed emotion is very simply that you're 14 expressing your emotion. But it doesn't have to be any deep 15 emotion, just expressing something about yourself. And that 16 has been shown to be a very positive, psychologically, thing to 17 18 do. In fact, people have used it as a form of therapy, to 19 improve people's mental health. They have used it, for 20 21 example, in cancer patients, and shown that just writing 22 something, about expressing something not even very intimate, is very helpful psychologically. 23 24 So, certainly, hiding something and hiding something 25 that is perceived as being such a core thing about who you are,

,	
1	this is how people talk about: This is who I am.
2	That doesn't mean that gay people are just that. But
3	it is a central identity that is important. And if you want to
4	express who you are, certainly, you wouldn't want to hide that
5	part.
б	There's related to that, also, concept of
7	authenticity, of living an authentic life. And, certainly,
8	people feel better, in a kind of existential way, by just
9	presenting themselves as they are to the world and in
10	interactions with the world.
11	Q. Does concealment impact a gay man or lesbian's ability to
12	obtain social support?
13	A. Exactly. As I mentioned earlier, one of the important
14	mechanisms around stress and illness is the ability of people
15	to cope with stress.
16	And one of the beneficial I'm sorry, one of the
17	beneficial ways people cope with stress is through social
18	support. For example, through having a network of friends that
19	you can talk about or an intimate friend that you can talk
20	about things.
21	There are also things that happen through for gay
22	people, specifically, what we call affiliation with the gay
23	community. There are things that maybe you feel maybe other
24	people don't understand, but if you go to a certain community
25	center, or to a center sorry, to an event that maybe is like

1	
1	a gay pride, that you get certain benefits from being in that
2	environment that maybe you don't get in other places.
3	And, certainly, if you are concealing your gay
4	identity, you are not going to walk into a gay community center
5	or gay pride event.
б	And, finally, related to that, and especially of
7	concern to me being in public health, in terms of health
8	services, there are many health services that are provided that
9	would provide, I would say, more targeted services to gay and
10	lesbian populations that are more both informed from a medical
11	perspective, for example, about the needs of gay men and
12	lesbians, and also that maybe provide a more welcoming
13	environment.
14	And that, too, will be something that a person who
15	conceals his or her gay identity would not be able to benefit
16	from.
17	So both are affected by the negatives but also from
18	the prevention of the positive type of things that they could
19	have had.
20	Q. Now, one point I want to clarify here. Can concealment be
21	absolute in nature? Meaning the person doesn't tell anyone,
22	ever, what their identity is?
23	A. I guess it could be. I don't think that certainly, it
24	doesn't have to be that. And I would think that many people,
25	even if they, for example, conceal at work, they might have

1	T
1	some friends that they may have confided with.
2	There's also concealment that will carry more kind of
3	momentary nature, that is not as long-lasting as I was
4	describing. And that, too, can have certainly, is not a
5	pleasant experience. You know, again, because of the notion
6	that you're really prevented from expressing something about
7	yourself that you don't feel that you should.
8	But the reason that you're concealing it is because,
9	again, of the significance of rejection of the region of
10	disrespect that you would feel if you were to reveal this.
11	So it is not just a simple issue.
12	${\tt Q}$ . Let me try and clarify the question. I believe there was
13	some testimony from one of the plaintiffs on Monday about
14	knowing that he was gay at a very, very young age, but not
15	coming out, if you will, to anyone until about 25.
16	Is that a form of concealment?
17	<b>A.</b> Sounds like it. And to the extent that he knew that he
18	was gay, or he identified as gay at some earlier point, and
19	recognized or feared, at least, that if he were to reveal this
20	or express this about himself would would lead to, again,
21	rejection, discrimination, to losing maybe a relationship.
22	Again, this is, I presume, what the person expected, and that
23	was the motivation to maybe not to reveal his sexual
24	orientation.
25	Q. Okay. But, alternatively, if somebody, let's say, were

861

open with family or friends, but in particular circumstances
 chooses to conceal or lie about his or her orientation, just to
 avoid having to deal with it, is that also --

A. That's another example. As I said, you know, because of
Don't Ask, Don't Tell, obviously, if you're there you will have
to conceal. But only in that environment.

7 And you might be able to, on home leave, go back and be your partner or with some friends. Certainly, you're not 8 9 going to want to march in a gay pride parade. So there will be, still, some monitoring, but it doesn't have to be absolute. 10 Dr. Meyer, do you see a connection between the concealment 11 Q. process and Proposition 8 in its denial of marriage rights? 12 13 Well, again, to the extent that we see Proposition 8 as Α. part of the stigma, as something that propagates the stigma, it 14 15 certainly doesn't send a message that: It's okay. You can be who you want to be. You know, we respect that. We welcome you 16 17 as part of the community.

18 It sends the opposite message, in my mind, and,
19 therefore, would -- I would think, add to that pressure, to
20 that social environment that encourages people, some people, to
21 conceal.

And, also, when I talk about those effects of Proposition 8, by the way, they don't only affect gay people. They also send the same message to other people who are not themselves gay.

1	So, in that sense, it's not just damaging to gay
2	people because they feel bad about their rejection. It also
3	sends a message that it is okay to reject. Not only that it is
4	okay, that this is very highly valued by our Constitution to
5	reject gay people, to designate them a different class of
6	people in terms of their intimate relationships.
7	Q. I'd like to show you another example of testimony from our
8	plaintiffs. This coming from Kristin Perry testimony that was
9	given on Monday. Again, I'll read it.
10	"QUESTION: Do you, as you go through life
11	every day, feel that the other effects of
12	discrimination on the basis of your sexual
13	orientation?
14	"ANSWER: Every day.
15	"QUESTION: Tell us about that.
16	"ANSWER: I have to decide every day if I
17	want to come out everywhere I go and take the
18	chance that somebody will have a hostile
19	reaction to my sexuality, or just go there
20	and buy the microwave we went there to buy,
21	without having to go through that again. And
22	the decision every day to come out or not
23	come out at work, at home, at PTA, at music,
24	at soccer, is exhausting. So much of the
25	time I just choose to do as much of that as I

1	can handle doing in any given day."
2	Do you have a reaction to that testimony?
3	<b>A.</b> Yeah. I think that, again, demonstrates several of the
4	things I have already mentioned, including the expectations of
5	rejection and the need to monitor and maybe sometimes the need
6	to decide: Is it worth it? Do I want to get into this whole
7	thing or just avoid it? But, also, the repetition of it, like
8	how it really is in so many contexts.
9	But I have to say, the word that most jumped at me in
10	this it might be not the word that jumped at other people
11	is the word "exhausting."
12	And the reason that it jumped at me is because
13	"exhausting" has a special meaning in stress research. In
14	fact, one of the earliest example of stress research was done
15	by a researcher by the name of Hans Selye, S-e-l-y-e.
16	And he described something that he called the general
17	adaptation syndrome. He studied animals. But his general
18	adaptation syndrome, basically, echoes what I was just
19	describing. There is a stressor, there is a coping. Which he
20	didn't call "coping," but it's some adjustment period.
21	But, in his words, the end of that was exhaustion.
22	So that the result of the stress process was exhaustion. And
23	he studied animals, and in many case death of those animals
24	that he studied.
25	So when I saw that, that's kind of what it brought to

ī	
1	my mind, is Selye's general adaptation syndrome.
2	Q. Let's turn, Dr. Meyer, to the fourth process you
3	described, which you described as internalized homophobia.
4	Tell me what you mean by that.
5	A. So, again, that's a word that has been discussed in
6	different forms, but it really relates to the same thing in the
7	different form, that it has been discussed in the literature.
8	As again, I mentioned, I used existing literature and
9	in terms homophobia has been something that has been discussed
10	a lot in clinical and psychological research, people who talked
11	about how to treat gay patients.
12	And one of the things they noted is that perhaps a
13	very central aspect of treating people who are troubled by
14	whatever symptom that brought them to therapy, is internalized
15	homophobia. Internalized homophobia refers to the person who
16	is gay or lesbian basically internalizing or taking in negative
17	attitudes, negative notions that are existing in society that
18	he or she has learned through their what we call
19	socialization process, through their growing up in our society.
20	And, of course, it is not only gay as I said
21	earlier, gay men and lesbians who learn those negative
22	attitudes. Those are prevalent attitudes.
23	So in learning those attitudes one might learn you
24	know, if they read this book by Rubin that I mentioned about
25	what gay relationships might be.

-			
1	And then at some age the person begins to think or		
2	realize or recognize or whatever way this happens, Well, I'm		
3	gay. So the natural thing is that everything that everything		
4	that I've learned about what it is to be gay, that must be what		
5	I am. And, therefore, if I was impacted by this quote from		
6	Rubin, for example, I would say that it will be quite		
7	devastating to a young or, really, not only young person.		
8	If they believe that and thought, Well, this is what is in my		
9	future.		
10	Q. Now, when you use the word "internalized homophobia" here,		
11	do you mean specifically that the person internalizes a fear of		
12	themselves		
13	A. No, at all. When I use the word "homophobia," I use it in		
14	the sense of negative attitudes. Maybe something that is akin		
15	to racism or sexism. Just and people use other words, but I		
16	use that word because well, I have my reasons. I don't know		
17	if you want to hear them.		
18	It's a word that is recognizable. It's a word that		
19	is in the dictionary, and I find it just as good a word as some		
20	other words that have been proposed.		
21	But it basically relates to the negative attitudes		
22	that are prevalent in society about gay men and lesbian or		
23	about homosexuality in general.		
24	Q. Now, within the context of internalized homophobia, are		
25	you aware of a concept called the possible self?		

1 Yes, I am. And it's not exactly within the -- it's, Α. again, another concept, a theory that I have used, borrowed, to 2 3 explain some of those processes as they pertain to internalized 4 homophobia. And what does it mean? 5 ο. 6 So possible self is a psychological concept that, again, I Α. 7 did not invent, unfortunately, because it is a very renowned work. 8 9 And it basically relates to something very interesting, which is that whoever we are -- and it really 10 11 relates to any age -- we don't only look at where we are and where we were in our past, but we also project into what we 12 13 might become. So this is what they call the possible self. 14 What 15 would possibly could I become or what are the possibilities for me? Maybe you can talk about it like that. 16 17 And the work on that showed that this is a very important construct, not only because it actually helps people 18 chart a life course of goals and so forth. It doesn't have to 19 be, like, super articulated, like a whole life plan. Just, you 20 21 know, like I mentioned earlier. I will be a mother, you know, things like that. 22 23 So the possible self is not only important because of 24 how it projects to the future and how it maybe helps a person 25 think about the future. It is also related to what people feel

867

1 right now. And having a -- obviously, a more optimistic notion 2 of their future will be associated with feeling better about 3 who you are.

And the opposite of that feeling, that you will be blocked from an achieving goals, obviously, will be associated with what we call a lower sense of well-being and maybe just negative feelings about who you are and about your position. **Q.** And does internalized homophobia lead to a limitation on one's concept of a possible self?

10 A. Right. I'm sorry.

So the relationship is that internalized homophobia 11 speaks very directly to that notion of possible self, because 12 13 internalized homophobia conveys that there are certain attitudes, certain stereotypes -- negative attitudes, that 14 15 is -- in the way that gay people have been portrayed, as I described earlier, related to social stigma, related to 16 cultural portrayal, such as the Rubin, but, certainly, it is 17 just one example. So if you internalize that, you think this 18 is who I'm going to be in the future. 19

I mean, of course, it is not as simplistic as that, but that part of that is about, How do I see my future? How do I see my prospects for the future? Who will I become?

And we have seen that actually in some research. Gay and lesbian youth had a harder time projecting to the future because they have learned those kind of negative attitudes.

,			
1	In fact, they have had a harder time so at a very		
2	young age children you know, the most accessible type of		
3	possible self, I think, is the kind of family relation that one		
4	describes. You know, a very young age people might sorry,		
5	little kids might play and say, "I am the wife" and "I am the		
6	mother," things like that.		
7	So for gay youth or gay people, really, at whatever		
8	age they begin to grapple with those issues, this is this is		
9	a difficulty. You know, they have to think, well, how would I		
10	be, because is it true that, you know, gay homosexuals are		
11	not happy together?		
12	You have to begin to, in a sense, undo some of those		
13	effects and in a sense relearn. And that was part of what the		
14	therapists were talking about, to relearn better attitudes		
15	about yourself and about what it is like to be gay.		
16	Q. Dr. Meyer, I would like to show you if we could have		
17	demonstrative eight another example of testimony from Monday		
18	from our plaintiffs. Again, from Kristin Perry.		
19	"QUESTION: What does the institution of		
20	marriage mean to you? Why do you want that?		
21	"ANSWER: Well, I have never really let		
22	myself want it until now. Growing up as a		
23	lesbian, you don't let yourself want it,		
24	because everyone tells you you are never		
25	going to have it."		

	П			
1	Do you have a reaction to that?			
2	A. I think that is a pretty perfect example of what I was			
3	just describing, where the person recognizing herself, in this			
4	case as a lesbian, applies those notions that some of those			
5	things that are relevant to other people, such as marriage			
6	here, do not apply to me. I can't hope for that. That is not			
7	part of my possible self.			
8	And, I guess, she is implying here, presumably			
9	because of her being a plaintiff, at some point she began to			
10	recognize that, yes, this is something that I could possibly			
11	get access to as well. So that's exactly the process I was			
12	describing earlier.			
13	Q. I would like to move to your third and final opinion that			
14	you referenced earlier having to do with health outcomes.			
15	You have described the stigma attached to being			
16	lesbian and gay and the role of minority stress in the lives of			
17	gay men and lesbians.			
18	Does that stigma and minority stress, according to			
19	the research, have an impact or effect on health outcomes for			
20	gay men and lesbians?			
21	A. Yes.			
22	Q. What is that impact?			
23	<b>A.</b> Well, as I mentioned earlier, this entire endeavor, this			
24	whole stress process that I described, its purpose is to study			
25	health determinants, as we call it, of health, the causes of			

health and disease. And there's been literally hundreds of
 studies that studied different aspects of this and how it is
 associated with health outcomes.

And we know that for gay men and lesbians and, also, bisexuals, there has been shown a relationship between experiencing those kinds of stressors and negative health outcome or adverse health outcomes.

In my area of study those were mental disorders, such 8 9 as -- there are three classes that we usually study in community studies. Those are anxiety disorders, mood 10 11 disorders, such as depression, substance use disorders. It is a -- classify disorders. There are also just what we would 12 13 call general distress or just feeling something, blue and sad, things like that. So there are a variety of outcomes that have 14 15 been studied.

16 On the other side of it, there's also been health 17 behaviors that are associated with stress, and this minority 18 stress; for example, excess smoking, certain eating behavior, 19 drinking.

Again, this is true for the general stress literature, as well as for gay and lesbian populations, with, I guess, the point being that gay and lesbian populations are exposed to more of the stress and -- to distress, which is unique and additive to kind of the general stress that, as I mentioned earlier, everybody experiences. And, therefore, that

excess risk, as we call in epidemiological language, that 1 excess risk is associated with excess disease or disorder or 2 3 whatever the outcome is. So as I said, it could be disorders. It could also 4 5 be generalized distress. б We have also studied something that's called 7 well-being, which is -- some people refer to as a positive mental health. 8 9 And there has also been studies that show excess in suicide attempts, in particular, in youth. 10 11 And, Dr. Meyer, does the research show that stigma and the Q. minority stress that you talked about contributes to a higher 12 13 incidence of these adverse mental health consequences or the attempted suicide you talk about in the gay and lesbian 14 15 population than in the population at large? Yes. So we look at the relationship between excess risk 16 Α. and -- to see whether it is related to excess in outcome, as we 17 said, of the disease that we are studying. And there has been 18 pretty consistent findings that show excess disorder or higher 19 20 level of disorder in gay and lesbian populations as compared to heterosexuals. 21 22 I want to be sure we are being clear on a couple of Q. 23 points. 24 Are you saying that being gay or lesbian is in and of itself in any way a mental illness? 25

<ul> <li>A. No, not at all. What I'm saying is that there's risks</li> <li>that is associated with those social arrangement, with the</li> <li>social situation that I described as stigma and prejudice.</li> <li>that excess risk is related to excess, as we call it, disord</li> <li>or to an outcome. It leads to a certain outcome.</li> <li>And because it is excess, it leads to more of the</li> </ul>	
3 social situation that I described as stigma and prejudice. 4 that excess risk is related to excess, as we call it, disord 5 or to an outcome. It leads to a certain outcome. 6 And because it is excess, it leads to more of the	
4 that excess risk is related to excess, as we call it, disord 5 or to an outcome. It leads to a certain outcome. 6 And because it is excess, it leads to more of the	
5 or to an outcome. It leads to a certain outcome. 6 And because it is excess, it leads to more of the	er
6 And because it is excess, it leads to more of the	
7 population that is exposed to the risk.	
8 But when we study disorders and risk and outcome	
9 relationships, it is never expected that everybody who is	
10 exposed to a risk is, therefore, diseased somehow.	
11 I mean, even in the area of stress, people who are	
12 exposed to the most severe type of stressors, like extreme	
13 stressors we call them, like war, doesn't mean that all of t	nem
14 are, therefore, going to be affected with a disease such as	
15 PTSD.	
16 What we look at is excess and relationship between	
17 populations. As I said before, I studied patterns of diseas	es,
18 so we want to see does this population have more of this ris	2
19 and more of this disease. I don't know if it's clear.	
20 <b>Q.</b> And a related point I just want to be clear on.	
21 Are you saying that all gay men and lesbians suffe	-
22 from some form of adverse mental health consequences or even	
23 that most do?	
24 <b>A.</b> No. Again, what we look to see is whether this exposur	2
25 is related to the outcome among some people.	

1I guess another analogy would be when we look at2smoking and lung cancer. So we want to see, do people who3smoke have more lung cancer than people who don't smoke? And4that would indicate one indication of the association between5those two, but it actually is not the fact that everybody who6smokes gets lung cancer.7Going back to the gay and lesbian population, most8gay men and lesbians are not disordered, but there is an excess9in that population as compared to heterosexuals.10Q. Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse11health consequences of the type that you are describing would12be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and13minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians?14A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was15saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they16have more of the disorder: and people who have less of this17so, for example, if we study within a group of we18So, for example, if we study within a group of we19all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some20people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of21great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the22outcome that we're studying, maybe depression.23And then we see that some other people, for many24reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular25circumstances in the	T			
3 smoke have more lung cancer than people who don't smoke? And that would indicate one indication of the association between those two, but it actually is not the fact that everybody who smokes gets lung cancer. Going back to the gay and lesbian population, most gay men and lesbians are not disordered, but there is an excess in that population as compared to heterosexuals. Q. Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse health consequences of the type that you are describing would be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians? A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this exposure, have less of the disorder. So, for example, if we study within a group of we all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the cutcome that we're studying, maybe depression. And then we see that some other people, for many reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	1	I guess another analogy would be when we look at		
4 that would indicate one indication of the association between 5 those two, but it actually is not the fact that everybody who 6 smokes gets lung cancer. 7 Going back to the gay and lesbian population, most 8 gay men and lesbians are not disordered, but there is an excess 9 in that population as compared to heterosexuals. 10 Q. Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse 11 health consequences of the type that you are describing would 12 be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and 13 minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians? 14 A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was 15 saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they 16 have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this 17 exposure, have less of the disorder. 18 So, for example, if we study within a group of we 19 all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some 20 people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of 21 great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the 22 outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. 23 And then we see that some other people, for many 24 reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	2	smoking and lung cancer. So we want to see, do people who		
those two, but it actually is not the fact that everybody who smokes gets lung cancer. Going back to the gay and lesbian population, most gay men and lesbians are not disordered, but there is an excess in that population as compared to heterosexuals. Q. Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse health consequences of the type that you are describing would be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians? A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this exposure, have less of the disorder. So, for example, if we study within a group of we all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. And then we see that some other people, for many reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	3	smoke have more lung cancer than people who don't smoke? And		
<ul> <li>smokes gets lung cancer.</li> <li>Going back to the gay and lesbian population, most</li> <li>gay men and lesbians are not disordered, but there is an excess</li> <li>in that population as compared to heterosexuals.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse</li> <li>health consequences of the type that you are describing would</li> <li>be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and</li> <li>minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was</li> <li>saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they</li> <li>have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this</li> <li>exposure, have less of the disorder.</li> <li>So, for example, if we study within a group of we</li> <li>all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some</li> <li>people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of</li> <li>great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the</li> <li>outcome that we're studying, maybe depression.</li> <li>And then we see that some other people, for many</li> <li>reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular</li> </ul>	4	that would indicate one indication of the association between		
<ul> <li>Going back to the gay and lesbian population, most</li> <li>gay men and lesbians are not disordered, but there is an excess</li> <li>in that population as compared to heterosexuals.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse</li> <li>health consequences of the type that you are describing would</li> <li>be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and</li> <li>minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was</li> <li>saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they</li> <li>have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this</li> <li>exposure, have less of the disorder.</li> <li>So, for example, if we study within a group of we</li> <li>all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some</li> <li>people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of</li> <li>great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the</li> <li>outcome that we're studying, maybe depression.</li> <li>And then we see that some other people, for many</li> <li>reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular</li> </ul>	5	those two, but it actually is not the fact that everybody who		
8 gay men and lesbians are not disordered, but there is an excess 9 in that population as compared to heterosexuals. 10 Q. Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse 11 health consequences of the type that you are describing would 12 be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and 13 minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians? 14 A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was 15 saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they 16 have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this 17 exposure, have less of the disorder. 18 So, for example, if we study within a group of we 19 all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some 20 people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of 21 great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the 22 outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. 23 And then we see that some other people, for many 24 reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	6	smokes gets lung cancer.		
<ul> <li>9 in that population as compared to heterosexuals.</li> <li>10 Q. Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse health consequences of the type that you are describing would be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians?</li> <li>14 A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this exposure, have less of the disorder. So, for example, if we study within a group of we all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the outcome that we're studying, maybe depression.</li> <li>23 And then we see that some other people, for many reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular</li> </ul>	7	Going back to the gay and lesbian population, most		
<ul> <li>9. Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse</li> <li>health consequences of the type that you are describing would</li> <li>be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and</li> <li>minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was</li> <li>saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they</li> <li>have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this</li> <li>exposure, have less of the disorder.</li> <li>So, for example, if we study within a group of we</li> <li>all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some</li> <li>people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of</li> <li>great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the</li> <li>outcome that we're studying, maybe depression.</li> <li>And then we see that some other people, for many</li> <li>reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular</li> </ul>	8	gay men and lesbians are not disordered, but there is an excess		
11 health consequences of the type that you are describing would 12 be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and 13 minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians? 14 A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was 15 saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they 16 have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this 17 exposure, have less of the disorder. 18 So, for example, if we study within a group of we 19 all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some 19 people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of 10 great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the 20 outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. 21 And then we see that some other people, for many 22 reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	9	in that population as compared to heterosexuals.		
12 be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and 13 minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians? 14 A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was 15 saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they 16 have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this 17 exposure, have less of the disorder. 18 So, for example, if we study within a group of we 19 all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some 19 people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of 10 great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the 12 outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. 13 And then we see that some other people, for many 14 reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	10	${f Q}$ . Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse		
<ul> <li>minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was</li> <li>saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they</li> <li>have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this</li> <li>exposure, have less of the disorder.</li> <li>So, for example, if we study within a group of we</li> <li>all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some</li> <li>people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of</li> <li>great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the</li> <li>outcome that we're studying, maybe depression.</li> <li>And then we see that some other people, for many</li> <li>reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular</li> </ul>	11	health consequences of the type that you are describing would		
14 A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was 15 saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they 16 have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this 17 exposure, have less of the disorder. 18 So, for example, if we study within a group of we 19 all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some 20 people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of 21 great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the 22 outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. 23 And then we see that some other people, for many 24 reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	12	be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and		
15 saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this exposure, have less of the disorder. 18 So, for example, if we study within a group of we all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. 21 And then we see that some other people, for many reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	13	minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians?		
have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this exposure, have less of the disorder. So, for example, if we study within a group of we all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. And then we see that some other people, for many reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	14	A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was		
<ul> <li>exposure, have less of the disorder.</li> <li>So, for example, if we study within a group of we</li> <li>all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some</li> <li>people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of</li> <li>great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the</li> <li>outcome that we're studying, maybe depression.</li> <li>And then we see that some other people, for many</li> <li>reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular</li> </ul>	15	saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they		
So, for example, if we study within a group of we all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. And then we see that some other people, for many reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	16	have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this		
19 all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some 20 people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of 21 great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the 22 outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. 23 And then we see that some other people, for many 24 reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	17	exposure, have less of the disorder.		
20 people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of 21 great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the 22 outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. 23 And then we see that some other people, for many 24 reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	18	So, for example, if we study within a group of we		
21 great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the 22 outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. 23 And then we see that some other people, for many 24 reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	19	all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some		
22 outcome that we're studying, maybe depression. 23 And then we see that some other people, for many 24 reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	20	people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of		
And then we see that some other people, for many reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	21	great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the		
24 reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular	22	outcome that we're studying, maybe depression.		
	23	And then we see that some other people, for many		
25 circumstances in their own environment they were protected from	24	reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular		
	25	circumstances in their own environment they were protected from		

1	that or whatever other reasons. And we see that they have			
2	fewer a lower level of this disorder.			
3	So that indicates that more of those stressors are			
4	associated with more of the disease, and by definition less of			
5	those stressors would be associated with less of that disease,			
6	or the diseases that are affected by those.			
7	Q. Dr. Meyer, are you familiar with something called Healthy			
8	People 2010?			
9	A. Yes.			
10	Q. What is that?			
11	<b>A.</b> We actually refer to that as Healthy People twenty-ten.			
12	(Laughter.)			
13	MR. DUSSEAULT: I stand corrected.			
14	BY MR. DUSSEAULT:			
15	Q. And what is Healthy People 2010?			
16	A. So, just if you tell people Healthy People two thousand			
17	and ten, they would probably not know what you are talking			
18	about. We just call it Healthy People twenty-ten.			
19	Healthy People is a project of the federal government			
20	organized or, I guess, I would say led by the Department of			
21	Health and Human Services. And it is the plan for the nation's			
22	health for the decade that is coming up. So, actually, right			
23	now we will be looking for Healthy People 2020.			
24	So Healthy People 2010 is the plan for the health of			
25	the nation for the decade that started in 2000 and, obviously,			

1	is ending now.			
2	MR. DUSSEAULT: Could we put demonstrative three up?			
3	(Document displayed)			
4	BY MR. DUSSEAULT:			
5	Q. Do you have that in front of you, sir?			
6	A. Yes.			
7	Q. And this is text from Healthy People 2010?			
8	<b>A.</b> Yes. And can I explain something about it?			
9	Q. Sure.			
10	A. Okay. So Healthy People 2010, the Department of Health			
11	and Human Services and many, many this is a very long			
12	process that involves I don't know for exact, but many,			
13	many, many professionals and researchers and so forth, both in			
14	government and outside of government.			
15	And so the main goals that the United States set up			
16	for itself in terms of health of the nation, one of the main			
17	goals was to reduce health disparities. Health disparities			
18	refer to differences between one population to another			
19	population where one population has more in excess of any kind			
20	of disorder, whether it's a mental or physical disorder.			
21	And this is a section from Healthy People 2010 that			
22	describes one of those populations, which is a population			
23	defined by sexual orientation, and it has identified them as			
24	a one of our nation's goals to reduce disparities associated			
25	with in the health of gay and lesbian populations as			

1	compared to heterosexuals. So that's what this is.
2	${f Q}_{{f \cdot}}$ Okay. And let me just read so, again, the record is clear
3	what you are looking at. It says:
4	"Sexual orientation. America's gay and
5	lesbian population comprises a diverse
6	community with disparate health concerns.
7	Major health issues for gay men are HIV/Aids
8	and other sexually transmitted diseases,
9	substance abuse, depression and suicide. Gay
10	male adolescents are two to three times more
11	likely than their peers to attempt suicide.
12	Some evidence suggests lesbians have higher
13	rates of smoking, overweight, alcohol abuse,
14	and stress than heterosexual women."
15	And then we have highlighted the last sentence.
16	"The issues surrounding personal, family, and
17	social acceptance of sexual orientation can
18	place a significant burden on mental health
19	and personal safety."
20	In your view, is this finding from Healthy People
21	2010 relevant to your own opinion as to health outcomes and the
22	relationship to stigma and minority stress?
23	A. I think it basically describes what I was talking about
24	today, and this is pretty much what I describing.
25	MR. DUSSEAULT: Okay. Can we also show the chart?

Do we have the chart? 1 2 (Document displayed) 3 BY MR. DUSSEAULT: 4 As we are reaching the end here, I want to just put a ο. 5 chart up here, which begins with social structure and then has 6 a box on top, "Coping Resources," the top in the middle. And 7 then bottom middle, "Stress (General and Prejudice-related)." And then on the right "Health Outcomes (Disease)." 8 9 Can you explain what this chart depicts? This is a very, very schematic, simple way of basically 10 Α. 11 demonstrating the causal chain that I was describing to you today that goes from the left to the right, with the health 12 13 outcomes being our outcome of interest. The social structure and social status are here to 14 15 the left as determinants of stressors that people experience, 16 as well as coping resources. 17 What we mean by that is that stress and coping resources are not randomly assigned to people in society, but 18 they depend on their own social structures. 19 20 And it could mean something simple as if you are 21 employed, you can get fired from your job. But if you are not 22 employed, obviously, you cannot have that kind of event. So 23 events do not just happen in a random order. 24 Specifically to the topics that I was discussing 25 today, what it shows is the social status and the stigma lead

ī				
1	to exposure to specific stress stressors, such as the ones			
2	that I described that I call minority stress.			
3	And I described here both general and			
4	prejudice-related to indicate that everybody experiences			
5	general stressors, as I described them, or just plain stress,			
б	and then there is added prejudice-related stress.			
7	And on the top, "Coping Resources" relates to what I			
8	was describing before as the protective role of coping. And in			
9	coping all of this is very simplistic, but there are a lot			
10	more behind each of those boxes, as we just discussed at			
11	length; the stress, for example.			
12	There is a lot more that can be said about coping,			
13	for example, and social support is part of that. And it			
14	basically shows what we look for is how does this whole process			
15	affect health outcomes.			
16	Q. Dr. Meyer, I want to ask you one last thing as we close			
17	here.			
18	Do you have a view as to whether the mental health			
19	outcomes of gay men and lesbians in California would improve if			
20	Prop 8 were not the law of California and gay men and lesbians			
21	were permitted to marry?			
22	A. I do.			
23	Q. What is that view?			
24	<b>A.</b> I think consistent with everything that I have said, and			
25	consistent with my work on the relevance of the social			

1	environment of social structures, and consistent with findings
2	that show that when people are exposed to more stress, they
3	fare worse than when they are exposed to less stress.

I think that if California -- and, also, consistent 4 5 with the things I said earlier in terms of the proscriptive 6 elements of Proposition 8, of the law having a constitutional 7 amendment that basically says, you know, to gay people, you are not welcome here, that the opposite of that clearly would send 8 9 a positive message. You are welcome here. Your relationships 10 are valued. You are valued. We don't approve with 11 rejection -- sorry. We don't approve rejection of you as a gay person as a state. And that has a very significant power. 12

As we all know, the law in the state is a very important party to creating the social environment. So clearly it's not the only thing that determines even experiences of prejudice and discrimination, but it is certainly a very major player, major factor, in creating this social environment that I described as prejudicial or stigmatizing.

19 **Q.** Thank you, Dr. Meyer.

20 MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, I have nothing further at 21 this time.

THE COURT: Very well. Why don't we take 10 minutes,
counsel, to get ready for cross-examination.

24 We seem to be falling a little bit behind our 25 schedule and so I'm going to suggest, if it's agreeable with

1	counsel, that we go a bit past 4:30 so that we can get in today			
2	everything that we had anticipated getting in.			
3	Does that sound reasonable?			
4	MR. BOUTROUS: That sounds great, your Honor.			
5	THE COURT: Very well, good.			
6	(Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings			
7	from 2:58 p.m. until 3:17 p.m.)			
8	THE COURT: Mr. Boies?			
9	MR. BOIES: Your Honor, to perhaps allay some			
10	concerns to the Court about our pace, as I just explained to			
11	counsel for the defendants, we believe that we are on pace to			
12	finish Wednesday of this coming week. That is, we believe that			
13	we will be able to complete our case using tomorrow, Tuesday			
14	and Wednesday.			
15	THE COURT: Okay.			
16	MR. BOIES: And that is true even if we do not do			
17	Ms. Zia today. I had told the Court that we had hoped to get			
18	Ms. Zia in today; but even if we don't get her in today, we're			
19	still on target to finish on Wednesday.			
20	THE COURT: Well, that's fine. Is that a suggestion			
21	that we not go beyond 4:00 o'clock?			
22	MR. BOIES: No, your Honor, it's not, but I did			
23	want having consulted with counsel for defendants, I think			
24	their cross may very well take us somewhat beyond 4:00 o'clock.			
25	And I just wanted the Court to know that we could go longer,			

and Ms. Zia is here, or we could go with Ms. Zia sometime 1 2 tomorrow. 3 THE COURT: Well, let's just see how far we get and 4 if we can certainly finish Mr. Meyer, that would be most 5 helpful, and if we can get in Ms. Zia, that's all to the 6 better. But let's take one step at a time. 7 MR. BOIES: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Cross examine. 8 9 MR. NIELSON: Yes, thank you. Good afternoon, your Honor. 10 11 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NIELSON: 12 13 Good afternoon, Professor Meyer. Q. Good afternoon. 14 Α. 15 THE COURT: You are? MR. NIELSON: Howard Nielson for the 16 17 Defendant-Intervenors. BY MR. NIELSON: 18 I have already put a witness binder on your stand. 19 0. You should have that, and it should also have been given to the 20 Court. And I think we have a couple of witness binders for 21 22 opposing counsel as well. 23 Professor Meyer, could you turn to tab one of the witness binder? 24 25 (Witness complied.)

	7	
1	А.	Yes.
2	Q.	Thank you. You will find an exhibit there, a document
3	ther	e pre-marked PX 934.
4	А.	Yes.
5	Q.	Can you identify this document?
б	А.	Yes. It's a research article by Evelyn Hooker published,
7	I be	lieve, in 1954 or so.
8	Q.	Are you familiar with this study?
9	А.	Yes.
10	Q.	Thank you.
11		Now, in his expert report Professor Herek said:
12		"This is now considered a classic study in
13		one of the first methodologically rigorous
14		examinations of the mental health status of
15		homosexuality."
16		Are you familiar with Professor Herek?
17	А.	Yes.
18	Q.	Do you agree with that characterization of the study?
19	А.	Can you repeat just the characterization?
20	Q.	Yes. He said:
21		"It is now considered a classic study and one
22		of the first methodologically rigorous
23		examinations of the mental health status of
24		homosexuality."
25	А.	Yes.

T	
1	Q. Now, according to Professor Herek, quote:
2	"Dr. Evelyn Hooker administered a battery of
3	widely-used psychological tests to groups of
4	homosexual and heterosexual males who were
5	matched for age, I.Q. and education. The men
6	were recruited from non-clinical settings.
7	None of the men was in therapy at the time of
8	the study. The heterosexual and homosexual
9	groups did not differ significantly in their
10	overall psychological adjustment as rated by
11	independent experts who were unaware of each
12	man's sexual orientation."
13	Do you agree with that description of the study's
14	results?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Is there not some tension between Dr. Hooker's conclusions
17	and your opinions that LGB individuals suffer from a higher
18	prevalence of adverse mental health outcomes than
19	heterosexuals?
20	A. Not at all.
21	Q. Please turn to tab three in the witness binder.
22	(Witness complied.)
23	Q. And you will see a document that is premarked DIX-1247.
24	THE COURT: By the way, are you moving in 934, or has
25	it already come in?

<ol> <li>MR. NIELSON: I'm not sure, but I will ask that I</li> <li>that that be admitted.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right. 934 is admitted.</li> <li>MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 934 received in evidence)</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And I apologize for not doing that at</li> <li>the first.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Okay, your Honor excuse me, Professor Meyer. Now, can</li> <li>you identify this article.</li> <li>A. Which exhibit is it?</li> <li>Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And I happened to hear both</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>THE COURT: All right. 934 is admitted.</li> <li>MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 934 received in evidence)</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And I apologize for not doing that at</li> <li>the first.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Okay, your Honor excuse me, Professor Meyer. Now, can</li> <li>you identify this article.</li> <li>A. Which exhibit is it?</li> <li>Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.</li> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 934 received in evidence)</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And I apologize for not doing that at</li> <li>the first.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Okay, your Honor excuse me, Professor Meyer. Now, can</li> <li>you identify this article.</li> <li>A. Which exhibit is it?</li> <li>Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>(Defendants' Exhibit 934 received in evidence)</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And I apologize for not doing that at</li> <li>the first.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Okay, your Honor excuse me, Professor Meyer. Now, can</li> <li>you identify this article.</li> <li>A. Which exhibit is it?</li> <li>Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MR. NIELSON: And I apologize for not doing that at</li> <li>the first.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Okay, your Honor excuse me, Professor Meyer. Now, can</li> <li>you identify this article.</li> <li>A. Which exhibit is it?</li> <li>Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>the first.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Okay, your Honor excuse me, Professor Meyer. Now, can</li> <li>you identify this article.</li> <li>A. Which exhibit is it?</li> <li>Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Okay, your Honor excuse me, Professor Meyer. Now, can</li> <li>you identify this article.</li> <li>A. Which exhibit is it?</li> <li>Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Q. Okay, your Honor excuse me, Professor Meyer. Now, can</li> <li>10 you identify this article.</li> <li>11 A. Which exhibit is it?</li> <li>12 Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.</li> <li>13 A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>14 Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>15 on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>16 A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>10 you identify this article.</li> <li>11 A. Which exhibit is it?</li> <li>12 Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.</li> <li>13 A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>14 Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>15 on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>16 A. Correct.</li> </ul>
11 A. Which exhibit is it? 12 Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247. 13 A. Okay. Yes, this is my article. 14 Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about 15 on your direct examination, correct? 16 A. Correct.
<ul> <li>12 Q. Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.</li> <li>13 A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>14 Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>15 on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>16 A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13</li> <li>A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.</li> <li>14</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about</li> <li>15 on your direct examination, correct?</li> <li>16</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<pre>14 Q. And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about 15 on your direct examination, correct? 16 A. Correct.</pre>
<pre>15 on your direct examination, correct? 16 A. Correct.</pre>
16 A. Correct.
17 MR NIELSON. And I happened to hear both
TY III MALE MALE AND A MALE AND AND A MALE A
18 defendants and plaintiffs separately designated this. I have
19 my copy in front of me. I will move it into evidence, just as
20 an abundance of caution in case
21 MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.
22 <b>THE COURT:</b> Okay. It came in, however, as
23 Plaintiffs'
24 MR. NIELSON: It's PX 1003, your Honor.
25 <b>THE COURT:</b> Fine. Thank you. We will refer to it as

1	that.
2	MR. NIELSON: All right.
3	BY MR. NIELSON:
4	Q. Now, I would like you to look at page 683 of the article,
5	and that's going by the pagination from the journal that it was
6	published in.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. I'm going to read to you just a few passages from this
9	page just to explore explore your opinions that you
10	expressed in this article.
11	The very first, the top of the first column you
12	write:
13	"Despite a long history of interest in the
14	prevalence of mental disorders among gay men
15	and lesbians, methodologically sound
16	epidemiological studies are rare. The
17	interest in mental health of lesbians and gay
18	men has been clouded by shifts in the social
19	environment within which it was embedded.
20	Before the 1973 declassification of
21	homosexuality as a mental disorder, gay
22	affirmative psychologists and psychiatrists
23	sought to refute arguments that homosexuality
24	should remain a classified disorder by
25	showing that homosexuals were not more likely

	to be mentally ill than heterosexuals."
	Now, you wrote that, correct?
А.	Yes.
Q.	And you believe that's correct?
А.	Yes.
Q.	Okay. Thank you.
	Now, skip down to the next paragraph. About the
midd	le of the paragraph it's it says, "In the social
atmo	sphere of the time." Do you see that line? I'm going to
read	that. It's about the middle of the next
Α.	Yes.
Q.	(As read)
	"In the social atmosphere of the time,
	research findings were interpreted by gay
	affirmative researchers conservatively so as
	to not erroneously suggest that lesbians and
	gay men had high prevalences of disorder."
	Now, again, you wrote that, correct?
А.	Yes.
Q.	And you agree with that?
А.	I wrote the entire article.
Q.	Yes, okay.
	(Laughter.)
Q.	Then you are different from some of the professors I had.
Α.	I'm sorry. I don't mean to
	Q. A. Q. midd atmo read A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.

	1	
1 2	<b>Q.</b>	All right. And then now, at the bottom that paragraph
2		"Thus, most reviewers have concluded that
4		research evidence has conclusively shown that
5		homosexuals did not have abnormally elevated
б		psychiatric symptomatology compared with
7		heterosexuals. This conclusion has been
8		widely accepted and has been often restated
9		in most current psychological and psychiatric
10		literature."
11		Correct?
12	А.	Yes.
13	Q.	Now, you believe that this quote "widely accepted," and
14	"oft	en restated view" is incorrect?
15	А.	Do I believe that that
16	Q.	This "widely accepted" and "often restated view" is
17	inco	prrect?
18	А.	I believe that it was, as I said here you mean
19	Q.	The view that homosexuals did not have abnormally elevated
20	psyc	hiatric symptomatology compared with heterosexuals; that
21	you	said that view is widely accepted and often restated.
22		Do you believe that view is incorrect?
23	А.	I said that it was in the past.
24	Q.	Okay, it was in the past.
25		My question, though, is: Do you believe that is

1	incorrect, that view?
2	A. I have to explain the context of those studies, because
3	Q. I'm sorry. I am going to move things along. You had a
4	chance to explain your views at length on direct.
5	A. Right.
б	Q. And if opposing counsel thinks it is necessary, you can
7	have an opportunity on redirect, but right now I really just
8	want to know "yes" or "no."
9	Do you believe that view that past view, if you
10	will, is incorrect?
11	A. I'm sorry. I cannot answer you like that because we are
12	talking about what we call different generations of studies,
13	and it's just if I could explain, I would explain.
14	But, for example, Evelyn Hooker's study was correct.
15	So if you are asking do I feel that it was not correct, it was
16	correct, but I don't think that it addressed the question that
17	you are asking me about the prevalence of disorders.
18	Q. Well, what I'm asking is: Do you believe that in your
19	own words you said:
20	"Homosexuals did not have abnormally elevated
21	psychiatric symptomatology compared with
22	heterosexuals."
23	Do you believe that it is that it is correct that
24	homosexuals do not have abnormally elevated psychiatric
25	symptomatology compared with heterosexuals?

<ul> <li>A. I don't believe that, as I described the evidence today.</li> <li>Q. So you believe that is incorrect?</li> <li>A. As of today, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>And that view is inconsistent with your testimony in</li> <li>this case, correct? Not the view you just expressed, the view</li> <li>that is the quoted here?</li> <li>A. Right. My view is my research evidence that is recent</li> <li>has shown that, in fact, gay and lesbian population do have</li> <li>higher rates of some disorders.</li> <li>Q. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was</li> <li>once the widely accepted and often restated view?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you</li> <li>say:</li> <li>"More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>health outcomes."</li> <li>K. Yes.</li> </ul>	-	
<ul> <li>A. As of today, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>And that view is inconsistent with your testimony in</li> <li>this case, correct? Not the view you just expressed, the view</li> <li>that is the quoted here?</li> <li>A. Right. My view is my research evidence that is recent</li> <li>has shown that, in fact, gay and lesbian population do have</li> <li>higher rates of some disorders.</li> <li>Q. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was</li> <li>once the widely accepted and often restated view?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you</li> <li>say:</li> <li>"More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>health outcomes."</li> </ul>	1	<b>A.</b> I don't believe that, as I described the evidence today.
<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>And that view is inconsistent with your testimony in</li> <li>this case, correct? Not the view you just expressed, the view</li> <li>that is the quoted here?</li> <li>A. Right. My view is my research evidence that is recent</li> <li>has shown that, in fact, gay and lesbian population do have</li> <li>higher rates of some disorders.</li> <li>Q. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was</li> <li>once the widely accepted and often restated view?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you</li> <li>say:</li> <li>"More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>health outcomes."</li> </ul>	2	Q. So you believe that is incorrect?
5       And that view is inconsistent with your testimony in         6       this case, correct? Not the view you just expressed, the view         7       that is the quoted here?         8       A. Right. My view is my research evidence that is recent         9       has shown that, in fact, gay and lesbian population do have         10       higher rates of some disorders.         11       Q. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was         12       once the widely accepted and often restated view?         13       A. Correct.         14       Q. Thank you.         15       Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you         16       say:         17       "More recently, there has been a shift in the         18       popular and scientific discourse on the         19       mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay         20       affirmative advocates have begun to advance         21       minority stress hypothesis claiming that         22       discriminatory social conditions lead to poor         23       health outcomes."         24       Correct?	3	A. As of today, yes.
6 this case, correct? Not the view you just expressed, the view 7 that is the quoted here? 8 A. Right. My view is my research evidence that is recent 9 has shown that, in fact, gay and lesbian population do have 10 higher rates of some disorders. 10 Q. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was 12 once the widely accepted and often restated view? 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. Thank you. 15 Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you 16 say: 17 "More recently, there has been a shift in the 19 popular and scientific discourse on the 19 mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay 20 affirmative advocates have begun to advance 21 minority stress hypothesis claiming that 22 discriminatory social conditions lead to poor 23 health outcomes." 24 Correct?	4	Q. Okay. Thank you.
7 that is the quoted here? 8 A. Right. My view is my research evidence that is recent 9 has shown that, in fact, gay and lesbian population do have 10 higher rates of some disorders. 12 Q. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was 12 once the widely accepted and often restated view? 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. Thank you. 15 Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you 16 say: 17 "More recently, there has been a shift in the 18 popular and scientific discourse on the 19 mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay 20 affirmative advocates have begun to advance 21 minority stress hypothesis claiming that 22 discriminatory social conditions lead to poor 23 health outcomes." 24 Correct?	5	And that view is inconsistent with your testimony in
<ul> <li>A. Right. My view is my research evidence that is recent</li> <li>has shown that, in fact, gay and lesbian population do have</li> <li>higher rates of some disorders.</li> <li>Q. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was</li> <li>once the widely accepted and often restated view?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you</li> <li>say:</li> <li>"More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>health outcomes."</li> <li>Correct?</li> </ul>	б	this case, correct? Not the view you just expressed, the view
<ul> <li>has shown that, in fact, gay and lesbian population do have</li> <li>higher rates of some disorders.</li> <li>Q. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was</li> <li>once the widely accepted and often restated view?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you</li> <li>say:</li> <li>"More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>health outcomes."</li> <li>Correct?</li> </ul>	7	that is the quoted here?
<ul> <li>higher rates of some disorders.</li> <li>Q. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was</li> <li>once the widely accepted and often restated view?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you</li> <li>say:</li> <li>"More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>health outcomes."</li> </ul>	8	A. Right. My view is my research evidence that is recent
<ul> <li>9. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was</li> <li>once the widely accepted and often restated view?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>9. Thank you.</li> <li>Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you</li> <li>say:</li> <li>"More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>health outcomes."</li> <li>Correct?</li> </ul>	9	has shown that, in fact, gay and lesbian population do have
<ul> <li>12 once the widely accepted and often restated view?</li> <li>13 A. Correct.</li> <li>14 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>15 Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you</li> <li>16 say:</li> <li>17 "More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>18 popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>19 mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>20 affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>21 minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>22 discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>23 health outcomes."</li> <li>24 Correct?</li> </ul>	10	higher rates of some disorders.
<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you</li> <li>say:</li> <li>"More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>health outcomes."</li> <li>Correct?</li> </ul>	11	Q. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was
14 Q. Thank you. 15 Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you 16 say: 17 "More recently, there has been a shift in the 18 popular and scientific discourse on the 19 mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay 20 affirmative advocates have begun to advance 21 minority stress hypothesis claiming that 22 discriminatory social conditions lead to poor 23 health outcomes." 24 Correct?	12	once the widely accepted and often restated view?
15Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you16say:17"More recently, there has been a shift in the18popular and scientific discourse on the19mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay20affirmative advocates have begun to advance21minority stress hypothesis claiming that22discriminatory social conditions lead to poor23health outcomes."24Correct?	13	A. Correct.
<ul> <li>16 say:</li> <li>17 "More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>18 popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>19 mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>20 affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>21 minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>22 discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>23 health outcomes."</li> <li>24 Correct?</li> </ul>	14	Q. Thank you.
<ul> <li>17 "More recently, there has been a shift in the</li> <li>18 popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>19 mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>20 affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>21 minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>22 discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>23 health outcomes."</li> <li>24 Correct?</li> </ul>	15	Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you
<ul> <li>popular and scientific discourse on the</li> <li>mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>health outcomes."</li> <li>Correct?</li> </ul>	16	say:
<ul> <li>19 mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay</li> <li>20 affirmative advocates have begun to advance</li> <li>21 minority stress hypothesis claiming that</li> <li>22 discriminatory social conditions lead to poor</li> <li>23 health outcomes."</li> <li>24 Correct?</li> </ul>	17	"More recently, there has been a shift in the
20affirmative advocates have begun to advance21minority stress hypothesis claiming that22discriminatory social conditions lead to poor23health outcomes."24Correct?	18	popular and scientific discourse on the
21 minority stress hypothesis claiming that 22 discriminatory social conditions lead to poor 23 health outcomes." 24 Correct?	19	mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay
22 discriminatory social conditions lead to poor 23 health outcomes." 24 Correct?	20	affirmative advocates have begun to advance
<pre>23 health outcomes." 24 Correct?</pre>	21	minority stress hypothesis claiming that
24 Correct?	22	discriminatory social conditions lead to poor
	23	health outcomes."
25 <b>A.</b> Yes.	24	Correct?
	25	A. Yes.

1	Q. And that is your position, correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Thank you.
4	And I notice you used the that one of the
5	citations, in fact, after that sentence is to your own work,
6	correct?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. It says "Meyer, 2001"?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. So you consider yourself a, quote, gay affirmative
11	advocate, correct?
12	A. I'm considering myself a gay affirmative scientist, and I
13	certainly advocate for the improvement of the social
14	environment for gay men and lesbians, yes.
15	Q. And the exact words you used here were "gay affirmative
16	advocates." And you used that in connection with the citation
17	to yourself.
18	So do you believe yourself to be a gay affirmative
19	advocate?
20	<b>A.</b> Among other things that I am, such as a social scientist.
21	Q. So, yes, correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. All right. Thank you.
24	And, in fact, you contributed money to the No On 8
25	campaign, correct?

•	
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. In fact, you did so on two occasions, correct?
3	A. I don't remember, but I did contribute to them because I
4	thought that the cause was something that I agreed with.
5	Q. All right. Thank you.
6	And please look at tab number four.
7	(Witness complied.)
8	Q. This is something that we got off the San Francisco
9	Chronicle's data base. It tracked the Proposition 8
10	contributions.
11	Does this reflect your recollection about your
12	contributions to Proposition 8, to the No On 8 campaign?
13	A. I don't have independent recollection, but I don't have
14	any reason to doubt it either, so.
15	Q. All right. Okay. Thank you.
16	All right. In your testimony, writings and the
17	expert report that I read, I notice that sometimes you refer to
18	the minority stress model and sometimes you refer to the social
19	stress model. For purposes of your opinions in this case, are
20	those synonyms?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Are they essentially synonyms for purposes of your opinion
23	here?
24	A. Well, one is a case of the other, so they refer to similar
25	theories, but the minority stress, per se, is the theory that I

1	described earlier, as I described those stressors that are
2	specific to gays and lesbians.
3	But it's the social stress is kind of like a
4	broader category that would fit in it. So I don't know if you
5	want to say that that's a synonym or not, but the minority
6	stress is one of the models that are used as a within the, I
7	would say, rubric of social stress.
8	Q. When we are talking about stress received by disadvantaged
9	groups, would the social stress theory or the social stress
10	model and minority stress model be synonyms?
11	<b>A.</b> I think, as I just explained, the minority stress is
12	usually used to the gay and lesbian population because, for
13	example, it as things like internalized homophobia or that
14	are specific.
15	But in the social stress, for example, with
16	African-Americans I would say the most prominent article
17	discussed racism and stress, which is
18	Q. Okay. But
19	A is parallel I guess.
20	Q. So minority stress is a subset of social stress?
21	A. Right, right, but I
22	Q. Okay. Thank you.
23	And sometimes you use the word "minority stress
24	theory." Sometimes you say "minority stress model." Is that
25	essentially synonymous?

1	A. Yes. The yes, I guess.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	All right. I just wanted to clarify that, because
4	you used these these were different words in some of our
5	articles and I just want to make sure that we're on the same
6	page.
7	A. Sure.
8	${f Q}$ . Now, the social stress model or, if you will, the minority
9	stress model predicts the individual's
10	(Court reporter interruption.)
11	${f Q}$ . The social stress model or the minority stress model, I
12	guess I should say the minority stress model, predicts that
13	individuals who are members of disadvantaged groups receive
14	more stress than individuals who are not members of those
15	groups, correct?
16	A. Yes, and that would be true of the social stress as well.
17	<b>Q.</b> Okay. So in that case they are synonyms?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Thank you.
20	And the model predicts that as a result of social
21	stress or as a result of minority stress, individuals who are
22	members of disadvantaged groups will have worse mental health
23	outcomes than individuals who are not members of those groups,
24	correct?
25	A. Yes.

Q. All right. And at least as a theoretical matter, those two premises should apply to other disadvantaged groups, correct? A. That I would say is a question that is of great interest, but I cannot say correct or incorrect on the way that you described it. Q. Okay. Even as a theoretical matter, you can't say that that's correct? A. As a theoretical matter, we look at commonalities and divergences across populations in order to probe our theories and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities as the way that you described them, yes. Q. And A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we try to analyze the balance of those in learning about	
<pre>3 correct? 4 A. That I would say is a question that is of great interest, 5 but I cannot say correct or incorrect on the way that you 6 described it. 7 Q. Okay. Even as a theoretical matter, you can't say that 8 that's correct? 9 A. As a theoretical matter, we look at commonalities and 10 divergences across populations in order to probe our theories 11 and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities 12 as the way that you described them, yes. 13 Q. And 14 A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we 15 try to analyze the balance of those in learning about</pre>	
<ul> <li>A. That I would say is a question that is of great interest,</li> <li>but I cannot say correct or incorrect on the way that you</li> <li>described it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Even as a theoretical matter, you can't say that</li> <li>that's correct?</li> <li>A. As a theoretical matter, we look at commonalities and</li> <li>divergences across populations in order to probe our theories</li> <li>and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities</li> <li>as the way that you described them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we</li> <li>try to analyze the balance of those in learning about</li> </ul>	
5 but I cannot say correct or incorrect on the way that you described it. 7 Q. Okay. Even as a theoretical matter, you can't say that that's correct? 9 A. As a theoretical matter, we look at commonalities and divergences across populations in order to probe our theories and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities as the way that you described them, yes. 13 Q. And 14 A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we try to analyze the balance of those in learning about	
6 described it. 7 Q. Okay. Even as a theoretical matter, you can't say that 8 that's correct? 9 A. As a theoretical matter, we look at commonalities and 10 divergences across populations in order to probe our theories 11 and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities 12 as the way that you described them, yes. 13 Q. And 14 A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we 15 try to analyze the balance of those in learning about	
<ul> <li>7 Q. Okay. Even as a theoretical matter, you can't say that</li> <li>8 that's correct?</li> <li>9 A. As a theoretical matter, we look at commonalities and</li> <li>10 divergences across populations in order to probe our theories</li> <li>11 and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities</li> <li>12 as the way that you described them, yes.</li> <li>13 Q. And</li> <li>14 A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we</li> <li>15 try to analyze the balance of those in learning about</li> </ul>	
<pre>8 that's correct? 9 A. As a theoretical matter, we look at commonalities and 10 divergences across populations in order to probe our theories 11 and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities 12 as the way that you described them, yes. 13 Q. And 14 A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we 15 try to analyze the balance of those in learning about</pre>	
<ul> <li>A. As a theoretical matter, we look at commonalities and</li> <li>divergences across populations in order to probe our theories</li> <li>and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities</li> <li>as the way that you described them, yes.</li> <li>Q. And</li> <li>A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we</li> <li>try to analyze the balance of those in learning about</li> </ul>	
10 divergences across populations in order to probe our theories and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities as the way that you described them, yes. <b>Q.</b> And <b>A.</b> There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we try to analyze the balance of those in learning about	
<pre>11 and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities 12 as the way that you described them, yes. 13 Q. And 14 A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we 15 try to analyze the balance of those in learning about</pre>	
<pre>12 as the way that you described them, yes. 13 Q. And 14 A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we 15 try to analyze the balance of those in learning about</pre>	
<pre>13 Q. And 14 A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we 15 try to analyze the balance of those in learning about</pre>	
14 A. There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we we 15 try to analyze the balance of those in learning about	
15 try to analyze the balance of those in learning about	
16 theoretical issues.	
17 <b>Q.</b> Okay. I would like you to turn to tab number eight in the	:
18 witness binder.	
19 (Witness complied.)	
20 <b>A.</b> Yes.	
21 Q. And you'll find a document pre-marked DIX-2519.	
22 <b>A.</b> Yes.	
23 Q. Can you identify that document?	
24 <b>A.</b> Yes. That's an interview that I I was interviewed by	
25 this person, David Van Nuys, and I believe it's a transcription	

T	
1	of that interview. It was an oral, you know, internet radio
2	interview.
3	Q. Yes, thank you.
4	And in that interview you discussed some of the
5	studies and work that you have conducted, correct?
б	A. Yes.
7	Q. All right. Thank you.
8	MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to move
9	DIX-2519 into evidence.
10	MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.
11	THE COURT: Very well.
12	(Defendants' Exhibit 2519 received in evidence.)
13	MR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you.
14	BY MR. NIELSON:
15	Q. And I would like to look at the third page of the exhibit.
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Sorry. I want to look at the second to the bottom
18	paragraph on that page, and it says:
19	"So some of the findings that we had, for
20	example, is when we look at stress exposure.
21	So we wanted to study each aspect of this
22	theory because a lot of the elements of the
23	stress theory, especially when it comes to
24	social stress, are often assumed but not
25	tested. And we wanted to test carefully the

1	entire process. So the first hypothesis
2	you know, it's a pretty big hypothesis, there
3	are a lot of different studies about that
4	is do disadvantaged groups, in fact, have
5	more stress."
6	Correct? So that that doesn't distinguish gays
7	and lesbians from other disadvantaged groups, correct?
8	A. Right. That will be a general test of the social stress
9	model. As you said, the first assumption is the disadvantaged
10	is associated with added stress.
11	${f Q}$ . Right, right. And I would like to go up earlier on that
12	page, your second full response. You say:
13	"So around this, I designed the study and the
14	study included 524 men and women who were New
15	York City residents. And there were people
16	who were in those different groups that we
17	can identify based on this so that we can
18	test this theory. So they were gay and
19	lesbian bisexual versus heterosexual; they
20	were women versus men; and they were black
21	and Latino versus white. And we looked at
22	those three disadvantaged statuses and to
23	what extent those disadvantaged statuses are
24	related to an increase in stressors as the
25	theory would say, and to what extent, if they

1	do have those increases in stressors, do
2	they, in fact, lead to certain mental
3	disorder."
4	A. Yes.
5	${f Q}$ . So at least as a theoretical matter, the social stress
6	theory would predict that for each of those three groups, the
7	disadvantaged group would experience more stress and have worse
8	mental health outcomes, correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. All right. Thank you.
11	Turning back to LGB, the LGB individuals in
12	particular. You believe that as a result of you believe
13	that due, in part, to minority status, the LGB population has
14	about twice as many mental health disorders as heterosexuals,
15	including mood, anxiety and substance use disorders, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	${f Q}$ . And you also believe that the LGB population suffers from
18	a higher prevalence of mood anxiety or substance use problems
19	that do not meet criteria for a formal psychiatric order, but
20	are nevertheless indicative of stress, correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. Thank you.
23	And you also believe that LGB individuals have lower
24	levels of well-being than heterosexuals, correct?
25	A. Yes.

	1	
1	Q. An	d you believe there is a higher incidence of suicide
2	attempt	s among the LGB individuals compared to heterosexual
3	individ	uals, correct?
4	A. Rej	peat, please?
5	<b>Q.</b> Yo	u believe that there's a higher incidence of suicide
6	attempt	s among LGB individuals than among heterosexual
7	individ	uals?
8	A. Ye	s.
9	Q. Ok	ay. And where one LGB individual suffers from minority
10	stress,	it would tend to affect the other partner as well,
11	correct	?
12		(Brief pause.)
13	Q. Le	t me rephrase that.
14		When an LGB individual is in a relationship, intimate
15	relatio	nship with another individual, where one LGB individual
16	suffers	from minority stress, it would tend to affect the other
17	partner	as well, correct?
18	<b>A.</b> I	think that's true of all partners. When something bad
19	happens	to one of them, surely it will affect the other.
20	Q. So	it's a yes, correct?
21	A. Ye	s.
22	Q. Ok	ay. Thank you.
23	A. I	just would say it's not unique to LGB in this case.
24	Q. Ok	ay. It's not unique, but it would be true?
25	A. Ye	s.
-		

1 Q. Okay. Thank you. I assume -- you know, it's kind of theoretical. I would 2 Α. assume that it would affect the other person, too, who is -- if 3 4 his loved one experienced something. 5 ο. And specifically if one of the members of the partnership or the marriage, whatever it might be, if they suffered -- one 6 7 member suffered from minority stress, it would increase general stress on the relationship and would have a negative impact on 8 9 their satisfaction, correct? 10 Some of the stressors -- you know, this is in Α. Yes. 11 general, kind of an average. So some of those stressors would definitely have this 12 13 effect. And I particularly studied internalized homophobia as an example of that type of effect, but there might be more 14 15 minor things that may not have this effect. 16 Okay. Thank you. Q. 17 Now, you believe that the adverse mental health outcomes among the LGB population that you believe you have 18 identified are due, in part, to minority stress, correct? 19 20 Yes. Α. 21 Emphasis on "due in part." Q. It's not that I identified all those differences. 22 Α. There are many studies and even in the article that we just 23 24 discussed, I rely on other studies by summarizing them, but --25 Q. My question is really getting --

т		
1	MR. DUSSEAULT: Could I object to the extent counsel	
2	is interrupting the answers? He is asking the question and the	
3	witness is answering and he needs to be permitted to answer.	
4	MR. NIELSON: I'll try and be careful. I'm trying to	
5	move things along, but	
6	THE COURT: All right. Well, maybe you can point	
7	your questions and the witness can point his answers and,	
8	hopefully, you will meet in the middle.	
9	(Laughter.)	
10	A. I was just making the point that you said that I found	
11	those the evidence about a higher prevalence, and I just	
12	made the point that it is not all my studies.	
13	BY MR. NIELSON:	
14	${f Q}$ . Correct. Thank you. And I appreciate your making that	
15	clear.	
16	My question, though, what I'm really getting at is:	
17	These mental health outcomes can also result from other causes,	
18	correct?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And some of those causes would be unrelated to stress,	
21	correct?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. And some even for stress-related causes, some of those	
24	stressors would be not related to minority stress, correct?	
25	A. Yes.	
1		

Ī		
1	<b>Q.</b> Ge	eneral stressors, I think you is the term you used
2	<b>A.</b> Ye	es.
3	Q	- correct?
4		Okay. Thank you.
5		And those sorts of general stressors are not
б	depende	ent on membership in a disadvantaged group, correct?
7	A. Co	prrect.
8	<b>Q.</b> A	ll right. At least as a theoretical matter, the social
9	stress	model would predict that women experience more stress
10	than me	en, correct?
11	A. It	t's correct with some it's correct that we would look
12	for tha	at prediction, yes.
13	<b>Q.</b> Oł	kay. Thank you.
14		And in this interview, as you describe your work, you
15	actual	ly found that men and women did not have different levels
16	of over	rall stress, correct?
17	<b>A.</b> Ye	es.
18	Q. Ar	nd this is something that's also found in the general
19	literat	ture, correct?
20	<b>A.</b> Ye	es.
21	Q. So	o regarding gender, the expectations of social stress
22	theory	, the disadvantaged group, in this case women, would have
23	more ez	xposure to stress is not verified by your studies,
24	correct	t?
25	<b>A.</b> Ye	es.

Π

1	Q.	And this expectation, the social stress theory regarding
2	wome	n, is not verified by many other studies either, correct?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Thank you.
5		And the social stress model would predict that
6	Afri	can-Americans and Latinos suffer from a higher prevalence
7	of m	ental disorders than non-Hispanic whites, correct?
8	А.	As a group, yes.
9	Q.	Thank you.
10		Now, in the study that you describe in this
11	inte	rview, you, in fact, found that African-Americans and
12	Lati	nos do not have more stress or, excuse me, they do have
13	more	stress than non-Hispanic whites, correct?
14	А.	Correct.
15	Q.	But you found that African-Americans and Latinos do not
16	have	more mental disorders than whites, correct?
17	А.	Correct.
18	Q.	And this is a finding that's not unique to this study,
19	corr	ect?
20	А.	Yes.
21	Q.	This finding seems to be valid because it's been shown
22	with	other populations in general studies, correct?
23	А.	I think other populations, you mean that studied the
24	same	thing? Other studies, yeah.
25	Q.	Yes, okay. I was actually just quoting directly from your

words --1 2 Yeah. Other studies that use other samples and so forth, Α. 3 yes. 4 ο. Please look at the third paragraph of your first full 5 answer on page four. And, again, we're still in this interview б you gave. 7 And it starts with "However." Can you see that, Professor Meyer? 8 9 Page four --Α. 10 Your first full answer. It's about the middle of the ο. 11 page. And I'm going to read that to you. You say: 12 "However, regarding the blacks and Latinos, 13 we found an interesting finding. And, in fact, that just repeats what I said, so I'm 14 15 going to skip to the middle --16 Okay. Α. 17 -- where it says: Q. "So blacks and Latinos have more stress, but 18 19 they don't have more mental disorders. So that's very bewildering, again, from the 20 21 social stress perspective because you 22 question whether your theory is correct. If 23 they have more stress and the stress is a cause of disorders, which is what this whole 24 25 study is about, then how come they don't show

Π

1	
1	more disorders?"
2	Okay. Now, you wrote that, correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Or, rather, you said it probably, because it was an
5	interview.
6	A. Right, but probably have written something like that as
7	well.
8	${f Q}$ . Okay. And the social stress model would also predict that
9	within the LGB community, African-Americans and Latino LGB
10	individuals, would suffer from a higher prevalence of mental
11	disorders than white non-Hispanic individuals, correct?
12	A. I'm sorry. The study that you quoted before was about
13	African-American and Latino gay and lesbian people.
14	Q. Yes. I
15	A. Are you asking now a different
16	${\tt Q}$ . Well, in the study we just talked about, you said this was
17	true in the general population as well.
18	A. Right. So it's true but the study that I conducted was
19	about black and Latino gay men and lesbians as compared to
20	white gay men and lesbians.
21	${\tt Q}$ . All right. And I want you to look at another study you
22	did that's that's clearly more clearly pointed just at
23	that within the LGB group. But I take your point, so thank you
24	for clarifying that.
25	A. Okay.

1	Q. But let me ask one clarifying question.
2	The general pattern, you said in this article, is
3	true for non-LGB as well, correct, for both men versus women
4	and for the ethnicity and race groups?
5	A. I would limit it to African-Americans versus white,
6	because it's a little complicated with Latinos; but, yes,
7	African-Americans versus white.
8	Q. Okay. But but the social stress model would predict
9	that within the LGB community, African-American and Latino LGB
10	individuals would suffer from a higher prevalence of mental
11	disorders than white non-Hispanic LGB individuals, correct?
12	A. That was a hypothesis that we tested, yes.
13	Q. Thank you.
14	And you tested that because that's what the social
15	stress theory or the minority stress theory would predict,
16	correct?
17	A. We tested because we wanted to see whether there's
18	actually an alternative prediction, too. So it's a little bit
19	more complex than the way you are describing it. But we we
20	test the hypothesis because we always pose one side of the
21	hypothesis.
22	In fact, in this matter of gay and lesbian, which we
23	call kind of having dual minority identities, the one theory or
24	one hypothesis that they would have more because they now
25	have two kind of minority identities or disadvantaged, but the

1	other theory was that they actually would do better because
2	somehow their experience as black and exposed to racism would
3	somehow give them special coping ability so that when they deal
4	with the gay homophobia, that they can somehow do better.
5	So those are the two sides, and we certainly posed
6	the hypothesis as one side when we tested it.
7	${\tt Q}$ . Well, two questions. First of all, do you consider that a
8	very parsimonious explanation?
9	And I don't mean your words. I mean as a theoretical
10	matter. Is that a parsimonious theory?
11	A. Parsimonious in what way?
12	Q. In the way you use it in the social sciences. And you
13	have used that word.
14	A. Exactly, but I have used it in different contexts, so
15	${\tt Q}$ . My understanding is that parsimonious means simple, and
16	that in the social sciences in science in general a simpler
17	answer is preferred to a more complex one, as long as they both
18	fit the data, is that correct?
19	A. You want me to say if that is preferable in social
20	sciences?
21	Q. Yes.
22	A. There is disagreements about that. So a more parsimonious
23	explanation is preferable if you look to kind of in some
24	ways, you know, you are looking for the pithiest and
25	most simple, as you said, explanation that can explain the

<ul> <li>widest phenomenon.</li> <li>But on the other side of parsimony, there are people</li> <li>and, you know, a study that a philosophy of sciences that</li> <li>say that parsimony is not good because it doesn't allow you to</li> <li>understand the details and the workings; that it could</li> <li>oversimplify, in other words.</li> <li>So that is a debatable thing. But, certainly, we are</li> <li>interested in those questions of parsimony in the way that may</li> <li>be referred to.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,</li> <li>is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>work interesting.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. Can you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> <li>introduce DIX-1253 into evidence.</li> </ul>	-	
<ul> <li>and, you know, a study that a philosophy of sciences that</li> <li>say that parsimony is not good because it doesn't allow you to</li> <li>understand the details and the workings; that it could</li> <li>oversimplify, in other words.</li> <li>So that is a debatable thing. But, certainly, we are</li> <li>interested in those questions of parsimony in the way that may</li> <li>be referred to.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,</li> <li>is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>work interesting.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	1	widest phenomenon.
<ul> <li>4 say that parsimony is not good because it doesn't allow you to</li> <li>5 understand the details and the workings; that it could</li> <li>6 oversimplify, in other words.</li> <li>7 So that is a debatable thing. But, certainly, we are</li> <li>8 interested in those questions of parsimony in the way that may</li> <li>9 be referred to.</li> <li>10 Q. Okay.</li> <li>11 A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,</li> <li>12 is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>13 cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>14 work interesting.</li> <li>15 Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>16 Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>19 A. Yes.</li> <li>20 Can you identify this document?</li> <li>21 A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>22 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>23 M. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	2	But on the other side of parsimony, there are people
<ul> <li>understand the details and the workings; that it could</li> <li>oversimplify, in other words.</li> <li>So that is a debatable thing. But, certainly, we are</li> <li>interested in those questions of parsimony in the way that may</li> <li>be referred to.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,</li> <li>is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>work interesting.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	3	and, you know, a study that a philosophy of sciences that
<ul> <li>oversimplify, in other words.</li> <li>So that is a debatable thing. But, certainly, we are</li> <li>interested in those questions of parsimony in the way that may</li> <li>be referred to.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,</li> <li>is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>work interesting.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li><i>Journal of Public Health</i> in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	4	say that parsimony is not good because it doesn't allow you to
<ul> <li>So that is a debatable thing. But, certainly, we are</li> <li>interested in those questions of parsimony in the way that may</li> <li>be referred to.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,</li> <li>is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>work interesting.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	5	understand the details and the workings; that it could
<ul> <li>8 interested in those questions of parsimony in the way that may</li> <li>9 be referred to.</li> <li>10 Q. Okay.</li> <li>11 A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,</li> <li>12 is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>13 cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>14 work interesting.</li> <li>15 Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>16 Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder.</li> <li>17 (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>19 A. Yes.</li> <li>20 Can you identify this document?</li> <li>21 A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>22 Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>23 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>24 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	6	oversimplify, in other words.
<ul> <li>9 be referred to.</li> <li>10 Q. Okay.</li> <li>11 A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,</li> <li>12 is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>13 cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>14 work interesting.</li> <li>15 Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>16 Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>19 A. Yes.</li> <li>20 Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>21 A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>22 Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>23 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>24 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	7	So that is a debatable thing. But, certainly, we are
<ol> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,</li> <li>is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>work interesting.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ol>	8	interested in those questions of parsimony in the way that may
<ul> <li>A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,</li> <li>is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>work interesting.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	9	be referred to.
<ul> <li>12 is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a</li> <li>13 cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my</li> <li>14 work interesting.</li> <li>15 Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>16 Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>19 A. Yes.</li> <li>20 Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>21 A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>22 Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>23 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>24 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	10	Q. Okay.
13 cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my 14 work interesting. 15 Q. Okay. Thank you. 16 Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.) 18 Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Can you identify this document? 21 A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American 22 Journal of Public Health in 2008. 23 Q. Thank you. 24 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to	11	A. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see,
<ul> <li>work interesting.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	12	is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a
<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	13	cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my
<ul> <li>Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	14	work interesting.
<ul> <li>17 (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>19 A. Yes.</li> <li>20 Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>21 A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>22 Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>23 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>24 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	15	Q. Okay. Thank you.
<ul> <li>18 Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?</li> <li>19 A. Yes.</li> <li>20 Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>21 A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>22 Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>23 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>24 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	16	Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder.
<ul> <li>19 A. Yes.</li> <li>20 Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>21 A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>22 Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>23 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>24 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	17	(Witness complied.)
<ul> <li>Q. Can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	18	Q. And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253?
<ul> <li>A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American</li> <li>Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	19	A. Yes.
<ul> <li>22 Journal of Public Health in 2008.</li> <li>23 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>24 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	20	Q. Can you identify this document?
<ul> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to</li> </ul>	21	A. Yes. That's an article I published in the American
24 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to	22	Journal of Public Health in 2008.
	23	Q. Thank you.
25 introduce DIX-1253 into evidence.	24	MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to
	25	introduce DIX-1253 into evidence.

MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.
THE COURT: 1253 is admitted.
(Defendants' Exhibit 1253 received in evidence.)
MR. NIELSON: Thank you.
BY MR. NIELSON:
Q. And this document describes a study that you conducted,
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.
And, please, look at the top there's three columns
actually, but look in the first page, the top of the first
column or the second column, the middle column?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And now you stated a minute ago that you were you were
not inclined to agree with my statement that the social stress
theory would predict that black and Latino lesbians well,
LGB individuals would have more mental disorders than white
non-Hispanic LGB individuals.
But I would like to read that to you. It says,"
Social stress theories"
A. I don't think I said that.
Q. Well, do you agree with that?
A. Can you repeat it?
${f Q}$ . Okay. The social stress model would also predict that
within the LGB community African-American and Latino LGB

1		
1	individuals would suffer from a higher prevalence of mental	
2	disorders than white non-Hispanic individuals, correct?	
3	A. Yes. I said that was the hypothesis we tested.	
4	Q. Okay.	
5	A. So I didn't disagree with that, but I also said that there	
6	is there is a debate, you know, that we tried to address in	
7	studying this topic. So there is one side and the other side	
8	in terms of the dual identity. That's what I was saying	
9	earlier.	
10	So that was the hypothesis we tested	
11	Q. Now, the	
12	(Court reporter interruption.)	
13	Q. Have you completed your answer?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. I apologize.	
16	Now, the first sentence here says:	
17	"Social stress theories lead us to expect	
18	that compared with socially advantaged	
19	groups, disadvantaged groups are at a higher	
20	risk for mental disorders."	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. You agree with that statement, correct?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. So we, thus, hypothesized, one, that black and Latino	
25	lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals have more mental	

disorders than do white lesbian gay men and bisexual	
individuals because they are more exposed to more stress	
related to prejudice, discrimination excuse me, prejudice	
and discrimination associated with their race, ethnicity?	
A. Correct.	
Q. All right. And you believe that hypothesis followed from	
the social stress theory, correct?	
A. Yes.	
Q. Thank you.	
All right. And then in this study you found that	
African-Americans and Latino lesbians, gay men and	
(Court reporter interruption.)	
${f Q}$ . And in this study you found that African-American and	
Latino lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals did not have	
a higher disorder prevalence than did white participants,	
correct?	
A. Than the white lesbian, gay men and bisexuals.	
Q. Correct.	
A. Yes.	
${f Q}$ . And I guess the white non-Hispanic lesbian, gay men and	
bisexuals.	
A. Right.	
Q. And this finding was contrary to your hypothesis, correct?	?
A. Right.	
Q. All right. Thank you.	
	<pre>individuals because they are more exposed to more stress related to prejudice, discrimination excuse me, prejudice and discrimination associated with their race, ethnicity? A. Correct. Q. All right. And you believe that hypothesis followed from the social stress theory, correct? A. Yes. Q. Thank you. All right. And then in this study you found that African-Americans and Latino lesbians, gay men and (Court reporter interruption.) Q. And in this study you found that African-American and Latino lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals did not have a higher disorder prevalence than did white participants, correct? A. Yes. Q. And I guess the white non-Hispanic lesbian, gay men and bisexuals. A. Right. Q. And this finding was contrary to your hypothesis, correct? A. Right.</pre>

1	And you found that African-American lesbians, gay men
2	and bisexuals have significantly fewer disorders than did white
3	participants, correct?
4	<b>A.</b> I think in some of the findings that was significantly
5	fewer, yes.
6	Q. Okay. And let's look at let's look at page this
7	first page in the third column, and I will read starting with
8	the second paragraph the second sentence, it says:
9	"Contrary to our hypothesis, black and Latino
10	lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals
11	did not have a higher disorder prevalence
12	than did white participants. Indeed, black
13	lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals
14	had significantly fewer disorders than did
15	white participants."
16	A. Right. The black
17	Q. Okay. So that is correct?
18	A. Yes. But the yes.
19	Q. Okay. Thank you.
20	And you found that the prevalence of disorders among
21	Latino lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals was similar
22	to that
23	(Court reporter interruption.)
24	Q. Okay, sorry.
25	And you found that the prevalence of disorders among

1	Latino lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals was similar
2	to that of white lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals,
3	correct?
4	A. With the exception of serious suicide attempts, that is
5	correct. But we found them to have a higher prevalence of
6	serious suicide attempts in history.
7	Q. But not of disorders generally, correct?
8	A. Of those three disorders, right.
9	Q. Okay. Thank you.
10	And men and women did not differ substantially in
11	disorder prevalence, correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. In terms of implications to social stress theory, this
14	study reported inconsistent findings, correct?
15	A. Within the context of this particular questions that were
16	raised in this study, but it is not inconsistent with the
17	general what I testified to, which was about the difference
18	between gay, lesbian and heterosexual.
19	So within that gay and lesbian group, there was not
20	the finding that supported the idea that if you had an added
21	sorry, an added minority identity, that that will add more
22	disorders to you.
23	But as a group, they had more disorders than
24	heterosexuals
25	Q. Correct. But the
-	

-	
1	<b>A.</b> which is not reported here because this is just looking
2	at one particular aspect of it.
3	Q. But the results regarding race, ethnicity were
4	inconsistent with your predictions made on the basis of social
5	stress theory, correct?
6	A. Again, within the context of that, yes.
7	Q. Thank you. And these results regarding race and ethnicity
8	were inconsistent with other's predictions made on the basis of
9	social stress theory, correct?
10	A. What is it? With other peoples, yes.
11	Q. Yes, thank you.
12	And you found it notable that the race ethnicity
13	patterns reported here among lesbians, gay men and bisexual
14	individuals were similar to race differences found among
15	heterosexual individuals in general population studies,
16	correct?
17	<b>A.</b> Yes. But, again, as a group, they were all elevated; but
18	the differences within the group of gay men, lesbians were
19	consistent in that sense of that hypothesis that I tested,
20	although there were some differences. But I don't think it's
21	relevant to what you are asking right now.
22	Q. No, I understand that.
23	And you stated that you believed that further
24	research needs to explain the seeming contradiction of social
25	stress predictions, correct?
-	

Ī	
1	A. Absolutely. We always think that further research is
2	necessary.
3	Q. Yes.
4	A. That's what we do.
5	Q. That's how you stay in business.
б	(Laughter.)
7	Q. And some lawyers predict that litigation is always
8	necessary, too. But, thank you.
9	The social stress model would also predict that
10	within the LGB community, racial and ethnic minorities would
11	suffer from lower levels of well-being than whites, correct?
12	A. Yes. The same rationale.
13	${f Q}$ . And the social stress model would predict that within the
14	LGB community, racial and ethnic minorities would suffer from a
15	higher prevalence of depression than whites, correct?
16	<b>A.</b> I think is it repeating the same thing we discussed,
17	because
18	Q. I just asked you about mental disorders, which I
19	understood it to be the subject of the study we just read.
20	Now I'm asking about well-being first, and then
21	suicide attempts second.
22	A. Oh, okay. I'm sorry.
23	So regarding well-being. Again, it will be the same
24	basic pattern. You would on one hand, the social stress
25	part of it would say they have another minority identity,

1therefore, they should have more disorder.2The coping, I guess, hypothesis you can say would say3the opposite.4And with regard to suicide, yes, you would expect5them to have more.6Q. Okay. So the answer is that the social model the7stress model would predict that within the LGB community,8racial and ethnic minorities would suffer from a higher9prevalence of depression than whites?10A. Yes.11Q. Is that correct?12And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to13look at next is about depression and well-being.14A. Okay.15Q. Okay. Thank you.16Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)17Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And19can you identify this document?20A. Yes. That's another study from the same study, looking21at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it22us published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in23Loop.24Loop.25MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, this is also an exhibit	1	
3 the opposite. And with regard to suicide, yes, you would expect 5 them to have more. 6 Q. Okay. So the answer is that the social model the 7 stress model would predict that within the LGB community, 8 racial and ethnic minorities would suffer from a higher 9 prevalence of depression than whites? 10 A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 12 And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to 13 look at next is about depression and well-being. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Okay. Thank you. 16 Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. 17 (Witness complied.) 18 Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And 19 can you identify this document? A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry. 20 Another paper that was published from the same study, looking 21 at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it 20 you.	1	therefore, they should have more disorder.
4And with regard to suicide, yes, you would expect5them to have more.6Q. Okay. So the answer is that the social model the7stress model would predict that within the LGB community,8racial and ethnic minorities would suffer from a higher9prevalence of depression than whites?10A. Yes.12And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to13look at next is about depression and well-being.14A. Okay.15Q. Okay. Thank you.16Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder.17(Witness complied.)18Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And19can you identify this document?20A. Yes. That's another study from the same study, looking21at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it22was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in242009.	2	The coping, I guess, hypothesis you can say would say
<ul> <li>them to have more.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So the answer is that the social model the stress model would predict that within the LGB community, racial and ethnic minorities would suffer from a higher prevalence of depression than whites?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that correct?</li> <li>And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to look at next is about depression and well-being.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's another study from the same study, looking at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in 2009.</li> </ul>	3	the opposite.
<ul> <li>6 Q. Okay. So the answer is that the social model the stress model would predict that within the LGB community,</li> <li>8 racial and ethnic minorities would suffer from a higher</li> <li>9 prevalence of depression than whites?</li> <li>10 A. Yes.</li> <li>11 Q. Is that correct?</li> <li>2 And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to</li> <li>13 look at next is about depression and well-being.</li> <li>14 A. Okay.</li> <li>15 Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>16 Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And can you identify this document?</li> <li>14 A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.</li> <li>21 Another paper that was published from the same study, looking at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in 2009.</li> </ul>	4	And with regard to suicide, yes, you would expect
<ul> <li>r</li></ul>	5	them to have more.
<ul> <li>8 racial and ethnic minorities would suffer from a higher</li> <li>9 prevalence of depression than whites?</li> <li>10 A. Yes.</li> <li>11 Q. Is that correct?</li> <li>12 And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to</li> <li>13 look at next is about depression and well-being.</li> <li>14 A. Okay.</li> <li>15 Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>16 Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And</li> <li>19 can you identify this document?</li> <li>20 A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.</li> <li>21 Another paper that was published from the same study, looking</li> <li>22 at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it</li> <li>2009.</li> </ul>	6	${f Q}$ . Okay. So the answer is that the social model the
<ul> <li>9 prevalence of depression than whites?</li> <li>10 A. Yes.</li> <li>11 Q. Is that correct?</li> <li>12 And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to</li> <li>13 look at next is about depression and well-being.</li> <li>14 A. Okay.</li> <li>15 Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>16 Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And</li> <li>19 can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.</li> <li>20 A. Yes. That was published from the same study, looking</li> <li>21 at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it</li> <li>23 was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in</li> <li>24 2009.</li> </ul>	7	stress model would predict that within the LGB community,
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that correct?</li> <li>And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to</li> <li>look at next is about depression and well-being.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And</li> <li>can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.</li> <li>Another paper that was published from the same study, looking</li> <li>at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it</li> <li>was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in</li> <li>2009.</li> </ul>	8	racial and ethnic minorities would suffer from a higher
9. Is that correct? And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to look at next is about depression and well-being. A. Okay. 9. Okay. Thank you. 0. Okay. Thank you. Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.) 9. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And can you identify this document? A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry. Another paper that was published from the same study, looking at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in 2009.	9	prevalence of depression than whites?
12And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to13look at next is about depression and well-being.14A. Okay.15Q. Okay. Thank you.16Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder.17(Witness complied.)18Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And19can you identify this document?20A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.21Another paper that was published from the same study, looking22at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it23was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in242009.	10	A. Yes.
<ul> <li>13 look at next is about depression and well-being.</li> <li>14 A. Okay.</li> <li>15 Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>16 Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>18 Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And</li> <li>19 can you identify this document?</li> <li>20 A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.</li> <li>21 Another paper that was published from the same study, looking</li> <li>22 at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it</li> <li>23 was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in</li> <li>24 2009.</li> </ul>	11	Q. Is that correct?
<ul> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.</li> <li>Another paper that was published from the same study, looking at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it</li> <li>was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in</li> <li>209.</li> </ul>	12	And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to
<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And</li> <li>can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.</li> <li>Another paper that was published from the same study, looking</li> <li>at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it</li> <li>was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in</li> <li>209.</li> </ul>	13	look at next is about depression and well-being.
<ul> <li>Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And</li> <li>can you identify this document?</li> <li>A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.</li> <li>Another paper that was published from the same study, looking</li> <li>at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it</li> <li>was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in</li> <li>209.</li> </ul>	14	A. Okay.
17 (Witness complied.) 18 Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And 19 can you identify this document? 20 A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry. 21 Another paper that was published from the same study, looking 22 at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it 23 was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in 24 2009.	15	Q. Okay. Thank you.
<ul> <li>18 Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And</li> <li>19 can you identify this document?</li> <li>20 A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.</li> <li>21 Another paper that was published from the same study, looking</li> <li>22 at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it</li> <li>23 was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in</li> <li>24 2009.</li> </ul>	16	Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder.
<pre>19 can you identify this document? 20 A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry. 21 Another paper that was published from the same study, looking 22 at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it 23 was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in 24 2009.</pre>	17	(Witness complied.)
A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry. Another paper that was published from the same study, looking at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in 20 21	18	Q. You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. And
21 Another paper that was published from the same study, looking 22 at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it 23 was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in 24 2009.	19	can you identify this document?
22 at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it 23 was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in 24 2009.	20	A. Yes. That's another study from the same sorry.
<pre>23 was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in 24 2009.</pre>	21	Another paper that was published from the same study, looking
24 2009.	22	at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it
	23	was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in
25 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, this is also an exhibit	24	2009.
	25	MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, this is also an exhibit

1	that was designated by both parties. I believe the plaintiffs
2	designated it as Exhibit No. 999. And it may have been among
3	that list that Mr. Dusseault submitted, though I can't recall.
4	THE COURT: It is.
5	MR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: So that's in.
7	MR. NIELSON: It's in? All right. Thank you.
8	BY MR. NIELSON:
9	Q. Now, this document describes another study you have
10	conducted, correct?
11	A. It's the same study. It's a different analysis on the
12	same the same sample that was in the other paper we just
13	discussed. So it's the same people, but a different outcome,
14	as you mentioned.
15	${f Q}$ . All right. So it's the same study, but a different aspect
16	of that study?
17	A. Exactly.
18	Q. All right, thank you.
19	And in this study you did not find decreased
20	well-being or increased depression in racial ethnic minority
21	respondents as a whole, correct?
22	<b>A.</b> In the again, those are the gay and lesbian black
23	and yes. Consistent with what we were just saying with the
24	other study, yes.
25	Q. Right. And this finding was contrary to your hypotheses

1	stemming from minority stress theory about the added stress
2	that racial, ethnic, minority status would place on
3	(Court reporter interruption.)
4	Q. Sorry.
5	And this finding was contrary to your hypotheses
6	stemming from minority stress theory about the added stress
7	that racial, ethnic, minority status would place on LGB
8	individuals, correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And your finding regarding mental health and well-being of
11	African-American LGB persons is consistent with results of
12	studies of the general population that found that despite
13	greater exposure to discrimination and prejudice,
14	African-Americans do not have a higher prevalence of most
15	common mental disorders than whites, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And studies have found this is true with respect to both
18	the general population and LGB populations, correct?
19	A. Again, it's correct in the sense of black versus white
20	LGB, but the LGB versus heterosexuals, which is what I was
21	testifying to, that was higher.
22	But in the general population, meaning non well,
23	not necessarily gay samples, the finding is that as you
24	described it.
25	Q. Okay. And we will turn to the studies of heterosexuals

1	versus LGB individuals immediately after this exhibit, but I'm
2	testing the minority stress theory generally, which is why I'm
3	exploring some of the work you've done relating to gender and
4	race.
5	A. Okay.
6	${f Q}$ . Now, other studies have shown that African-Americans, in
7	fact, have higher self-esteem and well-being than whites,
8	correct?
9	A. That's in the general population.
10	Q. Yes.
11	A. Yes.
12	${f Q}$ . Look at page eight of this exhibit. And, again, we are at
13	tab 10.
14	Starting about halfway down in the middle of the
15	paragraph at the bottom of the second column, I'm going to read
16	that to you. It says:
17	"That our results show inconsistent support
18	for minority stress hypotheses should lead to
19	a reexamination and, if necessary,
20	elaboration of the minority stress model. We
21	are particularly struck by the finding that
22	black LGB respondents, clearly a
23	disadvantaged social group in American
24	society, do not show higher levels of
25	depressive symptoms and lower levels of

-	
1	well-being than their white counterparts.
2	This finding clearly challenges minority
3	stress theory. That this finding is
4	consistent with findings about black/white
5	differences and well-being in the general
6	population, as well as findings regarding
7	differences and prevalence of mental
8	disorders between black and white LGB,
9	strengthens our confidence that these
10	findings are not a result of some bias in
11	our study."
12	Those are your words, correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And does that fairly summarize
15	A. That's one of the conclusions that we came to, yes.
16	${f Q}$ . Okay. And turn over the page to the next paragraph, the
17	top of the page nine in the first column. It says:
18	"The lack of parsimony in our results
19	represents a challenge in social stress
20	theory. It suggests that the theory cannot
21	be applied uniformly and that greater
22	definitions and distinctions are necessary in
23	future research."
24	Correct?
25	A. Correct.

1	Q. And we discussed parsimony a minute ago, correct?
2	A. It is saying exactly what I said, that I guess, the
3	word "challenge" needs to be explained.
4	What I'm saying here is that we need to examine,
5	because of those differences, the commonalities and
6	divergences, we need to try to better we would call it
7	specify the model; that it will be a better model predicting
8	those types of outcomes so that they so we can explain them
9	better.
10	Q. But you said that it means that the theory cannot be
11	applied uniformly and that greater definition and distinctions
12	are necessary, correct?
13	A. Exactly.
14	Q. All right. Thank you.
15	Please turn to tab 11 in the witness binder, and
16	you'll find a document pre-marked DIX-1246.
17	(Witness complied.)
18	Q. Can you identify this document?
19	<b>A.</b> 1246?
20	<b>Q.</b> Yes. It's tab 11.
21	A. Yes. That's an article that I wrote that was published in
22	the Journal of Health and Social Behavior in 1995.
23	Q. Thank you.
24	MR. NIELSON: And, again, this is one that was
25	designated by the plaintiffs as 1002, your Honor, and I believe

1	
1	that it is in evidence.
2	THE COURT: Very well.
3	MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.
4	MR. NIELSON: Correct?
5	MR. DUSSEAULT: I'm sorry?
6	MR. NIELSON: 1002, PX 1002. Could I have opposing
7	counsel confirm that that was admitted?
8	THE COURT: Yes. 1002?
9	MR. NIELSON: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Is in.
11	MR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you.
12	BY MR. NIELSON:
13	Q. Okay. Now, this document discusses a study you conducted,
14	correct?
15	A. Yes. This was my dissertation study.
16	Q. This was your doctoral dissertation, you said?
17	A. This was based on the dissertation. This is a publication
18	that came out of it, yes.
19	Q. Okay. Thank you.
20	All right. Now, please look at page 39 in the middle
21	of the well, towards the top of the second column, about
22	three sentences into the first full paragraph, you write:
23	"It has been predicted that, if minority
24	position is stressful, and if the stress is
25	related to psychological distress, the

1 minority groups must have higher rates of	
2 distress than non-minority groups. But	
3 studies that compared rates of distress and	
4 disorder between blacks and whites, women and	
5 men, and homosexuals and heterosexuals did	
6 not confirm such predictions, leading some	
7 researchers to refute minority stress	
8 conceptualizations."	
9 And the study goes on to list a number of citat:	.ons,
10 a number of studies, including I believe I count nine of	on,
11 quote, gay/straight differences, correct?	
12 <b>A.</b> Right.	
13 Q. So those studies, at least, do not support the social	
14 stress model as it applies to LGB individuals, correct?	
15 <b>A.</b> Those are the studies that I was referring to before	when
16 you asked me the questions about Evelyn Hooker and so fort	h
17 that in the past demonstrated that.	
18 And as I also said in many of the publications,	that
19 the studies in the 90's are the ones that began to use more	`e
20 advanced accepted methods that begin to show this differen	ice.
21 And, in fact, the point of this article is to sh	low
22 the support for minority stress. And this is the article	that,
23 actually, I first introduced the concept and demonstrated	how
24 it does work. In other words, it is supported. So this w	as
25 just the introduction to this.	

1	Q. All right. Thank you.
2	But these studies that you cite here you characterize
3	as studies that compared rates of distress and disorder between
4	homosexuals and heterosexuals and did not confirm such
5	predictions.
6	And the predictions to which you are referring
7	earlier in that sentence already:
8	"It has been predicted that, if minority
9	position is stressful, and if this stress is
10	related to psychological distress, then
11	minority groups must have higher rates of
12	distress than non-minority groups."
13	Correct?
14	A. So those older studies did not show that, as we showed
15	
16	Q. Sorry
17	A yesterday.
18	Q. All right. So those studies, at least, were inconsistent
19	with your model, correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. Thank you.
22	And your 1995 study did not look at inter-group
23	comparisons, correct? By "intergroup comparisons" I mean
24	comparisons between heterosexuals and LGB individuals.
25	A. No. I did this most fully in the 2003 article that we

<pre>1 discussed earlier. 2 Q. Yes. But in 1995 you did not, correct? 3 A. This was looking at a group of gay men. 4 Q. And, in fact, in that article you stated that just 5 lower down to the page, you say: 6 "I suggest that we must reexamine our</pre>	
<ul> <li>3 A. This was looking at a group of gay men.</li> <li>4 Q. And, in fact, in that article you stated that just</li> <li>5 lower down to the page, you say:</li> </ul>	
4 Q. And, in fact, in that article you stated that just 5 lower down to the page, you say:	
5 lower down to the page, you say:	
6 "I suggest that we must reexamine our	
7 reliance on evidence from intergroup	
8 comparisons of rates of distress. Despite	
9 the intuitive appeal of this approach,	
10 numerous methodological problems lead to	
11 bias, making it difficult to interpret the	
12 evidence from studies using this approach."	
13 Correct?	
14 A. This refers to you know, we refer to different	
15 generations of studies in psychiatric epidemiology. There	was
16 a huge shift in understanding how to do studies like that.	
So I'm saying here, what I said in that what y	vou
18 are quoting, that those older articles are not a good	
19 indication for the assessment of those differences because	they
20 didn't use sampling methodologies that would be correct, th	ıat
21 would allow us to make to draw those conclusions. They	
22 didn't at the time have diagnostic criteria that were that	
23 clear, and they certainly did not have any measures to asse	SS
24 those.	
25 So there were a lot of methodological problems in	1

those earlier studies, including the studies that we were
discussing earlier when you quoted some of the, again, early
studies that do not talk to the effect off prevalence.
So they would have been two groups of gay versus
straight, but they were not studies of prevalence in the
population. So, therefore, they are not reliable as an
estimate of the difference in the prevalence.
<b>Q.</b> Okay. But you said you suggest quote:
"I suggest that we must reexamine reliance on
evidence from intergroup comparisons of rates
of disorder (sic)."
Correct?
<b>A.</b> Yes. Because of that problem, and other issues that I
think I list here.
Q. Okay. And thank you.
And that's why you did not conduct an intergroup
study in 1995, correct?
A. I wouldn't say that is why I didn't conduct it, but I was
using this study as another anchor on this problem, on this
question.
As I said, we used we tried to use different
approaches to study the same problem from different sides so
that we can see convergences and inconsistencies so that we
can, by looking at those, improve our way that we understand
the problem and the theories. That is not unique, you know, to

1 these studies.

2 For example, there was a time that people thought 3 that all cancers are caused by some kind of a genetic mutation. 4 And then they find studies that don't confirm that and, 5 therefore, they go on and investigate further and they say, Oh, 6 some studies, some -- sorry -- cancers are caused by an 7 infectious agent. So that's what I mean by improving the model. So now we understand something a little better about 8 9 how cancer is caused.

So in the same way we always try to challenge our 10 11 results and our studies using different methodologies, different ways of assessing the basic theory that, you know, we 12 13 discussed here as social stress and use it -- so when I say the word "challenge," we use it to further study things that are 14 discovered in, let's say, inconsistencies. So some of the 15 inconsistencies that you described are now the subject of 16 further investigation. 17

18 **Q.** Okay. Thank you.

19But you found -- your findings in this study20contrasted with the previous evidence compiled on minority21stress, correct?

A. Well, this study was looking within a group of gay men.
It contrasts with those older studies that, as I said, did not
show the differences.

25

But as I also said, there were studies that were not

1	up to par in terms of how we assess those issues now in terms
2	of their ability to represent the population prevalence or the
3	proportion of people in the population that have the disorder.
4	${\tt Q}$ . All right. I'm not asking about the methodology of the
5	previous studies. I'm just asking whether your findings in
6	this study were inconsistent with those studies?
7	A. I mean, I guess you could I think I would say that the
8	older studies were inconsistent with this new finding.
9	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And please turn to page 51, if you would, please,
10	sir?
11	A. Yeah.
12	(Witness complied.)
13	Q. Okay, Professor Meyer, let's right in the middle of the
14	second column on page 51, you write:
15	"These findings contrast with previous
16	evidence compiled on minority stress. When
17	studies compared rates of disorder or
18	distress between minority and non-minority
19	groups, we found little evidence that
20	minority stress is related to adverse mental
21	health."
22	Correct?
23	A. Yes. Those are those old studies that I mentioned.
24	Q. Thank you.
25	And in the last in the last paragraph of that

1	page, a little farther down, you say:
2	"Certainly the issue of rates of disorder and
3	distress cannot be sidestepped and will have
4	to be addressed, too. But if the present
5	findings are convincing, we must address the
6	question of rates of difference with this
7	evidence in mind. The issue, thus, becomes
8	one of explaining why there are no
9	differences in rates of disorder between
10	minority and non-minority populations and how
11	such findings could be consistent with the
12	evidence that not just social conditions do,
13	in fact, have adverse mental health effects."
14	And you wrote that, correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. Thank you.
17	A. It's kind of what I was just trying to explain as well,
18	that
19	Q. Thank you.
20	Let's turn back to tab three. And we discussed this
21	document a moment ago and it's in evidence, so we can go
22	straight to it.
23	THE COURT: Tab?
24	MR. NIELSON: Three, your Honor.
25	

BY MR. NIELSON:
Q. And this is your 2003 article where you did look at
intergroup comparisons, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Yes, thank you.
And in the middle
THE COURT: Page? What page?
MR. NIELSON: That was just a general question, your
Honor.
THE COURT: I thought you were about ready to read
something.
MR. NIELSON: I am.
BY MR. NIELSON:
Q. Now I will direct ask you, Professor Meyer, to turn to
page 684.
(Witness complied.)
<b>Q.</b> Okay. Please look at the second sentence of the first
full paragraph. It starts, "In drawing."
A. Uh-huh.
Q. (As read)
"In drawing a conclusion about whether LGB
groups have higher prevalences of mental
disorders, one should proceed with caution.
The studies are few, methodologies and
measurements are inconsistent and trends in

at
the
the
use
the
nd
e
1

1	
1	groups are at higher risk of suicide ideation
2	and attempts than heterosexuals."
3	And then in the last sentence of that paragraph you
4	say:
5	"Considering the scarcity of studies, the
6	methodological challenges and the greater
7	potential for bias in studies of completed
8	suicide, it is difficult to draw firm
9	conclusions from their apparent refutation of
10	minority stress theory."
11	Correct?
12	<b>A.</b> This concerns a particular type of study that looks at
13	completed suicide as those people who are dead and,
14	therefore, it is there are only two of those and it is very
15	hard to assess the proportion of people there who were gay.
16	So that's why I said that it is hard to draw
17	conclusions for those two studies.
18	Q. But at least on their face they you describe them as
19	presenting an apparent refutation of minority stress theory,
20	correct?
21	A. Apparent, yes. But I also say in the same paragraph that
22	the methodological problems would preclude you from drawing
23	those conclusions.
24	Q. All right. And you said it was
25	"Considering the scarcity of studies, the

Ī	
1	methodological challenge and greater
2	potential for bias, it's difficult to draw
3	firm conclusions."
4	That is correct.
5	A. About this particular issue of completed suicides.
б	Q. Yes. Thank you.
7	Now, your 2003 study did conclude that LGB
8	individuals have a higher prevalence of mental disorders than
9	heterosexuals, correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. As I said before, this was not my study. This was what we
13	call a meta-analysis, which is a method of gathering data and
14	information from other studies. So I I looked at the other
15	studies and came up with the statistics that describe the
16	aggregate of those studies.
17	So the purpose of that is to get a better handle on
18	those estimates because you are using not just one study, but
19	several studies that are available to you.
20	Q. Correct. And you you relied on two types of studies,
21	correct; studies that targeted LGB groups using non-probability
22	samples, and studies that used probability samples of the
23	general populations that allowed identification of LGB versus
24	heterosexual groups, correct, in your meta-analysis?
25	<b>A.</b> I looked at all of those studies, but in conclusions I
-	

relied only on the studies that used probability samples. 1 2 The studies that don't use probability samples are 3 exactly the ones we were discussing earlier and which is why I 4 said that you cannot really draw good conclusions from them in 5 terms of estimating prevalence. 6 So I looked at, I think, all of the studies that were 7 available going back, I think, to the 70's. And so when I -when you say "rely," I certainly looked at all of those, but in 8 the meta-analysis I -- as most people do, you create a 9 selection criteria for which studies you want to include and. 10 11 In this case there were -- I looked specifically at the ones that were community studies that are very large and that 12 13 involve probability samples, because probability samples allow us to then estimate back into the population the proportions, 14 15 the prevalences as we called them. So when you say -- you looked at the first type of 16 Q. non-probability study, but you ultimately didn't rely on that, 17 is that your explanation? 18 In the meta-analysis. 19 Α. 20 So the meta-analysis was based only on the -- well, Q. let 21 me get your exact words. It's the -- well, the probability 22 samples of the general population that allowed identification 23 \_ \_ 24 I think I did both, and I show -- but in terms of drawing Α. 25 conclusion -- I looked at different things, but in terms of

drawing conclusion about prevalences, I relied on those studies
that are probability studies and
Q. Okay. Thank you. I wasn't clear on that from reading the
article, and I appreciate that clarification.
So let's talk just about those probability studies
then. The second group of studies you reviewed, the
population well, the population-based studies do suffer from
some methodological deficiencies, correct?
A. The population-based studies?
Q. Yes.
<b>A.</b> All studies suffer from methodological deficiencies, but
the population based studies are the best ones that we have to
addresses this question.
Those are very large population-based studies that
the entire United States Public Health Service relies on.
Those were the only evidence we have for prevalences of mental
disorders in the United States.
Q. Thank you.
And because none of these studies was a priori
designed to assess mental health of the LGB groups, they were
not sophisticated in the measurement of sexual orientation,
correct?
A. Yes. Those were general population studies and the LGB
group were basically whoever happened to have been gay
within the general population was included by virtue of the

T	
1	probability sampling.
2	Q. The studies classified respondents as "homosexual" or
3	"heterosexual" only on the basis of past sexual behavior,
4	rather than using a more complex matrix that assessed identity
5	and attraction in addition to sexual behavior, correct?
6	A. I actually if I said that, I assume it's correct, but I
7	actually don't remember that all of them used even the exact
8	same.
9	But they usually would choose one measure and,
10	therefore, they don't have a more complex measure. I I
11	don't remember independent that they all used the exact same
12	measure that you just quoted, but
13	Q. Please look at page 685 in the second column. It's the
14	last full paragraph on that page, so it's above the carryover.
15	And about part way down, I'm going to read it to you, it says
16	after the sentence the first sentence says that:
17	"they, too, suffer from methodological
18	deficiencies."
19	But then I'll start reading in full. It says:
20	"This is because none of these studies was a
21	priori designed to assess mental health of
22	LGB groups. As a result, they were not
23	sophisticated in the measurement of sexual
24	orientation. The studies classified
25	respondents as homosexual or heterosexual

1	only on the basis of past sexual behavior.
2	In one year," and there is a citation to a
3	study, "in five years," and another citation,
4	"or over the lifetime," and a third citation,
5	"rather than using a more complex matrix that
6	assessed identity and attraction in addition
7	to sexual behavior," and another citation.
8	"The problem of measurement could have
9	increased potential error due to
10	misclassification which, in turn, could have
11	led to selection bias."
12	Does that refresh your recollections?
13	A. Yes. I don't know if I'm referring here to a particular
14	group or study, but let me just say that if this is true about
15 a	all the studies that I use, but it may be. But in general,
16 t	this is true the way you described it.
17	There have been studies of this nature that use not
18	just this one thing, but they all use a selected measure that
19 t	they find the most relevant to their purpose.
20	So I just can't confirm that all of the ones here
21	I would actually be surprised if they all used this exact same
22 r	measure, but
23 <b>Ç</b>	Q. Well, just answer that I'm sorry. Go ahead.
24	A. Basically, the main point that they do not use the more
25 0	complex ways of measuring that I agree with.

1	Q. Thank you.
2	And these population studies also suffer because they
3	included a very small number of LGB people, correct?
4	A. Correct. But let me just say, this is why I conducted the
5	meta-analysis, which allows you to, in a sense, increase your
6	sample because you are then aggregating all of them.
7	But, on the other hand, you are limited by some
8	maybe some comparisons that you might want to do. But to
9	conduct the meta-analysis I aggregated them to overcome this
10	problem of small sample sizes.
11	Q. And, please, look at page 688, if you would. And starting
12	at the middle of the carryover paragraph, as you see it on 688,
13	you write:
14	"My use of a meta-analytic technique to
15	estimate combined ORs somewhat corrects this
16	deficiency, but it is important to remember
17	that a meta-analysis cannot overcome problems
18	on the studies in which it is based."
19	Correct?
20	A. It cannot overcome all the problems, but in this
21	particular example that you used, it certainly overcomes the
22	problem of the sample size. That's because you are adding all
23	of those sample together.
24	But as I said, there is no method that is like a
25	hundred percent perfect, but it specifically overcomes the

1	problem of both sample size and, also, what we call sampling
2	error. So that if you just rely on one sample, you might have
3	some specific biases connected with that; but if you aggregate,
4	you know, five samples, then that error will get lost within
5	that bigger number of studies. So that's what it does.
6	But it certainly doesn't, for example, overcome the
7	issue of measurement because they all you know, you can't
8	change the measures that they use. So it depends on what, you
9	know, you are talking about.
10	Q. So it may overcome sample size, but it wouldn't overcome a
11	lack of precision in the definition of LGB individuals,
12	correct?
13	A. I didn't say there was a lack of precision. But if there
14	were a lack of precision I said they didn't use as a the
15	measure that they did use could have been precise, but they
16	didn't use a more complex measure.
17	But it wouldn't overcome measurement we call it
18	measurement error, although it would help, because of that
19	question because of that issue that I just said related to
20	sampling error.
21	So, again, the best way to explain it is that when
22	you take even if one study has an error and maybe another
23	one has another error, when you aggregate them all together,
24	they all part of it; but the larger pattern that you see will
25	emerge despite different errors that will get they are much

T		
1	bett	er than if you just relied on the one study with the error
2	or w	with the bias.
3	Q.	But still a meta-analysis cannot overcome all the problems
4	in t	the study on which it's based, correct?
5	А.	No.
6	Q.	And it's important to interpret results of a meta-analyses
7	with	a caution on the critical perspective, correct?
8	А.	Absolutely, yeah.
9	Q.	All right. And in this 2003 study, you described your
10	conc	lusions as:
11		"Inconsistent with research and theoretical
12		writings that can be described as a minority
13		resilience hypothesis which claims that
14		stigma does not negatively affect
15		self-esteem."
16		Correct?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	And you described your conclusions as:
19		"Inconsistent with studies that showed that
20		blacks do not have a higher prevalence of
21		mental disorders than whites as expected by
22		minority stress formulations."
23		Correct?
24	А.	Yes.
25	Q.	You stated:

1	1	
1		"Further research must address this apparent
2		contradiction."
3		Correct?
4	А.	Yes.
5	Q.	And please look at 688 again. I guess if you are still
6	ther	re, that would be great.
7	А.	Yes.
8	Q.	You write:
9		"One problem which can provide a plausible
10		alternative explanation for the findings
11		about prevalences of mental disorders in LGB
12		individuals is that bias related to cultural
13		differences between LGB and heterosexual
14		persons inflates reports about history of
15		mental health symptoms. It is plausible that
16		cultural differences between LGB and
17		heterosexual individuals cause a response
18		bias that led to overestimation of mental
19		disorders among LGB individuals. This would
20		happen if, for example, LGB individuals were
21		more likely to report mental health problems
22		than heterosexual individuals."
23		And then your article goes on to identify several
24	reas	sons why LGB individuals might be more likely to report
25	ment	al health problems than heterosexual individuals, correct?

1	<b>A.</b> Yes. That is one of the possible limitations in the sense
2	that, you know, we look at as I said earlier when I
3	described the methodology of working on studies, we look at all
4	kinds of potential explanations and try to address them, assess
5	whether or not they are feasible, whether or not they threaten
б	the conclusion and so forth. So this is one of the things I
7	considered in looking at this evidence.
8	Q. And you found and you said in your study that:
9	"To the extent that such a response bias
10	exists, it would have led researchers to
11	overestimate the prevalence of mental
12	disorders in LGB groups."
13	Correct?
14	A. To the extent that it exists, it would.
15	Q. And, all right. In his expert report Professor Herek
16	wrote:
17	"In addition, lesbian, gay, bisexual people
18	face other stressors. For example, because
19	the Aids epidemic has had a disproportionate
20	impact on the gay male community in the
21	United States, many gay and bisexual men have
22	experienced the loss of a life partner, and
23	gay, lesbian and bisexual people alike have
24	experienced extensive losses in their
25	personal social networks resulting from the

1	
1	death of close friends and acquaintances.
2	Treatment related to multiple losses is
3	linked to higher levels of depressive
4	symptoms."
5	Do you agree with that statement?
6	MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, could I ask for a
7	citation and page?
8	MR. NIELSON: It's Paragraph 31, note 13 of the Herek
9	report. That's at tab two, if you would like to look at that.
10	And it's on
11	A. I'm sorry. What page?
12	BY MR. NIELSON:
13	Q. Tab two, it's and it's Paragraph 31.
14	A. Okay.
15	Q. It appears to be on starts at the bottom of page 10.
16	It's in the footnote. If you would like to look at that, I
17	read it. I won't ask you to read it aloud, but if you just
18	look at what he writes in that footnote.
19	A. Which footnote?
20	Q. 13. It starts at the bottom of page 10.
21	A. You want me to read what it says?
22	Q. Just to yourself.
23	A. Oh, okay.
24	Q. My question is: Do you agree with that statement? I
25	already read

<ul> <li>A. Yes. He's actually referring to something that I wrote apparently, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I still have a fair amount of material. Do you want me to continue?</li> <li>THE COURT: Keep plowing.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, Professor</li> <li>Meyer.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li>Counseling Psychology.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of the words.</li> </ul>	-	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I still have a fair amount</li> <li>of material. Do you want me to continue?</li> <li>THE COURT: Keep plowing.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, Professor</li> <li>Meyer.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>last year in 2009 in a journal that's called Journal of</li> <li>Counseling Psychology.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>the words.</li> </ul>	1	A. Yes. He's actually referring to something that I wrote
<ul> <li>MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I still have a fair amount</li> <li>of material. Do you want me to continue?</li> <li>THE COURT: Keep plowing.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, Professor</li> <li>Meyer.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li><i>Counseling Psychology</i>.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>the words.</li> </ul>	2	apparently, yes.
<ul> <li>of material. Do you want me to continue?</li> <li>THE COURT: Keep plowing.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, Professor</li> <li>Meyer.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li>Counseling Psychology.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>the words.</li> </ul>	3	Q. Okay. Thank you.
<ul> <li>6 THE COURT: Keep plowing.</li> <li>7 MR. NIELSON: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor.</li> <li>8 BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>9 Q. Please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, Professor</li> <li>10 Meyer.</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> <li>12 (Witness complied.)</li> <li>13 Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> <li>15 Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>16 A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>17 last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li>18 Counseling Psychology.</li> <li>19 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>10 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>11 technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>12 we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>13 had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>14 the words.</li> </ul>	4	MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I still have a fair amount
<ul> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, Professor</li> <li>Meyer.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li><i>Counseling Psychology</i>.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>the words.</li> </ul>	5	of material. Do you want me to continue?
<ul> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, Professor</li> <li>Meyer.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li><i>Counseling Psychology</i>.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>the words.</li> </ul>	6	THE COURT: Keep plowing.
<ul> <li>9 Q. Please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, Professor</li> <li>10 Meyer.</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> <li>12 (Witness complied.)</li> <li>13 Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>16 A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>17 last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li>18 <i>Counseling Psychology</i>.</li> <li>19 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>10 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>11 technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>12 we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>13 had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>14 the words.</li> </ul>	7	MR. NIELSON: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor.
<ul> <li>Meyer.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li><i>Counseling Psychology</i>.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>the words.</li> </ul>	8	BY MR. NIELSON:
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li><i>Counseling Psychology</i>.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>the words.</li> </ul>	9	Q. Please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, Professor
<ul> <li>(Witness complied.)</li> <li>Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li><i>Counseling Psychology</i>.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>the words.</li> </ul>	10	Meyer.
Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document? A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of Counseling Psychology</i> . Q. Thank you. MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of the words.	11	A. Yes.
14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Can you identify that document? 16 A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published 17 last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i> 18 Counseling Psychology. 19 Q. Thank you. 20 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight 21 technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that 22 we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently 13 had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of 24 the words.	12	(Witness complied.)
<ul> <li>Q. Can you identify that document?</li> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>last year in 2009 in a journal that's called Journal of</li> <li>Counseling Psychology.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>the words.</li> </ul>	13	Q. You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249.
<ul> <li>A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published</li> <li>last year in 2009 in a journal that's called <i>Journal of</i></li> <li><i>Counseling Psychology</i>.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>the words.</li> </ul>	14	A. Yes.
<ul> <li>17 last year in 2009 in a journal that's called Journal of</li> <li>18 Counseling Psychology.</li> <li>19 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>20 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight</li> <li>21 technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that</li> <li>22 we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently</li> <li>23 had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of</li> <li>24 the words.</li> </ul>	15	Q. Can you identify that document?
18 Counseling Psychology. 19 Q. Thank you. 20 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight 21 technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that 22 we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently 23 had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of 24 the words.	16	A. That's another article that I wrote, which was published
19 Q. Thank you. 20 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight 21 technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that 22 we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently 23 had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of 24 the words.	17	last year in 2009 in a journal that's called Journal of
MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of the words.	18	Counseling Psychology.
21 technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that 22 we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently 23 had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of 24 the words.	19	Q. Thank you.
22 we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently 23 had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of 24 the words.	20	MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight
23 had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of 24 the words.	21	technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that
24 the words.	22	we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently
	23	had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of
25 We have corrected that problem in this hard copy and	24	the words.
we have corrected that problem in this hard copy, and	25	We have corrected that problem in this hard copy, and

we can provide corrected PDFs to the plaintiffs and the Court, 1 2 if that's necessary. THE COURT: The copy in my binder looks fine. 3 4 MR. NIELSON: The hard copy is correct. The PDF, I 5 believe, had the exhibit stamp on every page. б THE COURT: All right. Well, why don't you correct 7 that? MR. NIELSON: We will take care of that, but I assume 8 9 there is no prejudice since the citation was evident and 10 Professor Meyer wrote it. 11 And I would like to move that into evidence, DIX-1249, the version without the exhibit stamps on every page. 12 13 THE COURT: Fine. 14 MR. NIELSON: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: 1249 is admitted. (Defendants' Exhibit 1249 received in evidence.) 16 17 BY MR. NIELSON: Please look at page 23, Professor Meyer. 18 Q. 19 Α. Yes. You write: 20 Q. "But here lies the first problem for 21 22 researchers of LGB populations. The 23 population's definition is elusive." 24 So defining the LGB population as a potential 25 methodological problem in comparing mental health outcomes of

1	LGB individuals to mental health outcomes of non-LGB
2	individuals, correct?
3	A. Where is it? I assume that it is correct.
4	Q. Well, that last question I didn't read from your report.
5	So if you disagree with it, let me know.
6	You wrote that:
7	"Here lies the first problem for researchers
8	of LGB populations."
9	A. Where is that?
10	Q. I'm sorry. It's page 23, the second column, the bottom
11	paragraph, about the middle. It's a carryover paragraph.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. You write:
14	"But here lies the first problem for
15	researchers of LGB populations. The
16	population's definition is elusive."
17	And then I asked you this question: Is defining the
18	LGB population a potential methodological problem in comparing
19	rates or comparing mental health outcomes of LGB individuals
20	to mental health outcomes of non-LGB individuals?
21	A. Is it
22	Q. A potential methodological problem?
23	A. I'm not sure what you mean, what kind of problem. As I
24	said, in this article defining the population, regardless of
25	LGB or any population, is the first step in conducting a study.

1	
1	And any study faces the challenge of definition of the
2	population because if you want to sample, you cannot you
3	know, you have to know who it is that you are sampling from,
4	and there is a variety of steps that one takes in doing this.
5	This is nothing specific to LGB populations, and some
6	of the quotes I use here are just methodological issues.
7	So when you say it causes a problem, I don't exactly
8	see that as a problem. I see it as just, this is part of what
9	we do when we design a study. We
10	Q. Okay.
11	A look through all of those issues.
12	Q. My question was whether it causes a raises a potential
13	problem.
14	A. You know, I can come up with scenarios, I guess, but I
15	cannot answer that question in that generic form. I would have
16	to see what exactly we're talking about.
17	It doesn't create a problem in principle, the fact
18	that we have questions of definition. As I said, all studies
19	start with questions of definition. So that fact doesn't
20	create a problem.
21	${f Q}$ . Now, in the article we were just looking at you noted that
22	the population-based studies, one of the methodological
23	problems they suffered from was that they did not use a
24	sophisticated definition of the LGB population, correct?
25	A. That's not exactly how I said it. What I said is that

1	they used a that's, perhaps, a limitation that they used one
2	type of a definition, but I I mean, obviously, I didn't
3	think that there was that great of a problem and, obviously,
4	the reviews of this journal didn't think it was that great of a
5	problem, and the people who quote it you know, it's not
6	you are trying to suggest that it's some big problem. It's
7	not.
8	Q. Well, I would like to explore that based on what you wrote
9	in this article.
10	As you said in the first line, "The population's
11	definition is elusive, " correct?
12	A. The population definition is elusive in every study. This
13	is one of the greatest sampling methodologies. Sudman devotes
14	a lot of effort to try to address that and I quoted it here.
15	As I said, this is the first step of trying to
16	establish a study. If I wanted to study men, I would have to
17	define what age group, is there any particular residence that
18	I'm interested in or a region of the country.
19	This is just basic survey methodology. This is the
20	first step you have to define. And it is it is challenging,
21	you know. If you are interested in issues related to birth
22	problems, are you going to study women of a particular age who
23	are you know, so those are just normal things.
24	What is a Latino? Do you include Mexicans or do you
25	include Puerto Ricans? This is what I'm talking about, that

1	this is the issue that sampling methodologies confront as they
2	design a study. And this is the first step, is to define a
3	population, which we call the general population. Then you
4	define the sampling population, which is a more specific
5	definition of where you want to sample from. And there's
6	further problems and issues of definition.
7	Q. Let's talk about the first question you said, the general
8	sample, not specific sample for LGB individuals.
9	Is there a correct definition of the general LGB
10	population?
11	<b>A.</b> Is there one correct definition? As I explained in this
12	article, the definition depends on your purpose in the
13	research. So just as there is no correct definition of Latino,
14	there is no correct or one correct it is correct if it is
15	responsive to the research questions that you are trying to
16	answer.
17	So it is only correct in that sense that, did you do
18	a good job in defining the population so that you are getting
19	at the population that you intending to study? You know, we
20	talk about the kind of theoretical population and the actual
21	population. So it is correct only in the sense that you
22	correctly sample the population of intention.
23	So if I wanted to study last Latinos and I defined it
24	as Mexicans and Puerto Ricans, there is nothing incorrect about
25	it because I didn't include another Latino group, if that's

ī	
1	what I was interested in.
2	So in the same sense here, there is a variety of ways
3	that you can measure what we are calling here in a general way
4	LGB. So, for example, you might want to measure the behavior
5	as the only thing that you are interested in, in which case
б	that will be a correct thing, if it makes sense for your
7	purpose.
8	Q. Okay. So I want to ask you two "yes" or "no" questions,
9	if it's possible.
10	First, there is no one correct definition of the LGB
11	population, correct?
12	A. For the purpose of particular research.
13	Q. Okay. Second, definitions of sexual minorities vary,
14	correct?
15	<b>A.</b> All definitions, by definition, vary. If you are
16	talking about definitions, they vary.
17	Q. Let's be more concrete. Let's look at page 24, the first
18	full paragraph. You write and this is starting with the
19	second yes, the second sentence of the first full paragraph
20	in the first column on page 24.
21	You write:
22	"Researchers have distinguished among sexual
23	identity, sexual behavior and attraction.
24	Although these overlap that is, a person
25	who is attracted to same-sex individuals may

1	
1	also have sex with same-sex individuals
2	this overlap is not great. Only among
3	15 percent of women and 24 percent of men do
4	the three categories overlap."
5	<b>A.</b> In this particular study that I quoted, yes.
6	Q. So we have three partially, but only partially overlapping
7	concepts that have been used by researchers to define the LGB
8	population; sexual identity, sexual behavior and attraction,
9	correct?
10	A. Again, they might have used just one of them or they might
11	have used more. So those are three ways of defining that
12	people have used in the field, yes.
13	Q. And some researchers may use a combination of those,
14	correct?
15	A. Exactly.
16	Q. All right. And let's break this down. First of all,
17	sexual identity. Identity labels and even whether a person
18	uses an LGB identity label at all vary across generations,
19	racial ethnic groups, geographical regions, education levels
20	and other group characteristics, correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Not all LGB individuals define themselves as LGB until
23	some developmental tasks along the coming-out process have been
24	achieved, correct?
25	A. Yes.

<ol> <li>Q. This means that at any point some people who answer</li> <li>truthfully that they are not LGB will, at a later point, define</li> <li>themselves as LGB, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly, because they haven't yet I referred</li> <li>before to the coming-out process.</li> <li>So at some point you might talk to a person and they</li> <li>would either hide it or have not yet defined themselves like</li> <li>that, and that they would truthfully answer no to the question.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some</li> <li>people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered</li> <li>by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to</li> <li>the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor</li> <li>consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>as LGB, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And both of these well, that assumes both of those</li> </ol>		
<ul> <li>themselves as LGB, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, exactly, because they haven't yet I referred</li> <li>before to the coming-out process.</li> <li>So at some point you might talk to a person and they</li> <li>would either hide it or have not yet defined themselves like</li> <li>that, and that they would truthfully answer no to the question.</li> <li>9. Thank you.</li> <li>And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some</li> <li>people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered</li> <li>by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to</li> <li>the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor</li> <li>consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>as LGB, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1	Q. This means that at any point some people who answer
<ul> <li>A. Yes, exactly, because they haven't yet I referred</li> <li>before to the coming-out process.</li> <li>So at some point you might talk to a person and they</li> <li>would either hide it or have not yet defined themselves like</li> <li>that, and that they would truthfully answer no to the question.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some</li> <li>people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered</li> <li>by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to</li> <li>the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor</li> <li>consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>as LGB, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2	truthfully that they are not LGB will, at a later point, define
<ul> <li>before to the coming-out process.</li> <li>So at some point you might talk to a person and they</li> <li>would either hide it or have not yet defined themselves like</li> <li>that, and that they would truthfully answer no to the question.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some</li> <li>people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered</li> <li>by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to</li> <li>the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor</li> <li>consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>as ame-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>as LGB, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	3	themselves as LGB, correct?
<ul> <li>So at some point you might talk to a person and they</li> <li>would either hide it or have not yet defined themselves like</li> <li>that, and that they would truthfully answer no to the question.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some</li> <li>people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered</li> <li>by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to</li> <li>the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor</li> <li>consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>as LGB, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	4	A. Yes, exactly, because they haven't yet I referred
<ul> <li>would either hide it or have not yet defined themselves like</li> <li>that, and that they would truthfully answer no to the question.</li> <li>Q. Thank you.</li> <li>And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some</li> <li>people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered</li> <li>by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to</li> <li>the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor</li> <li>consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>as LGB, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	5	before to the coming-out process.
<ul> <li>8 that, and that they would truthfully answer no to the question.</li> <li>9 0. Thank you.</li> <li>10 And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some</li> <li>11 people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered</li> <li>12 by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to</li> <li>13 the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor</li> <li>14 consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>15 of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li>16 A. Yes.</li> <li>17 Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>18 give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>19 identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>23 as LGB, correct?</li> <li>24 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	6	So at some point you might talk to a person and they
<ul> <li>9 Q. Thank you.</li> <li>10 And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some</li> <li>11 people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered</li> <li>12 by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to</li> <li>13 the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor</li> <li>14 consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>15 of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li>16 A. Yes.</li> <li>17 Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>18 give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>19 identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>23 as LGB, correct?</li> <li>24 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	7	would either hide it or have not yet defined themselves like
10And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some11people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered12by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to13the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor14consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless15of the researcher's definition, correct?16A. Yes.17Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly18give different answers when asked about his or her sexual19identity at different times in his life, correct?20A. Yes.21Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in22same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself23as LGB, correct?24A. Yes.	8	that, and that they would truthfully answer no to the question.
<ul> <li>people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered</li> <li>by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to</li> <li>the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor</li> <li>consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li><b>A.</b> Yes.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li><b>A.</b> Yes.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>as LGB, correct?</li> <li><b>A.</b> Yes.</li> </ul>	9	Q. Thank you.
<ul> <li>by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to</li> <li>the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor</li> <li>consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li><b>A.</b> Yes.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li><b>A.</b> Yes.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>as LGB, correct?</li> <li><b>A.</b> Yes.</li> </ul>	10	And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some
13 the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor 14 consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless 15 of the researcher's definition, correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly 18 give different answers when asked about his or her sexual 19 identity at different times in his life, correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in 22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself 23 as LGB, correct? 24 A. Yes.	11	people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered
<ul> <li>14 consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless</li> <li>of the researcher's definition, correct?</li> <li>16 A. Yes.</li> <li>17 Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>18 give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>19 identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>23 as LGB, correct?</li> <li>24 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	12	by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to
<pre>15 of the researcher's definition, correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly 18 give different answers when asked about his or her sexual 19 identity at different times in his life, correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in 22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself 23 as LGB, correct? 24 A. Yes.</pre>	13	the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor
<ul> <li>16 A. Yes.</li> <li>17 Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>18 give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>19 identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>23 as LGB, correct?</li> <li>24 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	14	consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless
<ul> <li>17 Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly</li> <li>18 give different answers when asked about his or her sexual</li> <li>19 identity at different times in his life, correct?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>23 as LGB, correct?</li> <li>24 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	15	of the researcher's definition, correct?
<pre>18 give different answers when asked about his or her sexual 19 identity at different times in his life, correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in 22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself 23 as LGB, correct? 24 A. Yes.</pre>	16	A. Yes.
<pre>19 identity at different times in his life, correct? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in 22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself 23 as LGB, correct? 24 A. Yes.</pre>	17	${f Q}$ . So it's possible that the same individual may honestly
<ul> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in</li> <li>22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself</li> <li>23 as LGB, correct?</li> <li>24 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	18	give different answers when asked about his or her sexual
21 Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in 22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself 23 as LGB, correct? 24 A. Yes.	19	identity at different times in his life, correct?
<pre>22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself 23 as LGB, correct? 24 A. Yes.</pre>	20	A. Yes.
<pre>23 as LGB, correct? 24 A. Yes.</pre>	21	Q. And it's possible that an individual who engages in
24 A. Yes.	22	same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself
	23	as LGB, correct?
25 Q. And both of these well, that assumes both of those	24	A. Yes.
	25	Q. And both of these well, that assumes both of those

1	questions assume that an individual gives an honest answer when
2	asked his or her sexual identity, but it's also possible that
3	some individuals will not give an honest answer to that
4	question, correct?
5	<b>A.</b> Obviously, that's possible, that people would not give an
6	honest answer.
7	${f Q}$ . And, in fact, for LGB individuals, there may be particular
8	reasons why they would might be reluctant to answer that
9	question, correct?
10	A. Yes. As I described before, concealing would be that
11	what I would refer to that.
12	Q. Thank you.
13	Let's turn next to sexual behavior. Behavior
14	behavioral definitions also vary, correct?
15	A. Behavioral definitions of what?
16	Q. Of sexual orientation.
17	A. I'm not sure what you I guess they could differ in this
18	time frame that people might have looked at, yes.
19	Q. Yes. So they could look at different time periods,
20	correct?
21	A. Right.
22	Q. All right. And because more people have same-sex sex in
23	adolescence, defining sexual orientation as "sexual behavior
24	ever" includes more people than defining it in the past year,
25	correct?

1	<b>A.</b> Right. But that will be true for anything. If you look
2	at "ever," you get more.
3	Q. For example, you could ask someone whether they were
4	African-American ever or African-American in the last year?
5	A. That would actually that is a very interesting
6	phenomenon, but that is also possible.
7	African-American is an identity, so the identity part
8	of it could vary and, in fact, it does vary.
9	People who move into the United States, for example,
10	who are by our definition African-Americans may not describe
11	themselves as African-American or even black.
12	And there are studies that show that people who come,
13	for example, from the Caribbean who are dark colored, their
14	parents don't describe themselves as black, but their
15	offsprings after being educated in the United States and
16	socialized do.
17	So it definitions always vary. Certainly, with
18	African-Americans, the term itself is relatively recent. Black
19	was used before that. And Negro was used even before that.
20	Senator Reid got into trouble for using that term.
21	So those identities change and they are responsive to
22	the social context in many different ways, but obviously,
23	the population itself doesn't change, but how people refer to
24	themselves might change.
25	${f Q}$ . Okay. But for LGB individuals, the variance in the time

1	period you are looking at can lead to significantly different
2	estimates, correct, of the population?
3	A. As I said, again, that is true for anything. We always
4	look at lifetime, for example, versus one year. So if you look
5	at the one-year rate of a disorder, it will be a lot less than
6	a lifetime.
7	Q. Thank you.
8	Now, there are also different ways in which a
9	definition of sexual orientation that focuses on attraction
10	might vary, correct?
11	A. Yes.
12	${f Q}$ . All right. Now the size of the LGB population might vary
13	a great deal depending on how sexual orientation is defined,
14	correct?
15	A. Right.
16	Q. Thank you.
17	And please look at tab 12 in the witness binder. You
18	will find an Exhibit pre-marked DIX-1248.
19	(Witness complied.)
20	A. Wait, I'm sorry. Oh, 1248, yes.
21	Q. And can you identify this document?
22	A. Umm
23	Q. I apologize. It doesn't have a cover sheet. It's an
24	article you wrote with Laura Dean and others entitled "Lesbian,
25	Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Health Findings and Concerns"

to
at
at
at
t's
1
you
:

identifies as lesbian or gay, two to
six percent of the population reports some
same-sex behavior in the previous five years,
and up to 21 percent of the population
reports same-sex attraction at least once in
adulthood."
And I will skip the citations.
And then you go on to say:
"Therefore, depending upon how it is defined
and measured, 1 to 21 percent of the
population could be classified as lesbian or
gay to some degree with the remainder
classified as bisexual or heterosexual to
some degree."
Correct?
A. If that's what it says here. And, obviously, again,
depending you can depending on the definition that you
use for the finding of population, you will get different
rates. If it's more expansive, inclusive, then you will get a
high rate than if it is less expansive and inclusive.
Q. Now, 1 to 21 percent seems like a great deal of variance.
<b>A.</b> I don't think anybody would say that attraction is a true
measure of LGB, what we are talking about.
So I think one of the things is when you when you
measure things, you realize that it is not exactly the way you

1	think it is.
2	So attraction is a very, very fluid thing in the
3	sense that, for example, I a woman tends to have less
4	inhibitions about saying, oh, this other person is attractive.
5	That doesn't make her a lesbian because she said that. So
6	that's why I'm saying, it's a definitional thing.
7	For me, in my studies, I use identity, which is the
8	standard that we use in the U.S. census, for example not in
9	LGB, which is not measured, but, let's say, on race. So, you
10	know, those things are the same issues in measuring any kind of
11	group's identity.
12	If you wanted to, for example, measure race by skin
13	tone, you will find that you will have a huge number of people
14	who maybe have a darker skin tone, but are not identified as
15	black.
16	So to me, the attraction personally, as a
17	researcher, I don't use the attraction definition because I
18	find it very broad. And I use the identity when I am
19	interested in issues, such as the ones we discussed today; but
20	I might use behavior if I'm interested, for example, in
21	HIV-related risk.
22	So every researcher uses definition based on the
23	purpose of their study or survey or whatever it is.
24	Q. Okay, thank you.
25	MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I had more

1	methodological questions, but I'm going to skip ahead. I think
2	we have dwelled on that long enough.
3	MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, may I raise one issue,
4	just simply to note we have not had a chance to look at 1004.
5	And while it is Meyer and Dean, it's not the same article as
6	Defendants' 1248. We don't have an objection to Defendants'
7	1248, but we didn't want the record to reflect they were the
8	same.
9	MR. NIELSON: Thank you for I appreciate that
10	clarification.
11	And, your Honor, I would move DIX-1248 into evidence
12	then.
13	THE COURT: Very well. So admitted.
14	(Defendants' Exhibit 1248 received in evidence.)
15	MR. NIELSON: Thank you.
16	BY MR. NIELSON:
17	Q. Now, Professor Meyer, it's your opinion that limiting
18	marriage to opposite-sex couples causes minority stress for LGB
19	individuals, correct?
20	A. That limiting can you repeat?
21	Q. Yes. Now, it is your opinion that limiting marriage to
22	opposite-sex couples causes minority stress for LGB
23	individuals, correct?
24	A. Yes, as I described earlier.
25	Q. And it's your opinion that minority stress causes a higher

<pre>1 prevalence of mental disorders, a higher prevalence of certain 2 symptoms of distress that don't rise to the level of formal 3 disorders; including mood, anxiety and substance use problems, 4 lower levels of well-being and higher incidents of suicide 5 attempts, correct? 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. Now, does limiting marriage to opposite-sex couples cause 8 minority stress for all gays and lesbians or only for lesbians 9 or gay couples who wish to marry? 10 A. I would say all, because of as I explained earlier, it 11 is the message you send. 12 So you can think about the event of marriage in a 13 sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who 14 want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of 15 rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people. 16 So they would all you know, I can't predict what every 17 single person that sees this, but there would be something that 18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you 19 are personally interested in getting married. 20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional 21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the 22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning. 23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all 24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct? 25 A. It has the potential to effect you know, I never said</pre>		
<ul> <li>disorders; including mood, anxiety and substance use problems,</li> <li>lower levels of well-being and higher incidents of suicide</li> <li>attempts, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, does limiting marriage to opposite-sex couples cause</li> <li>minority stress for all gays and lesbians or only for lesbians</li> <li>or gay couples who wish to marry?</li> <li>A. I would say all, because of as I explained earlier, it</li> <li>is the message you send.</li> <li>So you can think about the event of marriage in a</li> <li>sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who</li> <li>want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of</li> <li>rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people.</li> <li>So they would all you know, I can't predict what every</li> <li>single person that sees this, but there would be something that</li> <li>affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you</li> <li>are personally interested in getting married.</li> <li>It is the message, in this case in the constitutional</li> <li>amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the</li> <li>meaning as I said before, the social meaning.</li> <li>Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all</li> <li>LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?</li> </ul>	1	prevalence of mental disorders, a higher prevalence of certain
<ul> <li>lower levels of well-being and higher incidents of suicide</li> <li>attempts, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, does limiting marriage to opposite-sex couples cause</li> <li>minority stress for all gays and lesbians or only for lesbians</li> <li>or gay couples who wish to marry?</li> <li>A. I would say all, because of as I explained earlier, it</li> <li>is the message you send.</li> <li>So you can think about the event of marriage in a</li> <li>sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who</li> <li>want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of</li> <li>rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people.</li> <li>So they would all you know, I can't predict what every</li> <li>single person that sees this, but there would be something that</li> <li>affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you</li> <li>are personally interested in getting married.</li> <li>It is the message, in this case in the constitutional</li> <li>amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the</li> <li>meaning as I said before, the social meaning.</li> <li>Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all</li> <li>LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?</li> </ul>	2	symptoms of distress that don't rise to the level of formal
<ul> <li>5 attempts, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>9. Now, does limiting marriage to opposite-sex couples cause minority stress for all gays and lesbians or only for lesbians or gay couples who wish to marry?</li> <li>A. I would say all, because of as I explained earlier, it is the message you send.</li> <li>12 So you can think about the event of marriage in a sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people.</li> <li>16 So they would all you know, I can't predict what every single person that sees this, but there would be something that affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you are personally interested in getting married.</li> <li>10 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the meaning as I said before, the social meaning.</li> <li>10 So it affects all of them and not just those, not all LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?</li> </ul>	3	disorders; including mood, anxiety and substance use problems,
<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, does limiting marriage to opposite-sex couples cause minority stress for all gays and lesbians or only for lesbians</li> <li>or gay couples who wish to marry?</li> <li>A. I would say all, because of as I explained earlier, it</li> <li>is the message you send.</li> <li>So you can think about the event of marriage in a</li> <li>sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who</li> <li>want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of</li> <li>rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people.</li> <li>So they would all you know, I can't predict what every</li> <li>single person that sees this, but there would be something that</li> <li>affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you</li> <li>are personally interested in getting married.</li> <li>It is the message, in this case in the constitutional</li> <li>amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the</li> <li>meaning as I said before, the social meaning.</li> <li>Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all</li> <li>LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?</li> </ul>	4	lower levels of well-being and higher incidents of suicide
<ul> <li>7</li> <li>Q. Now, does limiting marriage to opposite-sex couples cause minority stress for all gays and lesbians or only for lesbians</li> <li>9</li> <li>9 or gay couples who wish to marry?</li> <li>A. I would say all, because of as I explained earlier, it</li> <li>11 is the message you send.</li> <li>12 So you can think about the event of marriage in a</li> <li>13 sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who</li> <li>14 want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of</li> <li>15 rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people.</li> <li>16 So they would all you know, I can't predict what every</li> <li>17 single person that sees this, but there would be something that</li> <li>18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you</li> <li>19 are personally interested in getting married.</li> <li>20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional</li> <li>21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the</li> <li>22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning.</li> <li>23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all</li> <li>24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?</li> </ul>	5	attempts, correct?
<ul> <li>8 minority stress for all gays and lesbians or only for lesbians</li> <li>9 or gay couples who wish to marry?</li> <li>10 A. I would say all, because of as I explained earlier, it</li> <li>11 is the message you send.</li> <li>12 So you can think about the event of marriage in a</li> <li>13 sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who</li> <li>14 want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of</li> <li>15 rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people.</li> <li>16 So they would all you know, I can't predict what every</li> <li>17 single person that sees this, but there would be something that</li> <li>18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you</li> <li>19 are personally interested in getting married.</li> <li>20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional</li> <li>21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the</li> <li>22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning.</li> <li>23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all</li> <li>24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?</li> </ul>	6	A. Correct.
<ul> <li>9 or gay couples who wish to marry?</li> <li>A. I would say all, because of as I explained earlier, it</li> <li>11 is the message you send.</li> <li>12 So you can think about the event of marriage in a</li> <li>13 sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who</li> <li>14 want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of</li> <li>15 rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people.</li> <li>16 So they would all you know, I can't predict what every</li> <li>17 single person that sees this, but there would be something that</li> <li>18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you</li> <li>19 are personally interested in getting married.</li> <li>20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional</li> <li>21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the</li> <li>22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning.</li> <li>23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all</li> <li>24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?</li> </ul>	7	Q. Now, does limiting marriage to opposite-sex couples cause
<ul> <li>A. I would say all, because of as I explained earlier, it</li> <li>is the message you send.</li> <li>So you can think about the event of marriage in a</li> <li>sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who</li> <li>want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of</li> <li>rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people.</li> <li>So they would all you know, I can't predict what every</li> <li>single person that sees this, but there would be something that</li> <li>affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you</li> <li>are personally interested in getting married.</li> <li>It is the message, in this case in the constitutional</li> <li>amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the</li> <li>meaning as I said before, the social meaning.</li> <li>So it affects all of them and not just those, not all</li> <li>LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?</li> </ul>	8	minority stress for all gays and lesbians or only for lesbians
11 is the message you send. 12 So you can think about the event of marriage in a 13 sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who 14 want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of 15 rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people. 16 So they would all you know, I can't predict what every 17 single person that sees this, but there would be something that 18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you 19 are personally interested in getting married. 20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional 21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the 22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning. 23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all 24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	9	or gay couples who wish to marry?
12So you can think about the event of marriage in a13sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who14want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of15rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people.16So they would all you know, I can't predict what every17single person that sees this, but there would be something that18affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you19are personally interested in getting married.20It is the message, in this case in the constitutional21amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the22meaning as I said before, the social meaning.23Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all24LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	10	A. I would say all, because of as I explained earlier, it
13 sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who 14 want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of 15 rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people. 16 So they would all you know, I can't predict what every 17 single person that sees this, but there would be something that 18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you 19 are personally interested in getting married. 20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional 21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the 22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning. 23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all 24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	11	is the message you send.
14 want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of 15 rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people. 16 So they would all you know, I can't predict what every 17 single person that sees this, but there would be something that 18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you 19 are personally interested in getting married. 20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional 21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the 22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning. 23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all 24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	12	So you can think about the event of marriage in a
15 rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people. 16 So they would all you know, I can't predict what every 17 single person that sees this, but there would be something that 18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you 19 are personally interested in getting married. 20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional 21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the 22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning. 23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all 24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	13	sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who
16 So they would all you know, I can't predict what every 17 single person that sees this, but there would be something that 18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you 19 are personally interested in getting married. 20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional 21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the 22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning. 23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all 24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	14	want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of
17 single person that sees this, but there would be something that 18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you 19 are personally interested in getting married. 20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional 21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the 22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning. 23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all 24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	15	rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people.
<ul> <li>18 affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you</li> <li>19 are personally interested in getting married.</li> <li>20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional</li> <li>21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the</li> <li>22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning.</li> <li>23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all</li> <li>24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?</li> </ul>	16	So they would all you know, I can't predict what every
19 are personally interested in getting married. 20 It is the message, in this case in the constitutional 21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the 22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning. 23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all 24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	17	single person that sees this, but there would be something that
It is the message, in this case in the constitutional amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the meaning as I said before, the social meaning. Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	18	affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you
21 amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the 22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning. 23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all 24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	19	are personally interested in getting married.
22 meaning as I said before, the social meaning. 23 Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all 24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	20	It is the message, in this case in the constitutional
Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	21	amendment, that demonstrates that is of interest, or the
24 LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?	22	meaning as I said before, the social meaning.
	23	Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not all
25 <b>A.</b> It has the potential to effect you know, I never said	24	LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?
	25	A. It has the potential to effect you know, I never said

that -- minority stress doesn't affect of single person in the 1 same way. It is a potential. 2 Thank you for that clarification. 3 0. 4 Are you aware that same-sex marriage has been legal since 2004 in Massachusetts? 5 6 A. Yes. 7 0. Do LGB individuals suffer from a lower prevalence of mental health disorders in Massachusetts than in California? 8 9 Well, the first answer is I don't really know, but that's Α. now how I -- I wouldn't expect it exactly in that way that you 10 11 are suggesting; that that would be the test of that, because Massachusetts is not, you know, an isolate in the United States 12 13 and, you know, it would be more complicated for me to assess. So that alone would not change everything. So it's 14 15 just one aspect of it. And, certainly, I would think that people in Massachusetts who are gay would feel more supported 16 and welcome, so to speak. So in that sense, it would reduce 17 the stress that they have somewhat. 18 But your answer is you don't know, correct? 19 Q. Well, I don't -- I don't have the data on that. 20 Α. You don't have data? 21 Q. 22 Right. Α. 23 Q. Okay. Thank you. 24 Do LGB individuals suffer from a lower prevalence of 25 mood, anxiety and substance use problems that do not meet the

T	
1	criteria for formal psychiatric disorders in Massachusetts and
2	in California?
3	A. Again, the study wasn't done in the way that you are
4	describing it, although a study was done looking at states
5	where there's greater rights for gay and lesbian people, and it
6	did show those things that you are alluding to.
7	So it wasn't exactly done in the way that you are
8	saying. It wasn't Massachusetts versus California. But in
9	general in the United States states that offer more
10	protections, gay and lesbian populations there fare better than
11	in states that do not offer such protections.
12	So to the extent that you can use that as a
13	suggestion that it does have this effect that you are alluding
14	to, but I don't know of a study that compared California to
15	Massachusetts on any of those outcomes.
16	Q. Okay. And I was planning to ask you about the other
17	outcomes, but the answer would be the same?
18	<b>A.</b> Right. I don't know of a study that tested it either way.
19	Q. Thank you.
20	Are you aware that same-sex marriage has been legal
21	since 2001 in the Netherlands?
22	A. I am going to believe you on that. I'm aware that it's
23	legal.
24	Q. I will represent to you that it was.
25	A. Okay.

1	Q. Do LGB individuals suffer from a lower prevalence of
2	mental disorders in the Netherlands than in California?
3	A. I I actually don't know the answer to that, although
4	there are studies that I don't know the answer to that.
5	${\tt Q}$ . Would your answer be the same if I asked about the other
6	outcomes you identified?
7	A. Right. I don't I don't know the comparison. Honestly,
8	I don't know that I can tell you the rates of all the disorders
9	specifically to California, so I couldn't compare them.
10	Most of the studies that I relied on were national
11	studies that were not separated by state.
12	Q. Okay. Thank you.
13	Now, you are aware that California allows same-sex
14	couples to register as domestic partners, correct?
15	A. Yes, I've learned that.
16	${f Q}$ . And you believe that, quote, domestic partnership has
17	almost no meaning, and, to some extent, it's incomprehensible
18	to people as a social institution, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And I apologize, I said "quote." That's that was from
21	your deposition?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. And for opposing counsel's benefit, I'll identify that as
24	the transcript at page 80, 9 to 11.
25	A. I believe I talked about it today, as well.

1	Q. Yes. And you believe that domestic partnership reduces
2	the value of same-sex intimate relationships, correct?
3	A. Reduces yes.
4	Q. Okay. And if domestic partnership and marriage were both
5	available to same-sex couples, you think they would probably
6	not choose domestic partnership, correct?
7	A. I would think that.
8	THE COURT: How are you doing on time, Mr. Nielson?
9	MR. NIELSON: Fifteen minutes?
10	THE COURT: All right.
11	MR. NIELSON: I'll try. That may be slightly
12	optimistic, but I'm cutting a lot of I'm trying to cut a lot
13	of chaff from the wheat.
14	THE COURT: The longer we talk, the less wheat
15	that's
16	BY MR. NIELSON:
17	Q. Please turn to page or tab 14 in the witness binder.
18	I'm going to represent to you that this is a
19	California statute governing domestic partnerships.
20	A. Okay.
21	Q. And I'm going to read you part of this. And we could read
22	it all, but I am not going to read it all.
23	If you look at section A, it says:
24	"Registered domestic partners shall have the
25	same rights, protections, and benefits, and

1	shall be subject to the same
2	responsibilities, obligations and duties
3	under law, whether they derive from statutes,
4	administrative regulations, court rules,
5	government policies, common law, or any other
6	provisions or sources of law as are granted
7	to and imposed upon spouses."
8	Were you aware that California law treated domestic
9	partners in this manner?
10	A. I'm not aware of all of the legal issues around it, but I
11	was aware that it is at least approximate in the same rights
12	and benefits.
13	But, as I said, I wasn't in my testimony or in my
14	reports talking about those benefits and rights. I was talking
15	about the social meaning and the social message that marriage
16	conveys. So I wasn't studying that particular aspect of the
17	Q. So that does not, in any way, change the opinions that
18	you've offered in the case?
19	<b>A.</b> No. It certainly is a good thing that they offer
20	benefits, but I'm just saying that's not what I was focusing
21	on. My focus is on the social meaning, the social place of
22	that
23	Q. You
24	A of marriage.
25	Q. I'm sorry. Are you complete?

1	A. I'm sorry.
2	Q. Do you believe that domestic partnerships stigmatize gay
3	and lesbian individuals?
4	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was the question?
5	BY MR. NIELSON:
6	Q. Do you believe that domestic partnerships stigmatize gay
7	and lesbian individuals?
8	A. Yes.
9	<b>Q.</b> Okay. Please look at tab 15 in the witness binder.
10	You will see a document premarked DIX1067. And, as
11	you can see, it's a letter from California Assembly Member
12	Jackie Goldberg. And, as you can see, it concerns legislation
13	titled "AB205."
14	A. I'm going to take your word on that.
15	Q. And if you look at the heading under it, it says:
16	"AB205 will provide registered domestic
17	partners with a number of significant new
18	rights, benefits, responsibilities and
19	obligations."
20	And I'm going to represent to you that this that
21	AB205 was enacted into law, and the principal portion of that
22	law as amended was the statute we were just looking at.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Okay. Please turn to the last page of the exhibit. And
25	please look at the italics, the italicized statement about two

т	
1	and a half inches up from the bottom of the page.
2	A. Uh-huh. Yes.
3	Q. It says:
4	"This bill is sponsored by Equality
5	California. Other advocacy organizations
6	that collaborated on the drafting of this
7	bill included Lambda Legal Defense and
8	Education Fund, National Center for Lesbian
9	Rights, and ACLU."
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Are you familiar with Equality California?
12	<b>A.</b> Yes. I believe they are the organization that opposed
13	Proposition 8.
14	Q. Right. And, in fact, you contributed money to the
15	Equality California's No On 8 campaign, correct?
16	A. I should become familiar with them.
17	(Laughter)
18	Q. Do you believe Equality California would sponsor
19	legislation that stigmatizes LGB individuals?
20	A. Do I believe that they intend to stigmatize? No.
21	But I think that that doesn't change my answer to the
22	question about domestic partnership. So whatever their
23	intention was, I'm sure, to better the lives of gay and lesbian
24	individuals in California, but, nonetheless, having a second
25	type of an institution that is clearly not the one that is

1	desired by most people is stigmatizing.
2	Q. All right. And if I were to ask you the same question
3	about the involvement of Lambda Legal Defense and Education
4	Fund, National Center for Lesbian Rights, and the ACLU, your
5	answer would be the same, correct?
6	A. Exactly.
7	Q. All right. Thank you.
8	MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to move
9	DIX1067 into evidence.
10	MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.
11	THE COURT: Very well, 1067 is in.
12	(Defendants' Exhibit 1067 received in evidence.)
13	BY MR. NIELSON:
14	Q. I'd like to direct your attention to tab 18. You'll find
15	a document premarked DIX1020. Can you identify this document?
16	A. I got it.
17	I don't believe I've seen it before. It says,
18	"Article Proposition 8 and the future of American Same-Sex
19	Marriage Activism." But I have not read it before, I believe.
20	Q. And who is the author?
21	A. Jeffrey Redding.
22	Q. Are you familiar with Jeffrey Redding?
23	A. No. I I don't think so. I don't remember the name.
24	Q. All right. I'm going to I won't question you about
25	that document then.

1	Have you done any research to determine whether,
2	since it adopted AB205 and that's this bill we were just
3	talking about LGB individuals in California suffer from
4	worse mental health outcomes than LGB individuals in any
5	jurisdiction that recognizes same-sex relationships as
6	marriages?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Okay. Now, at your deposition I would like you to turn
9	to you made a statement, and I want to confirm that it was,
10	in fact, a statement that you made. And it's turn to tab 7,
11	if you would. That's a transcript of your deposition. And
12	look at page 149. And the pages are a little confusing.
13	There's four on each page.
14	A. That's okay.
15	Q. And it's actually page 38 in the continuous pagination at
16	the bottom, if that's helpful.
17	A. I got it.
18	MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, I'd object if it's not
19	being offered to impeach anything.
20	THE COURT: Why are you offering it?
21	MR. NIELSON: I was going to ask him whether he
22	agreed with it. Perhaps I should ask him whether he agreed
23	with it, first. And then if he doesn't
24	THE COURT: Why don't you ask him the statement
25	MR. NIELSON: Yes, exactly.

1	
1 2	THE COURT: without referring to the deposition. MR. NIELSON: Right.
3	BY MR. NIELSON:
4	Q. When you speak of a gay and lesbian person whose intimate
5	relationship has not been granted societal approval, would that
6	include gays and lesbians who are in a domestic partnership?
7	A. Yes, in the same sense that I discussed earlier, about the
8	social meaning of marriage versus domestic partnership.
9	Q. Okay. Now, let's look at the deposition transcript. It's
10	lines page 149, line 16 through 20. And you can continue
11	past that, if you need to, for context.
12	Could you you don't need to read it aloud, but
13	could you read that and tell me whether you gave that testimony
14	at your deposition.
15	A. Did I give this
16	Q. Did you say this at your deposition?
17	<b>A.</b> I don't have an independent recollection, but I read it
18	here and I presume that's correct.
19	Q. Okay. And the statement the answer you gave to the
20	question today was "yes."
21	And the answer at your deposition was:
22	"No. I describe here when I talk about
23	these unions in the sense of the impact on
24	stigma, I'm really not considering domestic
25	partners, domestic partnership. And,

Ī	
1	admittedly, they have many benefits,
2	including maybe something that you were
3	referring to just recently. But in terms of
4	the impact that I'm referring to here, I
5	wasn't talking about domestic partnerships."
6	And, as you said, you have no reason to think that
7	you didn't give that testimony, correct?
8	A. Right. But I'm really not sure what the context of this
9	is and what what we were talking about before, so I don't
10	know that it is replicating the question that I just agreed to.
11	But my answer is that, you know, what I just told you
12	is what I still believe. I don't know that that necessarily in
13	any way contradicts that.
14	MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, if it's being offered for
15	impeachment, could I add additional language in the interest of
16	the rule of completeness?
17	THE COURT: Very well.
18	MR. DUSSEAULT: I'll just read it in, so it's part of
19	the record, as well. This is from page 153, starting at line
20	3.
21	"QUESTION: Perhaps domestic partnership is
22	confusing and not well understood. Does it
23	minimize the significance of the
24	relationship?
25	"ANSWER: Yes, because, as I explained
1	

<ol> <li>before, domestic partnership is compared with</li> <li>marriage. It refers to a similar thing. It</li> <li>refers to a couple being together, let's say</li> <li>to a union. And, therefore, when you use</li> <li>'domestic partners,' an obvious comparison</li> <li>would be with marriage. Now, in this case or</li> <li>in any case, really, domestic partnership is</li> <li>offered clearly as a secondary option, not as</li> <li>the most desirable option."</li> <li>THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on,</li> <li>Mr. Nielson?</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> <li>A. I'll take your word for that. I think I know that, but</li> </ol>		
<ul> <li>refers to a couple being together, let's say</li> <li>to a union. And, therefore, when you use</li> <li>'domestic partners,' an obvious comparison</li> <li>would be with marriage. Now, in this case or</li> <li>in any case, really, domestic partnership is</li> <li>offered clearly as a secondary option, not as</li> <li>the most desirable option."</li> <li>THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on,</li> <li>Mr. Nielson?</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	1	before, domestic partnership is compared with
<ul> <li>4 to a union. And, therefore, when you use</li> <li>5 'domestic partners,' an obvious comparison</li> <li>6 would be with marriage. Now, in this case or</li> <li>7 in any case, really, domestic partnership is</li> <li>8 offered clearly as a secondary option, not as</li> <li>9 the most desirable option."</li> <li>10 THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on,</li> <li>11 Mr. Nielson?</li> <li>12 MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>13 BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>14 Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>15 strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>16 correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>18 Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>19 relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>10 rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>11 A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>12 specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>13 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>14 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	2	marriage. It refers to a similar thing. It
<ul> <li>'domestic partners,' an obvious comparison</li> <li>would be with marriage. Now, in this case or</li> <li>in any case, really, domestic partnership is</li> <li>offered clearly as a secondary option, not as</li> <li>the most desirable option."</li> <li>THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on,</li> <li>Mr. Nielson?</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	3	refers to a couple being together, let's say
<ul> <li>would be with marriage. Now, in this case or in any case, really, domestic partnership is offered clearly as a secondary option, not as the most desirable option."</li> <li>THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on,</li> <li>Mr. Nielson?</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law, specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	4	to a union. And, therefore, when you use
<ul> <li>in any case, really, domestic partnership is</li> <li>offered clearly as a secondary option, not as</li> <li>the most desirable option."</li> <li>THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on,</li> <li>Mr. Nielson?</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	5	'domestic partners,' an obvious comparison
<ul> <li>8 offered clearly as a secondary option, not as</li> <li>9 the most desirable option."</li> <li>10 THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on,</li> <li>11 Mr. Nielson?</li> <li>12 MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>13 BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>14 Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>15 strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>16 correct?</li> <li>17 A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>18 Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>19 relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>10 rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>22 specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>20 Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	6	would be with marriage. Now, in this case or
<ul> <li>9 the most desirable option."</li> <li>10 THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on,</li> <li>11 Mr. Nielson?</li> <li>12 MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>13 BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>14 Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>15 strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>16 correct?</li> <li>17 A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>18 Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>19 relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>20 rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>22 specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>23 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	7	in any case, really, domestic partnership is
<ul> <li>10 THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on,</li> <li>Mr. Nielson?</li> <li>12 MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>13 BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>15 strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>16 correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>18 Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>19 relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>20 rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>22 specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>23 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	8	offered clearly as a secondary option, not as
<ul> <li>Mr. Nielson?</li> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	9	the most desirable option."
<ul> <li>MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.</li> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	10	THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on,
<ul> <li>BY MR. NIELSON:</li> <li>Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	11	Mr. Nielson?
<ul> <li>Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the</li> <li>strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	12	MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall.
<pre>15 strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma, 16 correct? 17 A. Yes. I believe I wrote that. 18 Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex 19 relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the 20 rights of marriage, correct? 21 A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law, 22 specifically, but I understand that that's the case. 23 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination 24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</pre>	13	BY MR. NIELSON:
<ul> <li>16 correct?</li> <li>17 A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>18 Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>19 relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>20 rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>22 specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>23 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	14	Q. Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the
<ul> <li>17 A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.</li> <li>18 Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>19 relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>20 rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>22 specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>23 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	15	strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma,
<ul> <li>18 Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex</li> <li>19 relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the</li> <li>20 rights of marriage, correct?</li> <li>21 A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>22 specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>23 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	16	correct?
19 relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the 20 rights of marriage, correct? 21 A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law, 22 specifically, but I understand that that's the case. 23 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination 24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?	17	A. Yes. I believe I wrote that.
<pre>20 rights of marriage, correct? 21 A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law, 22 specifically, but I understand that that's the case. 23 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination 24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</pre>	18	Q. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex
<ul> <li>A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,</li> <li>specifically, but I understand that that's the case.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	19	relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the
22 specifically, but I understand that that's the case. 23 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination 24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?	20	rights of marriage, correct?
<ul> <li>Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination</li> <li>on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?</li> </ul>	21	A. Yes, I have to again, I have no knowledge of the law,
24 on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?	22	specifically, but I understand that that's the case.
	23	Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination
25 <b>A.</b> I'll take your word for that. I think I know that, but	24	on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?
	25	A. I'll take your word for that. I think I know that, but

1	Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination
2	on the basis of sexual orientation in businesses' provisions of
3	services?
4	A. Again, I'm not independently aware, necessarily, of all
5	the legal issues of protection, but I I'm aware now that you
6	tell me that.
7	Q. Okay. Are you aware that California law prohibits
8	discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation in
9	employment?
10	A. The same answer.
11	${f Q}$ . Okay. And I could go on and on. And in the interest of
12	time, I won't. But let me just ask you this:
13	Leaving aside the question of marriage, are you aware
14	of any other state whose laws reflect less structural stigma
15	than California?
16	A. Leaving aside the question of marriage? As I said, I'm
17	not as familiar with the details of the protections either here
18	or in other states, so it's going to be a very I cannot
19	answer that.
20	Q. Okay. So the answer is, "I don't know," correct?
21	A. I just cannot answer that. I don't know what the
22	different legal I would have to study this and look at this.
23	Q. Understood. Thank you.
24	Now, you talked about Proposition 8 sending a message
25	about the value of gay and lesbian relationships, in your

direct testimony. Did you intend by that to offer an opinion
about the purposes of the people who drafted or voted for
Proposition 8?
A. No.
MR. NIELSON: All right. No further questions, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Very well. Any redirect?
MR. DUSSEAULT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Dusseault.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. DUSSEAULT:
Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Meyer.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Almost evening, but I'll say afternoon.
Just a couple things I wanted to follow up on.
Mr. Nielson spent a good bit of time this afternoon talking
about your work in minority stress and social stress theory,
and the implications of that work with respect to groups, not
gay and lesbian individuals but, let's say, racial minorities.
Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
${\tt Q}$ . Okay. Now, is the point of this discussion that you have
found in some of the research that certain racial or ethnic
minorities, while they experience some stressors as a result of
minority status, may not experience the same health effects as

	П
1	a result?
2	A. Correct. That specifically with African-Americans, or
3	blacks, in the United States.
4	Q. Now, Doctor
5	A. And I should just correct. This is not that I found this,
6	but this is a finding that definitely is in the literature.
7	It's not all my studies empirically, but there are studies I
8	found it in the sense that I read about it and so forth.
9	Q. Okay. Now, Dr. Meyer, do you have any views as to any
10	differences between, let's say, the African-American minority
11	community and the minority community of gay men and lesbians
12	that might explain some of the differences in terms of the
13	outcomes that flow from stressors?
14	A. Well, of course, as I mentioned, the reason we look at
15	differences in the patterns of results is exactly to, as I
16	said, improve our models.
17	And one of the things that we, therefore, analyze
18	and it's not just me it would begin to look at, well, what
19	is different between those two populations that might help us
20	understand the workings of these social stressors.
21	In terms of African-American findings, there are
22	several areas of further study that we're interested in.
23	The first one that is most often advanced is the
24	and I'm discussing this in comparison to gay and lesbian
25	here is that while African-Americans are definitely exposed

to racism, in their socialization process, especially earlier 1 on, they are typically exposed to greater benefits of the 2 3 resources that I described before as coping and social support, 4 for the very simple fact that they typically grow up in black communities. 5

Of course, there might be some unique experiences, 6 7 but there's evidence that being socialized by your family and educated about racism, being -- taking part in, for example, 8 9 institutions, black churches that have for, really, decades if 10 not centuries, been in place to combat the effects of racism, 11 all the messages of racism. So as a person growing up and being socialized, an African-American person benefits from this 12 13 social support affiliation.

As I described earlier, regarding gay and lesbian 14 15 people, that is not how they grow up. Most gay and lesbian people, like most people in society, internalize very negative 16 17 attitudes, and they do not have along the way access to gay supportive services, and so forth, until a later point where 18 they have already come out and, you know, really made the big 19 20 step of affiliating themself with some of the support.

So this is one thing --21 Before you move on, let me be sure I understand this. 22 Q. 23 in the African-American community, for example, typically, an

24 African-American youth growing up would commonly be surrounded

by African-American siblings, parents, grandparents, perhaps 25

So

1	community, church friends, et cetera. Is that right?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. But with gay men and lesbians growing up, they may not
4	have the same community support and socialization support?
5	A. I would say they definitely do not have the
6	Q. Okay.
7	A those type of the equivalent type of support
8	addressing gay and lesbian an affirmative gay and lesbian
9	approach. As I said, it's almost it's actually the
10	opposite.
11	And many times we found within even families gay and
12	lesbian individuals are shunned or are harmed in many ways,
13	including violence. So it's almost like the direct opposite of
14	the support.
15	THE COURT: Are you talking about African-American
16	gays and lesbians or nonAfrican-American gays and lesbians?
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	In this comparison, we're comparing the overall
19	African-American nongay with overall white nongay.
20	In a previous response
21	THE COURT: I see.
22	THE WITNESS: we were discussing a different study
23	that looked at gay African-American versus gay white, in which
24	I was talking about the added element of racism.
25	But, as Mr. Nielson pointed out, this finding is also

T	
1	true in the general population, nongay population, where
2	African-Americans also have lower rates. And, therefore,
3	that's why this analogy it makes sense in the way that I was
4	answering.
5	BY MR. DUSSEAULT:
6	Q. But when comparing the gay and lesbian population to the
7	African-American nongay population, your testimony is that
8	there is more socialization and support in the African-American
9	community that may explain a difference in certain outcomes?
10	A. Yes. That's one of the differences that may explain.
11	THE COURT: More socialization and support among
12	THE WITNESS: Nongay
13	THE COURT: Wait a minute. More socialization and
14	support for African-American gays and lesbians?
15	THE WITNESS: Nongay.
16	THE COURT: Nongays.
17	THE WITNESS: So let me just clarify.
18	We're talking about two different comparisons that
19	are joined only by the general theoretical perspective of how a
20	social stress could affect people.
21	So the analogy here is that African-Americans being
22	themselves, of course, subject to racism should have a parallel
23	finding that we find in the gay versus straight in
24	African-American nongay with white nongay.
25	It's very different, but you expect some kind of a

parallel that the stress related to prejudice is affecting 1 them, then it should affect also blacks. 2 And the questions here were, well, why isn't it true 3 4 for nongay African-Americans versus nongay white where it's 5 true for gay versus straight, regardless of color? 6 So this is really going to a whole different area 7 that is not pertinent, specifically, to what I testified regarding gay and lesbian population. This is expanding 8 9 towards an analysis of broader sociological theories, and 10 looking at some parallels in the findings across groups and 11 across ideas. BY MR. DUSSEAULT: 12 13 Right. And let me clarify. The line of questioning that Q. I want to follow up on now was a line of questioning from 14 15 Mr. Nielson, suggesting that the -- if the theory of minority stress is taken from the gay and lesbian minority population to 16 the African-American minority population, would you expect 17 exactly the same health outcomes; and does that fact that you 18 might not see the same health outcomes in some way suggest that 19 the model doesn't work. 20 21 Do you recall that discussion? 22 Right. And my answer is that it does not indicate that Α. the model doesn't work. It indicates that there are 23

24 differences in the characteristics of the -- that this is not a

25 perfect comparison.

979

1	There are differences in the characteristics of race,
2	in terms of blacks versus white nongays, and that from that
3	comparison and the comparison of gay versus straight, a major
4	difference is that blacks are socialized with a lot of with
5	a variety of access to support for their race, that comes to
6	counter some of the effects of racism; whereas, gays are
7	socialized with homophobia and without, in their families and
8	original communities, say, access to this to a similar
9	gay-related affirmation.
10	Q. In some of the exhibits we've seen today, we've seen the
11	term "minority stress" and the term "social stress." Are those
12	the same things?
13	A. As I responded to Mr. Nielson, social stress can be maybe
14	thought of as a broader category. And within that, in the
15	African-American comparison, people have talked about racism as
16	stress. In the nongay African-American versus white, people
17	have discussed it as a racism as stress.
18	So I would put it within the general social stress
19	approach, because here we're looking at racism; whereas, in my
20	examples with gay and lesbian versus heterosexuals, we're
21	looking at homophobia and some of the other things.
22	So they're not obviously the same, but there's some
23	theoretical parallel there in the way that you study those
24	different populations, the different comparisons.
25	Q. But when you use the term "minority stress" in your

<pre>1 research, are you referring, generally, to all minorities, or 2 specifically to gays and lesbians? 3 A. No. As I said, minority stress, which is a term that I 4 helped popularize, refers to sexual minorities. And it is 5 almost exclusively used in the literature with reference to 6 sexual minorities and, I would dare say, many times referring 7 to my own articles on that matter. 8 Q. And the four processes that we spent a fair amount of time 9 on this afternoon, that embody minority stress, are those 10 processes of general application, or specific to the gay and 11 lesbian population? 12 A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian 13 population. 14 Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment. 15 Would concealment be a similarly significant issue 16 when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as 17 compared to a racial minority such as the African-American 18 population? 19 A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. 20 Although, the the answer is no. 21 There are some instances where somebody may be able 22 to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't 23 think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. 24 Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and 25 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 21 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 22 lest me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and 23 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 24 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 25 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 26 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 27 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 28 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 29 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 20 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 20 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the 20 lesbian mino</pre>		
<ul> <li>A. No. As I said, minority stress, which is a term that I</li> <li>helped popularize, refers to sexual minorities. And it is</li> <li>almost exclusively used in the literature with reference to</li> <li>sexual minorities and, I would dare say, many times referring</li> <li>to my own articles on that matter.</li> <li>Q. And the four processes that we spent a fair amount of time</li> <li>on this afternoon, that embody minority stress, are those</li> <li>processes of general application, or specific to the gay and</li> <li>lesbian population?</li> <li>A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian</li> <li>population.</li> <li>Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment.</li> <li>Would concealment be a similarly significant issue</li> <li>when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as</li> <li>compared to a racial minority such as the African-American</li> <li>population?</li> <li>A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons.</li> <li>Although, the the answer is no.</li> <li>There are some instances where somebody may be able</li> <li>to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't</li> <li>think of concealment when we think about the model of racism.</li> <li>Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</li> </ul>	1	research, are you referring, generally, to all minorities, or
A helped popularize, refers to sexual minorities. And it is almost exclusively used in the literature with reference to sexual minorities and, I would dare say, many times referring to my own articles on that matter. Q. And the four processes that we spent a fair amount of time on this afternoon, that embody minority stress, are those processes of general application, or specific to the gay and lesbian population? A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian population. Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment. Would concealment be a similarly significant issue when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as compared to a racial minority such as the African-American population? A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. Although, the the answer is no. There are some instances where somebody may be able to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	2	specifically to gays and lesbians?
almost exclusively used in the literature with reference to sexual minorities and, I would dare say, many times referring to my own articles on that matter. Q. And the four processes that we spent a fair amount of time on this afternoon, that embody minority stress, are those processes of general application, or specific to the gay and lesbian population? A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian population. Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment. Would concealment be a similarly significant issue when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as compared to a racial minority such as the African-American population? A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. Although, the the answer is no. There are some instances where somebody may be able to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	3	A. No. As I said, minority stress, which is a term that I
<ul> <li>sexual minorities and, I would dare say, many times referring</li> <li>to my own articles on that matter.</li> <li>Q. And the four processes that we spent a fair amount of time</li> <li>on this afternoon, that embody minority stress, are those</li> <li>processes of general application, or specific to the gay and</li> <li>lesbian population?</li> <li>A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian</li> <li>population.</li> <li>Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment.</li> <li>Would concealment be a similarly significant issue</li> <li>when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as</li> <li>compared to a racial minority such as the African-American</li> <li>population?</li> <li>A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons.</li> <li>Although, the the answer is no.</li> <li>There are some instances where somebody may be able</li> <li>to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't</li> <li>think of concealment when we think about the model of racism.</li> <li>Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</li> </ul>	4	helped popularize, refers to sexual minorities. And it is
to my own articles on that matter. Q. And the four processes that we spent a fair amount of time on this afternoon, that embody minority stress, are those processes of general application, or specific to the gay and lesbian population? A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian population. Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment. Would concealment be a similarly significant issue when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as compared to a racial minority such as the African-American population? A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. Although, the the answer is no. There are some instances where somebody may be able to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	5	almost exclusively used in the literature with reference to
<ul> <li>8 Q. And the four processes that we spent a fair amount of time</li> <li>9 on this afternoon, that embody minority stress, are those</li> <li>10 processes of general application, or specific to the gay and</li> <li>11 lesbian population?</li> <li>12 A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian</li> <li>13 population.</li> <li>14 Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment.</li> <li>15 Would concealment be a similarly significant issue</li> <li>16 when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as</li> <li>17 compared to a racial minority such as the African-American</li> <li>18 population?</li> <li>19 A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons.</li> <li>20 Although, the the answer is no.</li> <li>21 There are some instances where somebody may be able</li> <li>22 to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't</li> <li>23 think of concealment when we think about the model of racism.</li> <li>24 Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</li> </ul>	6	sexual minorities and, I would dare say, many times referring
<ul> <li>9 on this afternoon, that embody minority stress, are those</li> <li>10 processes of general application, or specific to the gay and</li> <li>11 lesbian population?</li> <li>12 A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian</li> <li>13 population.</li> <li>14 Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment.</li> <li>15 Would concealment be a similarly significant issue</li> <li>16 when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as</li> <li>17 compared to a racial minority such as the African-American</li> <li>18 population?</li> <li>19 A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons.</li> <li>20 Although, the the answer is no.</li> <li>21 There are some instances where somebody may be able</li> <li>22 to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't</li> <li>23 think of concealment when we think about the model of racism.</li> <li>24 Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</li> </ul>	7	to my own articles on that matter.
10 processes of general application, or specific to the gay and lesbian population? A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian population. Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment. Would concealment be a similarly significant issue when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as compared to a racial minority such as the African-American population? A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. Although, the the answer is no. There are some instances where somebody may be able to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	8	${f Q}$ . And the four processes that we spent a fair amount of time
11 lesbian population? A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian population. Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment. Would concealment be a similarly significant issue when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as compared to a racial minority such as the African-American population? A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. Although, the the answer is no. There are some instances where somebody may be able to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	9	on this afternoon, that embody minority stress, are those
<ul> <li>A. Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian</li> <li>population.</li> <li>Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment.</li> <li>Would concealment be a similarly significant issue</li> <li>when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as</li> <li>compared to a racial minority such as the African-American</li> <li>population?</li> <li>A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons.</li> <li>Although, the the answer is no.</li> <li>There are some instances where somebody may be able</li> <li>to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't</li> <li>think of concealment when we think about the model of racism.</li> <li>Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</li> </ul>	10	processes of general application, or specific to the gay and
13 population. 14 Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment. 15 Would concealment be a similarly significant issue 16 when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as 17 compared to a racial minority such as the African-American 18 population? 19 A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. 20 Although, the the answer is no. 21 There are some instances where somebody may be able 22 to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't 23 think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. 24 Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	11	lesbian population?
9. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment. Would concealment be a similarly significant issue when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as compared to a racial minority such as the African-American population? A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. Although, the the answer is no. There are some instances where somebody may be able to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	12	<b>A.</b> Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian
Would concealment be a similarly significant issue when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as compared to a racial minority such as the African-American population? A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. Although, the the answer is no. There are some instances where somebody may be able to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	13	population.
<pre>16 when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as 17 compared to a racial minority such as the African-American 18 population? 19 A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. 20 Although, the the answer is no. 21 There are some instances where somebody may be able 22 to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't 23 think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. 24 Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</pre>	14	Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment.
<pre>17 compared to a racial minority such as the African-American 18 population? 19 A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. 20 Although, the the answer is no. 21 There are some instances where somebody may be able 22 to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't 23 think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. 24 Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</pre>	15	Would concealment be a similarly significant issue
<pre>18 population? 19 A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons. 20 Although, the the answer is no. 21 There are some instances where somebody may be able 22 to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't 23 think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. 24 Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</pre>	16	when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as
<ul> <li>19 A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons.</li> <li>20 Although, the the answer is no.</li> <li>21 There are some instances where somebody may be able</li> <li>22 to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't</li> <li>23 think of concealment when we think about the model of racism.</li> <li>24 Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</li> </ul>	17	compared to a racial minority such as the African-American
<ul> <li>Although, the the answer is no.</li> <li>There are some instances where somebody may be able</li> <li>to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't</li> <li>think of concealment when we think about the model of racism.</li> <li>Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</li> </ul>	18	population?
There are some instances where somebody may be able to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. <b>Q.</b> Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	19	A. Not not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons.
22 to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't 23 think of concealment when we think about the model of racism. 24 Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	20	Although, the the answer is no.
<ul> <li>think of concealment when we think about the model of racism.</li> <li>Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and</li> </ul>	21	There are some instances where somebody may be able
24 Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and	22	to conceal his black identity, but it is mostly, we don't
	23	think of concealment when we think about the model of racism.
25 lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the	24	${\tt Q}$ . Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and
	25	lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the

1	issue of structural stigma. And you talked about the role of
2	law.
3	Today in America, are African-Americans subject to
4	legal structural stigma in any way comparable to Prop 8?
5	<b>A.</b> Well, obviously, as I said, this will be another
6	difference between the two populations. When I was saying
7	there are several differences, this is a major difference.
8	I believe that, at least since 1964, there are no
9	legal types of racism in the United States. So in terms of the
10	power of the law and the state, there is no endorsement of
11	racism.
12	That does not mean that racism has abated. But,
13	certainly, it is not parallel to what we were discussing today
14	in terms of the structures of the law.
15	Q. Is there any racial minority in the United States that's
16	denied the right to marry?
17	A. I don't think so. But
18	Q. With this issue of the extent to which a theory of
19	minority stress or social stress applies to, let's say, a
20	racial minority group, does any of the discussion or findings
21	in that area in any way undermine your view that minority
22	stress operates in the lives of gay and lesbian people and
23	adversely affects health?
24	A. No. And there's no evidence for that. There's no real
25	challenge in terms of findings that are this confirming.

Certainly, not all the findings are always perfectly as you would like them, but there's -- majority of the studies done in the field, as I said -- and many of them that I quote -- do not lead me to have doubt in the veracity of what I was testifying to.

б And the situation with African-Americans, as I said, 7 is of great interest to me, as is the issue around gender; that is, men versus women. It is something that I am very motivated 8 9 to study. But it is really because of my intellectual curiosity and interest in, as I said, specifying the model 10 11 better, understanding how do these differences that we were just describing, for example -- and there are others -- how do 12 13 they play into this causal change that I was describing earlier. 14

15 So it is of interest, but it doesn't lead me to doubt anything regarding the specific case of minority stress in 16 lesbian and gay men and bisexuals, which has been my work. 17 Now, Dr. Meyer, Mr. Nielson asked you a series of 18 Q. questions where he presented you with a hypothesis and then he 19 would ask you whether a particular study or analysis was 20 inconsistent with that hypothesis. Do you recall that? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Is one of the purposes of a study to test whether a 24 hypothesis is true or not true? 25 Α. That is the purpose of a study.

1	Q. Mr. Nielson also asked you about stigma in domestic
2	partnerships, and he read you some examples of certain rights
3	groups supporting domestic partnerships. Do you recall that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Ask just a couple of follow-up questions about that.
6	Assume, hypothetically, that you have no right to
7	marry for gay and lesbian people, and no right to domestic
8	partnership. Is it your view that gay and lesbian people are
9	stigmatized?
10	A. They're stigmatized as I showed, regardless of this. This
11	is, as I said, an added block in the stigmatization and, I
12	think, a very important and forceful one in the sense that it
13	has the power of the state and all that. But it is not the
14	only stigma, if I understand your question.
15	Q. Hypothetically, if you had a state in which there was no
16	right to marry and no right to domestic partnership, is it your
17	view that that would stigmatize gay and lesbian people?
18	A. Well, I think not having the right to marry would
19	stigmatize them in the same way that it stigmatizes them in
20	this case.
21	Q. And then, alternatively, if in the same state gay and
22	lesbian people are denied the right to marry but they are given
23	a domestic partnership that is valued differently by society,
24	would you view that to be a stigmatic effect as well?
25	A. Of course. In a sense, you're actually making a clearer

-	
1	statement of stigmatization when you have this dual system,
2	because it is not only that you're denying them the marriage,
3	you're also saying this marriage is highly valued and,
4	therefore, you cannot get that part so we're giving you
5	something that we're calling something else.
6	So in some ways you could say, at least in the way
7	that, again, is not in some general way, but you could say that
8	the message is even more severe. But, of course, it's kind of
9	a silly comparison, because I agree.
10	I would say that if the state does not offer
11	marriage, that alone is a stigma. But, certainly, if you have
12	two sides to this, and you're saying you can only get to the
13	back of the bus, that is quite more stigmatizing.
14	Q. Thank you.
15	MR. DUSSEAULT: I have nothing further.
16	THE COURT: Very well.
17	Thank you, Dr. Meyer. You may step down.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: And I think we'll perhaps pass on Ms. Zia
20	until tomorrow morning.
21	(Laughter)
22	THE COURT: Is that agreeable to everybody?
23	MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. See you all at 8:30 tomorrow
25	morning.

T	
1	A housekeeping matter.
2	MR. BOUTROUS: Yeah, one quick two, actually, that
3	might change the order of our witnesses tomorrow. We may end
4	up with Dr. Lamb as our first witness, followed by Ms. Zia.
5	And then the other issue is if at some point tomorrow
6	we could address we could address the issue of the documents
7	that are under seal pursuant to the protective order, that
8	would be much appreciated.
9	THE COURT: I'll be happy to do that.
10	Have you worked out an agreement with these
11	individuals that we set about this morning?
12	MR. DUSSEAULT: Still working on it, as we review the
13	documents. We were going to try to nail that down this
14	weekend, so we could report on Tuesday.
15	THE COURT: I see. And exactly what documents are
16	you going to raise tomorrow?
17	MR. BOUTROUS: There are three documents that were
18	filed with our administrative motion to file under seal, that
19	are documents that were produced pursuant to the most recent
20	order to compel. They've been produced under the protective
21	order.
22	THE COURT: Oh, are these the documents that I asked
23	for the response to
24	MR. BOUTROUS: Yes.
25	THE COURT: at the close of proceedings today?
1	

1	MR. BOUTROUS: Yes. And yesterday I think the
2	response was filed. So the proponents have filed a response.
3	We're not going to file a reply. I'm just ready to
4	argue, whenever you're ready to hear it.
5	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that.
6	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: 8:30 tomorrow.
8	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	MR. OLSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	(At 5:31 p.m. the proceedings were adjourned until
11	Friday, January 15, 2010, at 8:30 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX		
2 3	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
4	EGAN, EDMUND (SWORN)	677	4
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Van Aken Cross Examination by Mr. Patterson Redirect Examination by Ms. Van Aken	677 720 796	4 4 4
6		750	-
7	MEYER, ILAN (SWORN)	806	4
8 9	Direct Examination by Mr. Dusseault Cross Examination by Mr. Nielson Direct Examination by Mr. Dusseault	806 882 974	4 4 4
10		271	-
11			
12			
13			
14			
15 16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
25			

1	INDE	: <u>x</u>			
2					
3	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS	IDEN	VOL.	EVID	VOL.
4	803 805			788 726	4 4
5	807			788	4
_	809			787	4
6	810			703	4
7	811			714	4
7	815 817			731 740	4 4
8	845			771	4
•	900			816	4
9	922			816	4
	923			816	4
10	926 927			816 816	4 4
11	955			816 816	4
**	962			816	4
12	973 - 976			816	4
	978 - 984			816	4
13	987 - 999			816	4
14	1002 - 1005 1008			816 816	4 4
14	1010 - 1016			816	4
15	1020			816	4
	1168			816	4
16	1374			816	4
1 -	1378			816	4
17	1471 1734			816 734	4 4
18	1735			734	4
ŦŬ	1736			737	4
19	2260			696	4
	2324			680	4
20	2328			816	4
21	(Exhibits continued on next page)				
22					
23					
24					
25					
40					

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (CONTINUED):				
2	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS	IDEN	VOL.	EVID	VOL.
3	698 852			775 760	4 4
4	854 934			792 885	4 4
5	1067			968	4
6	1248 1249			959 945	4 4
7	1253 1287			909 767	4
8	2519 2558			896 764	4 4
9	2671 2672			772 779	4 4
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

Ī	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
6	We, KATHERINE POWELL SULLIVAN and DEBRA L. PAS,
7	Official Reporters for the United States Court, Northern
8	District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing
9	proceedings in C 09-2292 VRW, Kristin M. Perry, et al. vs.
10	Arnold Schwarzenegger, in his official capacity as Governor of
11	California, et al., were reported by us, certified shorthand
12	reporters, and were thereafter transcribed under our direction
13	into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete and
14	true record of said proceedings at the time of filing.
15	
16	/s/ Katherine Powell Sullivan
17	Katherine Devell Culliver (CD #5912 DDD (DD
18	Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, RPR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter
19	
20	
21	/s/ Debra L. Pas
22	Debra L. Pas, CSR #11916, RMR CRR
23	U.S. Court Reporter
24	Friday, January 15, 2010
25	