Case: 11-17255 10/03/2011 ID: 7913701 DktEntry: 6 Page: 1 of 7

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NO. 11-17255

KRISTIN PERRY, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellees,

VS.

EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et al., Defendants,

and

DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, et al, Defendant-Proponents-Appellants.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Civil Case No. 09-CV-2292 JW (Hon. James Ware)

NON-PARTY MEDIA COALITION'S MOTION TO INTERVENE

THOMAS R. BURKE
(State Bar No. 141930)
thomasburke@dwt.com
ROCHELLE L. WILCOX
(State Bar No. 197790)
rochellewilcox@dwt.com
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, California 94111
(415) 276-6500; (415) 276-6599 fax

Attorneys for Non-Party Media
Coalition LOS ANGELES TIMES
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC; THE
McCLATCHY COMPANY; CABLE
NEWS NETWORK; IN SESSION
(formerly known as "COURT TV");
THE NEW YORK TIMES CO.; FOX
NEWS; NBC NEWS; HEARST
CORPORATION; DOW JONES &
COMPANY, INC.; THE
ASSOCIATED PRESS; KQED INC.,
on behalf of KQED News and the
California Report; THE REPORTERS
COMMITTEE FOR FREEDOM OF
THE PRESS; and, THE NORTHERN
CALIFORNIA CHAPTER OF RADIO
& TELEVISION NEWS DIRECTORS
ASSOCIATION

Case: 11-17255 10/03/2011 ID: 7913701 DktEntry: 6 Page: 2 of 7

Come now, Los Angeles Times Communications, LLC; The McClatchy
Company; Cable News Network; In Session (formerly known as "Court TV"); The
New York Times Co.; Fox News; NBC News; Hearst Corporation; Dow Jones &
Company, Inc.; The Associated Press; KQED Inc., on behalf of KQED News and
the California Report; The Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press; and,
The Northern California Chapter of Radio & Television News Directors
Association (the "Non-Party Media Coalition"), pursuant to Rule 27 of the Federal
Rules of Appellate Procedure, and respectfully submit this Motion to Intervene in
this proceeding as an Appellee for all purposes, including opposing the
"Emergency Motion for Stay Pending Appeal" filed by Appellants and currently
pending before this Court (the "Motion"), and participating in the merits briefing.

Thus, the Non-Party Media Coalition respectfully request that the Court accept the concurrently-lodged "Non-Party Media Coalition's Opposition to Emergency Motion to Stay Pending Appeal" (the "Opposition") in evaluating the Motion. Should the Court, for any reason, deny this motion for leave to intervene, The Non-Party Media Coalition, in the alternative, move for leave to file the attached Opposition as an *amicus curiae*.

The Non-Party Media Coalition actively participated in proceedings relating to the potential broadcast of the trial, culminating in the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Hollingsworth v. Perry*, 130 S. Ct. 705 (2010). In addition, the Non-

Case: 11-17255 10/03/2011 ID: 7913701 DktEntry: 6 Page: 3 of 7

Party Media Coalition has actively participated in proceedings related to the pending Motion, including arguments to the District Court (as stated at page 4 n.7 of the Order on appeal) and this Court (in Case Nos. 10-70063 and 10-16696), seeking an order unsealing the video recordings of the trial in this matter. This Court's Order entered April 27, 2011, in Case No. 10-16696 referred the Non-Party Media Coalition's papers filed with this Court to the district court for resolution, and the district court then allowed the Non-Party Media Coalition to participate in proceedings related to the Order on appeal (as reflected in the Order on appeal at 4 n.7).

As this Court repeatedly has recognized, the media has standing to assert the public's – and its own – constitutional right of access to court records and proceedings. *See*, *e.g.*, *Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court*, 457 U.S. 596, 609 n.25 (1982) ("representatives of the press and the general public must be given an opportunity to be heard on the question of their exclusion"). Thus, this Court has held that non-parties must be permitted to intervene for the purpose of challenging any restrictions on the First Amendment right of access. *See Beckman Industries*, *Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co.*, 966 F.2d 470, 473 (9th Cir. 1992). This Court also has recognized that non-parties challenging restrictions on public access need not file a formal complaint in intervention. *See id.*; *see also In re Associated Press*, 162 F.3d 503, 508 (7th Cir. 1998) ("*Associated Press II*") (reversing district court and

instructing that "the Press ought to have been able to intervene in order to present arguments against limitations on the constitutional or common law right of access").

As the Non-Party Media Coalition explains in their concurrently-lodged Opposition, the issue pending before this Court is of profound interest to members of the public, who have followed this matter closely as it worked its way through the trial court and to this Court and the California Supreme Court. The access issue presented in the appeal now pending before the Court is one frequently litigated by members of the media – whether a presumptive right of access attaches to materials in a court file and, if so, whether those who seek the sealing of those materials have met their heavy burden to justify that sealing. The majority of the Non-Party Media Coalition has already participated in this case, as discussed above. Thus, the interest of the Non-Party Media Coalition in the questions pending before this Court cannot be denied.

///

¹ Indeed, given their prior participation in this case, which the district court expressly noted (in the Order on appeal at 4 n.7), the Non-Party Media Coalition contend that Appellants should have served their Stay Motion on the Non-Party Media Coalition.

Case: 11-17255 10/03/2011 ID: 7913701 DktEntry: 6 Page: 5 of 7

For the foregoing reasons, the Non-Party Media Coalition respectfully requests that this Court grant this Motion and give the Non-Party Media Coalition the right to intervene as a party in this appeal. Alternatively, the Non-Party Media Coalition requests that the Court grant the Non-Party Media Coalition the right to file the concurrently-submitted Opposition as a brief of *amicus curiae*.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3rd day of October, 2011.

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP THOMAS R. BURKE ROCHELLE L. WILCOX

By /S/ Thomas R. Burke
Thomas R. Burke

Attorneys for Non-Party Media Coalition LOS ANGELES TIMES COMMUNICATIONS, LLC; THE McCLATCHY COMPANY: CABLE NEWS NETWORK; IN SESSION (formerly known as "COURT TV"); THE NEW YORK TIMES CO.: FOX NEWS: NBC NEWS; HEARST CORPORATION; DOW JONES & COMPANY, INC.; THE ASSOCIATED PRESS; KQED INC., on behalf of KQED News and the California Report; THE REPORTERS COMMITTEE FOR FREEDOM OF THE PRESS; and, THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA CHAPTER OF RADIO & TELEVISION NEWS DIRECTORS ASSOCIATION

9th Circuit Case Number(s) 10-16696 NOTE: To secure your input, you should print the filled-in form to PDF (File > Print > PDF Printer/Creator). ********************************* CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE When All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date) I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system. Signature (use "s/" format) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE When Not All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on (date) October 3, 2011 Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system. I further certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days to the following non-CM/ECF participants: Please see attached service list Signature (use "s/" format) /s/ Natasha Majorko

Case: 11-17255

10/03/2011

ID: 7913701

DktEntry: 6

Page: 6 of 7

Case: 11-17255 10/03/2011 ID: 7913701 DktEntry: 6 Page: 7 of 7

SERVICE LIST

Thomas Brejcha Thomas More Society 29 S. La Salle Street, Suite 440 Chicago, Illinois 60603

Antony R. Picarello, Jr. Michael F. Moses United States Catholic Conference 3211 Fourth Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20017

Lincoln C. Oliphant Columbus School of Law The Catholic University of America 3600 John McCormack Road, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20064

Hon. Vaughn Walker c/o Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2228 Arthur Bailey, Jr. Hausfeld LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104

Anita L. Staver Liberty Counsel P. O. Box 540774 Orlando, Florida 32854

Mathew D. Staver Liberty Counsel 1055 Maitland Center Commons, 2nd Floor Maitland, Florida 32751

Hon. Vaughn Walker c/o Berkeley Law 215 Boalt Hall Berkeley, CA 94720-7200